This summary document outlines the process New York pursued to engage stakeholders in the development of its draft ESSA state plan; communicates the State’s timeline for releasing, receiving comments, revising, and submitting the draft state plan; and highlights the significant policies contained in the draft. This overview document is not the full draft ESSA state plan, which is posted on the New York State Education Department’s website.
Dear New Yorkers,

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) provides federal funds to improve elementary and secondary education in the nation’s schools. ESSA requires states and school districts to take a variety of actions to ensure all children, regardless of race, socioeconomic status, gender, disability status, primary language, or ZIP code, receive the education they need to be prepared for success in postsecondary education, careers, and citizenship. New York State receives approximately $1.6 billion annually in funding through ESSA.

ESSA includes many provisions that will help to ensure success for students and schools. Below are just a few. The law:

• Advances equity by upholding critical protections for disadvantaged and high-need students.
• Requires that all students be taught to high academic standards that will prepare students to succeed in college and careers, and that students be assessed on these standards annually to provide important information to educators, families, students, and communities.
• Maintains an expectation that there will be accountability, support, and action to create positive change in our lowest-performing schools in which groups of students are not making progress or in which graduation rates are low.

After more than a year of engagement with thousands of stakeholders, the New York State Education Department is releasing its draft ESSA state plan on May 9 for public comment. This summary document outlines our stakeholder engagement process and highlights key proposals from the full plan. We are indebted to the thousands of students, parents, teachers and other educators, schools and district leaders, school board members and community members who attended more than 120 meetings to share their thoughts on the plan, and to many thousands more who completed surveys to provide feedback.

The Department is committed to engaging and working with stakeholders as the draft plan is finalized over the next few months. We want to hear from you about the elements of the draft plan you support and your ideas for how we can improve upon this draft. After finalizing New York State’s ESSA plan and receiving approval from the U.S. Department of Education, New York State will create mechanisms for regularly reviewing the plan, soliciting feedback from stakeholders, and making appropriate adjustments as necessary to accomplish the stated goals.

Together, let’s work to achieve our shared desire of ensuring that every student in New York State receives the best possible education.

Sincerely,

MaryEllen Elia
Commissioner of Education
President of the University of the State of New York
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Executive Summary

The Every Student Succeeds Act provides New York State with an opportunity to leverage significant Federal resources in support of New York State’s commitment to providing equity, access, and opportunity for all students. In drafting a plan to submit to the U.S. Department of Education this fall, New York State began by asking stakeholders across the State for their priorities and ideas on key parts of the ESSA plan.

New York State’s Voices, New York State’s Plan

- Convened an ESSA “Think Tank” of 100 organizations to help develop the plan
- Worked with national experts and advocates
- Met with the Title I Committee of Practitioners to get ideas for using the most critical source of funds in ESSA
- Posted an online survey to which 2,400 parents, educators, community members, and other stakeholders responded to share feedback on school quality indicators, teacher preparation, school improvement, and accountability system design
- Held more than 120 in-person meetings across the State and in New York State’s five largest City School Districts, which 4,000 people attended
- Followed up the in-person meetings with an online survey for further feedback from ~250 meeting participants
- Planned 13 in-person sessions in May and June to explain the plan and hear comments
- Opened an email address, ESSAcomments@nysed.gov, for the public to provide direct comments
- Created a narrated webinar explaining the plan

Since the fall of 2016, New York State has:

The insights and suggestions New York State has received for its ESSA plan to date have shaped our proposal in ways we explain below. New York State continues to solicit stakeholder feedback, and will consider this feedback before submitting a final plan in September.
Accountability: How Should New York State Measure and Differentiate School Performance?
New York State strives for an accountability and support system that supports all students, is transparent, prioritizes the measures that our educators and families value, recognizes schools that improve, and accurately identifies schools that need the most help.

What We Heard
- Measure student success on a variety of indicators – not just test scores
- Measure students’ academic growth over time, not just a single snapshot of performance
- Collect data, such as class size or students access to coursework, for planning and support, not for accountability

What We Propose
- Measuring achievement in English and mathematics, plus growth in those subjects, as well as in social studies and science
- Measuring chronic absenteeism for all schools and indicators of “college, career, and civic readiness” (e.g., advanced coursework, career-technical training) for high schools
- Appointing a task force to consider other indicators of school quality
- Awarding partial, full, or extra credit to schools, to provide incentives for schools to improve all students’ performance

What We Want to See
- More schools offering advanced coursework and career readiness opportunities so that students graduate with the highest possible credential
- Emphasis on moving ALL students’ performance, not just those close to meeting their academic targets
- More information about individual schools and districts in the hands of families and the public

School Improvement: How Should New York State Assist Low-Performing Schools?
New York State will develop a system for supporting schools identified for improvement so that the schools that need the most support receive the most attention.

What We Heard
- Allow schools to develop strategies based on their needs, rather than prescribing a one-size-fits-all approach
- Provide flexibility to the schools that are making improvements, and provide support and interventions to the schools that are not making gains
- Consider other ways to engage parents, not just by offering school choice

What We Propose
- Supporting a needs assessment process that looks at all aspects of schooling, including resource allocation
- Providing broad supports in the first year of identification, and then focusing support on the schools not making gains in subsequent years
- Offering parents a voice in how select funding is spent

What We Want to See
- More individualized, evidence-based school improvement plans and more equitable uses of resources
- Increased likelihood that the low-performing schools will improve
- Increased parent engagement in all schools, especially schools in need of improvement

Great Teaching: How Should New York State Ensure Equitable Access to Effective Educators?
New York State believes that all students, regardless of race, income, background, gender, disability status, primary language, or ZIP code, should have equitable to access to the most effective educators.

What We Heard
- Offer student teachers and principals more ways to demonstrate their skills in real school and classroom settings

What We Propose
- Reporting and helping districts analyze equity gaps in their schools’ access to effective educators

What We Want to See
- Greater numbers of effective educators in every school, regardless of size, location, or student population
- Better align needs of districts and schools with teacher and principal preparation programs
- Support aspiring teachers and aspiring principals throughout their careers, not just at the beginning
- Convening a work group to suggest changes in teacher candidates’ field experiences and placement
- Assisting districts with new career ladders or pathways to make the profession more attractive
- A more diverse and culturally responsive teaching workforce
- Better-prepared novice teachers with more training in real classrooms
- More opportunities for experienced educators to grow their expertise

### Support for All: How Will New York State Ensure an Excellent Education for Every Child?

New York State believes that the highest levels of learning can occur when students and educators learn and teach in environments that are safe, supportive, and welcoming to all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What We Heard</th>
<th>What We Propose</th>
<th>What We Want to See</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consider the effect of testing on school environments</td>
<td>Applying to a federal program to pilot new kinds of assessments</td>
<td>More creative and innovative assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Help schools create more positive school climates</td>
<td>Piloting and then expanding the use of a school climate survey</td>
<td>More safe and welcoming school environments for students, teachers, and families</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider English Language Learners’/Multilingual Learners’ starting points when measuring their English language proficiency</td>
<td>Reinforcing anti-bullying laws</td>
<td>More English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners gaining language proficiency on a customized timeline with more support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

More details on these and other proposals are found in the following pages. We welcome your feedback and ideas.
**What Stays the Same? What Will be Different?**

New York State’s ESSA plan continues and refines successful efforts that the State has launched in teaching and learning over the past decade while proposing new initiatives and policy changes to promote achievement for all. Below is a brief summary of major efforts that will continue, as well as those that are new in this proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning Area</th>
<th>What Stays the Same?</th>
<th>What Will be Different?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Challenging Academic Standards and Aligned Assessments</strong></td>
<td>• Requirement that students be annually assessed in Grades 3-8 in English language arts and mathematics</td>
<td>• New Next Generation English language arts, mathematics, and science learning standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Requirement that students be assessed once in high school in English language arts and mathematics</td>
<td>• Reduction in length of Next Generation assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Requirement that students be assessed once in science at the elementary, middle, and high school levels</td>
<td>• Application for federal innovative assessment pilot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School Accountability Methodologies and Measurements</strong></td>
<td>• Accountability system that includes English language arts and mathematics assessment results and graduation rates</td>
<td>• Inclusion of new indicators in the areas of science; social studies; chronic absenteeism; acquisition of English language proficiency by English language learners/Multilingual leaners; and college, career, and civic readiness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Accountability determinations linked, in part, to subgroup performance in relation to State goals and annual progress</td>
<td>• Revised Performance Indices that give schools extra credit for students who are advanced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identification of lowest-performing schools, based on the performance of all students as well as the performance of subgroups of students</td>
<td>• Use of six year graduation cohort results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Identification of low-performing districts</td>
<td>• Sunset of identification of Local Assistance Plan Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Public reporting of school and district performance</td>
<td>• More rigorous standards for identification of high schools based on graduation rate as required by ESSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Data dashboards to provide more transparent reporting of results, including for indicators that are not part of the accountability and support system.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Advisory Group to examine different indicators of school quality for accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Area</td>
<td>What Stays the Same?</td>
<td>What Will be Different?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Supports and Improvement for Schools** | ▪ On-site State field support that focuses on technical assistance and recommendations for improvement, rather than monitoring for compliance  
▪ On-demand technical assistance during the development of school and district improvement plans  
▪ Ongoing State support throughout the school improvement process  
▪ Interventions, such as receivership  
▪ Robust technical assistance from the State for low-performing schools | ▪ Individualized approach to supporting low-performing schools facilitated by NYSED  
▪ Primary State support given to Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools; district support given to schools with low-performing subgroups  
▪ Examination and addressing of resource inequities in low-performing schools  
▪ Incentives for districts to promote diversity and reduce socioeconomic and racial isolation  
▪ Teacher transfers to low-performing schools limited to teachers rated Highly Effective or Effective  
▪ Parent voice in some budget decisions in low-performing schools |
| **Supporting Excellent Educators**    | ▪ Continued use of the Annual Professional Performance Review  
▪ Existing educator and leader certification and licensure systems | ▪ Implementation of new strategies for use of Title IIA funds to support professional development of teachers and school leaders.  
▪ Partnership with higher education to improve aspiring teachers’ student teaching and field experience programs  
▪ Reporting of data on access to effective educators in each district and facilitate analysis in each district to discuss solutions  
▪ Increased focus on closing gaps of access to effective educators between low- and high-performing schools  
▪ Convening a Clinical Practice Work Group to examine changes to the current field experience and placement requirements for teachers and school leaders. |
| **Supporting English Language Learners/ Multilingual Learners** | ▪ Comprehensive services for students whose first language is not English | ▪ Exemption of recently arrived English language learner students from the State’s English Language Arts test and |
### Understanding the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)

On December 10, 2015, President Obama signed the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) into federal law. This bipartisan measure reauthorized the 50-year-old Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), which provides federal funds to improve elementary and secondary education in the nation’s public schools. In turn, ESSA requires states and Local Educational Agencies (i.e., school districts and charter schools), as a condition of funding, to commit to certain actions designed to improve educational outcomes for all students, with a focus on closing gaps in achievement between the highest- and lowest-performing groups of students.

ESSA retains many of the core provisions of No Child Left Behind (the previous reauthorization of ESEA) related to standards, assessments, accountability, and use of federal funds. However, ESSA also provides states with much greater flexibility in several areas, including the methodologies for differentiating the performance of schools and the supports and interventions to provide when schools need improvement.

To meet the requirements of ESSA, New York State must submit in September 2017 a new state plan to the United States Department of Education (USED) to access a wide array of federal grant programs.1

---

1 Title IA (Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies), Title IB (State Assessment Grants), Title IC (Education of Migratory Children), Title ID (Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth who are Neglected, Delinquent or At-Risk), Title IIA (Supporting Effective Instruction), Title III (Supporting Language Instruction for English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners and Immigrant Students), Title IVA (Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants), Title VB (Rural Education Initiative), and Title VI (Indian, Native Hawaiian, and Alaska Native Education).
New York State’s Approach to ESSA Planning

ESSA offers states a new opportunity to refine their strategic vision for education. The New York State Board of Regents and the New York State Education Department (“NYSED,” or “the Department”) has used the ESSA plan development process as an opportunity to review current practices and create plans to ensure that NYSED provides differentiated support and assistance to the local education agencies, schools, and students who need them the most. The New York State Board of Regents and the Department approach the development of this plan with the recognition that the New York State school system has great strengths. New York State has many schools that provide a world class education to their students, as well as many schools that have great success in preparing traditionally lower-performing groups of students for college, careers, and civic responsibility. Even in low-performing schools, there is excellence that needs to be nurtured, expanded upon, and made systemic. But the Board of Regents and the Department also recognize that there is much more that needs to be done if New York State is to achieve its goal of ensuring that every student has the opportunity to attend a highly effective school. While it is appropriate to celebrate our success, we must be clear-eyed in our recognition that continual improvement is necessary if we are to live up to our motto that New York State is the Excelsior State.

The State will take advantage of the autonomy and flexibility offered by the new federal law to ensure progress toward educational equity and improvements in teaching and learning.

Mission and goals to support the ESSA state plan

In March 2017, the Chancellor of the Board of Regents, Dr. Betty A. Rosa, presented the Board’s mission:

“The mission of the New York State Board of Regents is to ensure that every child has equitable access to the highest quality educational opportunities, services and supports in schools that provide effective instruction aligned to the state’s standards, as well as positive learning environments so that each child is prepared for success in college, career, and citizenship.”

To that end, the Regents and Department of Education seek to address the following goals in this ESSA plan:
To these ends, the plan develops a set of indicators that will: a) reveal how New York schools provide students opportunities to learn and support many dimensions of learning, b) provide a set of expectations for progress for the state, districts and schools, and c) measure the effectiveness of supports provided to schools to meet these expectations. The plan also describes strategies by which New York can create a learning system so that schools and districts can collaborate in developing strategies to align practice to research and the State Education Department supports a knowledge development and dissemination agenda on behalf of continuous improvement.

The above goals are aligned with those recently articulated by the Board of Regents as part of the My Brother’s Keeper Initiative that include ensuring that all students:

- Provide students access to a world-class curriculum aligned to State standards.
- Focus on reducing persistent achievement gaps by promoting the equitable allocation of resources in all public schools and the provision of supports for all students.
- Support educator excellence and equity through the entire continuum of recruitment, preparation, induction, professional learning, evaluation, and career development of teachers and school leaders.
- Build an accountability and support system that is based upon multiple measures of college, career, and civic readiness.
- Use performance measures that incentivize all public schools to move all students to higher levels of achievement and attainment, and measure student growth from year to year.
- Identify low-performing schools by using multiple measures, assist in identifying the root causes of low performance, support school improvement by using a differentiated and flexible support system that is based upon the individual needs of each school, and provide supports to districts and schools to implement high-quality improvement plans and improve student outcomes.
- Recognize the effect of school environment on student academic performance and support efforts to improve the climates of all schools.
- Ensure that all students have access to support for their social-emotional well-being.
- Support student access to extra-curricular opportunities to serve their school and their communities, to participate in community-based internships, and to engage in sports and arts.
- Promote a relationship of trust, cultural responsiveness, and respect between schools and families, recognizing that student achievement and school improvement are shared responsibilities.

The Board of Regents is committed to using its ESSA plan and the My Brother’s Keeper initiative to mutually support the development and adoption of policies and programs that promote the values of socioeconomic, racial, cultural and other kinds of diversity.

The Board of Regents also is committed to using its ESSA plan to increase equity of outcomes in New York State’s schools. Among a wide variety of ways in which New York State envisions its ESSA plan will promote educational equity, we highlight the following dozen:

1. Publish annually the per-pupil expenditures for each Local Education Agency (LEA) and school in the State to highlight instances in which resources must be reallocated to better support those students with the greatest needs.

---

2. Publish annually a report examining equitable access to effective teachers per district and facilitate the ability of districts to address inequities through strengthening mentoring/induction programs, targeting professional development, or improving career ladders.

3. Use the Needs Assessment process for low-performing schools to identify inequities in resources available to schools, and require districts to address these inequities in their improvement plans.

4. Reduce inequities in the allocation of resources to schools by districts by establishing an annual cycle of resource allocation reviews in districts with large numbers of identified schools.

5. Direct additional support and assistance to low-performing schools, based on school results and the degree to which they are improving.

6. Focus on fairness and inclusion of all New York State students in State assessments through the involvement of educators and the application of Universal Design for Learning concepts in test development.

7. Leverage the creation of P-20 partnerships that explicitly recognize the importance of institutions of higher education and other preparatory programs to improve the quality and diversity of the educator workforce.

8. Require that any teacher transferring from another school in the district to a Comprehensive Support and Improvement school must have been rated as Effective or Highly Effective in the most recent evaluation year.

9. Use Title I School Improvement Funds to support the efforts of districts to increase diversity and reduce socio-economic and racial/ethnic isolation in schools.

10. Develop State and local policies and procedures to ensure that homeless youth are provided equal the same access to appropriate educational supports, services, and opportunities as their peers.

11. Create uniform transition plans for students exiting neglected or delinquent facilities and require school districts to appoint a transition liaison to ensure the students’ successful return to school.

12. Explicitly design the State accountability and support system to require schools and districts to a) reduce gaps in performance between subgroups, b) incentivize districts to provide opportunities for advanced coursework to all high school students, c) continue to support students who need more than four years to meet graduation requirements, and d) work with students who have left school so that they can earn a high school equivalency diploma.

Together, these twin sets of goals reflect the State’s commitment to improving student learning results by creating well-developed systems of support for achieving dramatic gains in student outcomes.

New York State posits that these goals can be achieved

**IF …**


2. Schools, districts, and the state collaborate to determine the degree to which each school demonstrates the characteristics of highly effective schools

3. Schools, districts, and the state collaborate to develop plans to address gaps between the current conditions in schools and the characteristics of highly effective schools

4. Schools and districts are provided with resources, including human capital, to implement these plans

5. These resources are used to effectively implement plans that are assessed regularly and revised as appropriate

6. Additional supports and interventions occur when schools and districts that are low-performing do not improve
Initial stakeholder engagement

For the past year, NYSED has intentionally and meaningfully coordinated and engaged diverse groups of stakeholders to solicit a range of thoughts, opinions, and recommendations on how to craft an ESSA plan that best meets the needs of the State’s students, schools, and communities. In these efforts, NYSED:

- Established an ESSA Think Tank with representatives from over 100 organizations, including district leaders, teachers, parents, community members, and students. The Think Tank met at least monthly since June 2016 to assist the Department with development of New York State’s ESSA state plan.
- Engaged in extensive research to understand the law and the opportunities it provides, including, but not limited to, meetings with:
  - U.S. Department of Education
  - Brustein & Manasevit – a law firm recognized for its federal education regulatory and legislative practice
  - Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO), which has provided access to many national experts, including: Brian Gong (National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment), Kenji Hakuta (Stanford University), Dr. Pete Goldschmidt (California State University, Northridge), Delia Pompa (Migration Policy Institute), Gene Wilhoit (National Center for Innovation in Education), and Susie Saavedra (National Urban League)
- Consulted with national education experts regarding ESSA, including Linda Darling-Hammond (Learning Policy Institute), Scott F. Marion (National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment), and Michael Cohen (Achieve).
- Met more than ten times with the Title I Committee of Practitioners, a group of teachers, school and district leaders, school board members, parents, and representatives of other educational stakeholders charged with consulting with the Department on issues pertaining to Title I, to discuss ESSA.
- Posted an online survey to gather stakeholders’ preferences on potential indicators of school quality and student success, which received over 2,400 responses.
- Held more than 120 fall and winter regional in-person meetings across the State in coordination with the State’s 37 Boards of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES) and the superintendents of the state’s five largest City School Districts, which were attended by more than 4,000 students, parents, teachers, school and district leaders, school board members, and other stakeholders.
- Opened an online survey to solicit additional individual feedback from meeting participants.

Upcoming opportunities for stakeholder feedback and timeline for submitting final plan

The Department invites stakeholders to review the more detailed, draft ESSA state plan, and to submit comments and feedback. The review period for public comment will begin May 9 and will conclude June 16, 2017. Additionally, the Department will host a series of statewide public hearings from May 11 to June 16, 2017 to gather in-person feedback on the plan. A list of the dates, times, and locations of the public hearings can be found here:
Comments on the plan may be submitted by email to ESSAcomments@nysed.gov or by regular mail to Dr. Lisa Long, New York State Education Department, Office of Accountability, 4th Floor, 55 Hanson Place, Brooklyn, New York 11217.

The Department will adhere to the following timeline for submitting the final plan (opportunities for additional stakeholder feedback are highlighted below in blue):

May 8 - 9, 2017
- May Board of Regents Meeting – Staff will present draft plan

May 9 - June 15, 2017
- The Department will accept public comment on the draft plan
- Public Hearings on Draft Plan beginning May 11; regional staff will gather public comments on the draft plan

July 17 - 18, 2017
- July Board of Regents Meeting – Staff will present any changes to the draft plan based on public comment, and request permission to send revised draft state plan to Governor

July 19 - August 18, 2017
- Application with Governor for 30 days

September 11 - 12, 2017
- September Board of Regents Meeting – Staff will seek approval to submit final state plan to USED

September 18, 2017
- Deadline to submit ESSA State Plan to USED

State Plan Summary

The next section of this overview document describes major policies and decisions contained in New York State’s draft ESSA plan. We have organized the sections by the following:

- **What ESSA requires** – We briefly describe what ESSA calls for in key sections.
- **The Big Picture** – We explain how NYSED proposes to address the requirements of ESSA.
- **What’s New? What’s Different?** – We highlight the key areas in which the ESSA plan is different from current State policies or practices.
- **Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity** – We provide information on how the plan is designed to increase student learning and close equity gaps.
- **How New York State Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements** – We explain the way in which New York State responds to the specific questions contained in the ESSA template that states must submit to USED.
Challenging Academic Standards and Assessments

Challenging academic standards

What ESSA Requires

- Assurances that states have adopted “challenging” academic standards in mathematics, language arts, and science.
- Academic standards aligned to college and career/technical education standards.
- Academic standards that have no fewer than three levels of achievement.

The Big Picture

New York State is completing a two-year collaborative process with educators to develop the Next Generation English Language Arts and Mathematics Learning Standards to ensure that they continue to be rigorous and challenge New York State’s students to do more. In addition, New York State adopted new science standards in December 2016, which will become effective in the 2017-18 school year. These new science standards are based on the foundation of the National Research Council’s *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Cross Cutting Concepts and Core Ideas* and the Next Generation Science Standards. All changes to the standards meet the ESSA requirements listed above.

What’s New? What’s Different?

- Added practices to foster lifelong readers and writers to ensure that students become lifelong learners who can communicate effectively
- Merged the Reading for Information and Reading for Literature Standards to reduce repetitive standards, simplify classroom instruction and curriculum development, and ensure a healthy balance of both types of reading across all grades
- Convened the New York State Early Learning Task Force to discuss concerns around the P-2 grades, including standards, program decisions, social emotional needs, and how the content areas/domains work together in the early grades
- Revise Every Grade’s Reading Expectations for Text Complexity to clarify expectations over multiple grades. A text complexity section is also added to the introduction to underscore the importance of reading different types of texts with varying levels of difficulty
- Streamline the Anchor Standards based upon comments from educators that the standards were too numerous and at times repetitive. Standards are merged, and included in the practices to foster lifelong readers and writers
- Revise the Writing Standards so they are more user-friendly for educators to use for curriculum and instruction. In addition to omitting some standards, there are grade-specific changes across the grades to clarify language and ensure writing expectations are clear
- Ensure Literacy is Included in the Content Areas. For example, creating a new document for the Grades 6-12 Literacy in Social Studies, Science and Technical Subjects Standards.
Mathematics
- Move Standards to Different Grade Levels to improve the focus of major content and skills for each grade-level and course; providing more time for students to develop deep levels of understanding of grade-level appropriate content.
- Provide for Students to Explore Standards to ensure standards are grade-level appropriate. Exploring a standard allows students to be introduced to and learn a concept without the expectation of mastering the concept at that grade level.
- Clarification of Standards so that educators, students and parents more clearly understand the expectation, without limiting instructional flexibility.
- Add and Consolidate Standards to improve coherence, focus and reduce redundancy among grade levels. For example, one additional standard at the Kindergarten level helps solidify pattern recognition and creation from Pre-K to Grade 2.
- Maintain the Rigor of the Standards by balancing the need for conceptual understanding, procedural skill and application.
- Create a Glossary of Verbs associated with the mathematics standards. This glossary contains a list of verbs that appear throughout the revised standards recommendations.

Science
- Developed the science standards to reflect the interconnected nature of the science as it is practiced and experienced in the real world.
- Included concepts built coherently from K-12 (learning progressions) with science and engineering integrated throughout K-12.

Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity

As it continues to improve its academic standards, New York State:

- Has developed a three-phase Comprehensive Science Standards Implementation Plan to transition to new standards based on an alignment to the Statewide Strategic Plan for Science (available here and here).
- Will work with BOCES and superintendents through the summer before the 2017-18 school year to develop and provide guidance on professional development for teachers to implement new standards. Part of this effort will include developing grade-by-grade crosswalks about the standards, with an explanation about the connections between standards, curriculum, and assessments.
- Will create a glossary of terms for the Next Generation English language arts Learning Standards.

Aligned assessments

What ESSA Requires

- States must administer the following assessments to all public school students:
  - In English language arts and mathematics, students must be tested annually in grades 3-8 and once in high school.
  - In science, students must be tested once in elementary, once in middle, and once in high school.
- Aside from approved exceptions, states must administer the same assessments to all public school students across the state. These exceptions include:
  - Administering the alternate assessments to students with the most significant cognitive disabilities.
Allowing students enrolled in eighth grade who take high school mathematics courses to take the appropriate high school assessments (Regents Exams) in place of the eighth grade mathematics test for accountability.

Allowing districts, with state approval, to administer a nationally recognized high school academic assessment, such as Advanced Placement or International Baccalaureate, in place of a Regents Exam for accountability.

Piloting innovative assessment types for their eventual administration statewide, if a state participates in the Innovative Assessment Demonstration Authority.

- States must make every effort to provide assessments in the native language of English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners (ELLs/MLLs) when it is determined that the assessment in translation likely would yield more accurate and reliable information on student proficiency.
  - States must make every effort to translate content assessments into the languages other than English that are spoken by a significant percentage of their ELL/MLL populations.

The Big Picture

New York State’s system of aligned assessments is designed to measure students against high-quality standards and provide families, educators, and the community with rich information about how their students and schools are performing.

To Ensure ... ... New York State will:

Consistent and Accurate Measurements of Student Proficiency

- Maintain current assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science until new State assessments can be developed, field tested, and adopted for use statewide that are based on the new Next Generation Learning Standards

Access to Advanced Courses

- Seek a waiver from the United States Department of Education to continue to allow students who complete high school level mathematics courses in Grade 7 to take the appropriate high school mathematics assessments for those courses, and for those students’ schools to use those high school assessments in lieu of those students’ grade-level mathematics assessments in the school accountability and support system
  - Seek a waiver from the United States Department of Education to continue to allow students who complete high school level science courses in Grade 8 to take the appropriate high school science assessments for those courses, and for those students’ schools to use those high school assessments in lieu of those students’ grade-level science assessments in the school accountability and support system

Native-Language Assessments for ELLs/MLLs

- Continue to translate its Grades 3-8 and high school mathematics assessments into five languages: Chinese (Traditional), Haitian-Creole, Korean, Russian, and Spanish
  - Continue to translate elementary- and intermediate-level science assessments into Chinese (Traditional), Haitian-Creole, and Spanish

Fairness for Students with Disabilities

- Continue to administer the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) to students with the most significant cognitive disabilities
Seek a waiver from the United States Department of Education to allow students with disabilities whose level of instruction is below-grade level to take the assessments of those grade levels, and to allow those students’ schools to use those below-grade assessments in lieu of those students’ grade-level ELA or mathematics assessments in the school accountability and support system.

What’s New? What’s Different?

New York State is:

- Examining the possibility of reducing the length of new assessments.
- Preparing to apply for the Innovative Assessment Demonstration Authority, once the application is released.
- Seeking funding from the State legislature to develop native language arts assessments, beginning with Spanish, for use in language arts accountability determinations for ELLs/MLLs.
- Seeking funding to expand translation of content assessments, with the goal of translating Grades 3-8 mathematics assessments and Regents mathematics assessments, as well as elementary- and intermediate-level science assessments, into the eight most common native languages of New York State’s ELLs/MLLs: Chinese (Traditional), Chinese (simplified), Haitian Creole, Korean, Russian, Spanish, Arabic, and Bengali.

Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity

New York State envisions that the revisions being made to its challenging standards and assessments will support improvement in teaching and learning and support increases in educational equity by:

- Providing schools and districts with accurate information on student proficiency on the new Next Generation Learning Standards.
- More accurately measure the language arts achievement of ELLs/MLLs by seeking funding to develop native language arts assessments, beginning with Spanish.
- Reducing the amount of time devoted to administering and preparing for State assessments.
- Investigating more innovative methods of measurement to determine the most appropriate assessments for New York State’s students.

How New York State Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements

How will New York State improve assessments overall?

New York State’s assessment schedule and planned implementation for new Next Generation Learning Standards and aligned Next Generation assessments meet ESSA requirements. New York State’s assessment system provides multiple measures of student academic achievement, including selected-response, constructed-response, and technology-based items in the English language arts and mathematics assessments, and both written and performance tasks in the elementary- and intermediate-level science assessments. New York State is considering working with educators to develop additional forms of measurement, including designing capstone project-based assessments in areas such as
The Department envisions that districts will have flexibility in implementing such a project, which could, for example, include a student developing a hypothesis, researching the subject, and then defending the answer either in writing and/or orally. The State’s planned application to participate in the Innovative Assessment Demonstration Authority will provide the opportunity for additional measures of student academic achievement to be developed for inclusion in the State assessment system.

**How will New York State offer advanced mathematics courses for middle-school students?**

New York State currently offers the opportunity for seventh- and eighth-grade students to take high school mathematics courses, such as Algebra I. All students are provided this opportunity, including those who require testing accommodations, and decisions about eligibility are made locally. Currently, students who take a high school mathematics course while in middle school have the opportunity, as determined by their school, to take the high school level assessment associated with that course in lieu of their middle school mathematics assessment. New York State is submitting a waiver to continue to extend this opportunity to seventh-grade students in mathematics and eighth-grade students in science.

**School Accountability Methodologies and Measurements**

**What ESSA Requires**

- An accountability plan that establishes the following:
  - Ambitious long-term goals and measures of interim progress on state tests in language arts and mathematics; graduation rates for all students; and progress toward English language proficiency for ELLs/MLLs.
  - A system for annual measurement of all students and each subgroup identified by the state.
  - A methodology for identification of schools in need of intervention and criteria by which schools can exit accountability status based upon:
    - A school’s academic achievement in English language arts and mathematics
    - Another academic indicator at the elementary and middle school level, such as student growth on English language arts and mathematics assessments
    - A high school’s four-year graduation rate, plus extended-year graduation rates, if desired
    - Progress in ELLs/MLLs achieving English language proficiency
    - At least one other indicator of school quality and/or student success selected by the state
  - A system that allows differentiation between schools, based on performance indicators for all students and for each student subgroup including the “n-size,” or the minimum number of students whose scores will count for accountability and participation purposes.
- Procedures to identify Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) and Targeted Support and Improvement Schools (TSI) and supporting these schools’ improvement.

**The Big Picture**

New York State strives for an accountability and support system that supports all students, is transparent, prioritizes the measures that our educators and families value, accurately identifies schools that need the most help, and recognizes high-performing and rapidly improving schools.
To Ensure ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New York State will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support for All Students</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Establish long-term goals and measures of interim progress that hold schools accountable for closing gaps between groups of students over the next five years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Access to a Well-Rounded Curriculum</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Differentiate school performance by using student results on Grades 4 and 8 science exams and science and social studies Regents exams in addition to results on language arts and mathematics examinations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Engage All Students</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Hold schools accountable based on measures of chronic absenteeism and begin to report additional measures of school climate and student engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Create a College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index that gives:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Partial credit for students who successfully earn a high school equivalency diploma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Extra credit for students who:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation, career and technical education endorsements, or a Seal of Biliteracy; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Successfully earn a Regents diploma, complete advanced coursework and score at specified levels on advanced high school assessments, or earn college credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transparency</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Report the performance of each school’s subgroups of students on each accountability measures, using a scale of 1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus on All Students</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Give schools “full credit” for students who are proficient and “partial credit” for students who are partially proficient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Give schools “extra credit” for students who are performing at the advanced or college- and career-ready level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus on Growth Over Time</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Hold schools accountable for progress in increasing the achievement of students in language arts and mathematics over time and growth of students in English language arts and mathematics from year to year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Focus on Graduation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Give schools credit for a student’s best score on State exams within four years of the student entering high school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Use four-, five-, and six-year graduation rates to determine how well schools are doing in getting students to graduate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time to Improve</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Create new lists of Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools once every three years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Support for Districts</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Continue to use district-level results to target low-performing districts for improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recognize Success</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Identify high-performing and rapidly improving schools and develop strategies to disseminate their most effective practices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What’s New? What’s Different?

New York State is:

- Establishing an “end” goal, five-year long-term goals that are updated annually, and measures of interim progress.
- Assigning a score of 1-4 to each accountability measure for each subgroup for which a school is responsible and use these to make transparent accountability determinations regarding schools.
- Creating data dashboards to display for stakeholders in an intuitive way how schools perform on important metrics, including those that are used for accountability (Tier I) and those that are not used currently for accountability, but could be in the future (Tier II).
- Increasing the weighting of growth relative to achievement in making elementary and middle school accountability determinations.
- Committing to including additional measures of school quality and student success in the accountability and support system over time.
- Increasing from 60 percent to 67 percent, as required by ESSA, the graduation rate that high schools must achieve to avoid identification, while allowing schools to avoid identification by having a five-year graduation rate or six-year graduation rate at or above that threshold.
- Modifying the rules for identifying schools, based on the performance of all students (Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools) and for subgroups of students (Targeted Support and Improvement Schools).
- Modifying the rules for when and how data from current and prior school years are combined.

Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity

New York believes that the revisions it has made to its school accountability and support system will support improvement in teaching and learning and increases in educational equity by:

- Creating a process of continuous review and implementation adjustment by annually establishing a new five-year long-term goal.
- Supporting a well-rounded education for students by expanding accountability measures beyond a narrow focus on English language arts and mathematics to also include science; social studies; acquisition of English proficiency by ELLs/MLLs; chronic absenteeism; graduation rates; and College, Career, and Civic readiness.
- Expanding access to advanced coursework, particularly for students in less-affluent school districts, through inclusion of this indicator in the College, Career, and Civic Readiness index.
- Ensuring a continued focus on students who need extra time to meet graduation requirements by including five- and six-year graduation rates in the accountability and support system.
- Providing incentives to schools to have students reach their highest levels of performance through the provision of extra credit in the Performance Index\(^3\) and the creation of the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index.

\(^3\) The Department’s rationale for this idea is supported by the public comments provided to the USDE on draft ESSA regulations from prominent psychometricians at the Learning Policy Institute regarding the use of scale scores and Performance Indices, as well as an article describing the work of psychometrician and Harvard Researcher Andrew Ho that support use of a performance index. See: Professor Andrew Ho “When Proficiency Isn’t Good,” which can be found at [https://www.gse.harvard.edu/news/uk/15/12/when-proficient-isnt-good](https://www.gse.harvard.edu/news/uk/15/12/when-proficient-isnt-good), Neal and Schanzenbach (2010) also shows that changes in proficiency requirements can influence teachers to shift greater attention to students who are near the current proficiency standard.
• Promoting increased participation in the state assessment system so schools and families get the information they need.

Additional measures of school quality and student success are expected to be added to the system over time. These could include, but are not limited to, such measures as:

• students access to specific learning opportunities such as in the arts, science, or technology courses;
• high school readiness for middle level students;
• postsecondary success of high school graduates;
• school climate and supports for students’ social, emotional, and academic learning, as measured by student surveys and suspension rates;
• student access to highly qualified teachers;
• student access to diverse learning environments, and measure of student civic engagement.

In addition to indicators that may be added to the accountability and support system, the SED will regularly publish a set of indicators that highlight school conditions and students’ opportunities to learn. These will be used for diagnosing needs and progress in achieving quality and equity at the school, district, and state levels. They could include measures such as:

• Per pupil school funding, by function;
• Class sizes and staffing ratios;
• Availability of other teaching and learning supports;
• Parent involvement and engagement;
• Teacher turnover and attendance;
• Teaching conditions and teacher learning opportunities.

How New York State Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements

How does New York State define subgroups for accountability purposes?

In its accountability and support system, New York State will hold schools and districts accountable and report results for the all students group and these subgroups:

American Indian or Alaska Native  Black or African American  Hispanic or Latino  Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander  White  Multiracial
Economically Disadvantaged  Students with Disabilities  English Language Learners

How does New York State include results for newly arrived English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners?
New York State will continue to define “recently arrived” ELLs/MLLs as those students who have entered U.S. schools within the past 12 months. These students will not take New York State’s English language arts assessment during their first year of enrollment, though they will take the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT). The NYSESLAT is designed to assess, annually, the English language proficiency of ELLs/MLLs enrolled in Grades K-12. In their second year of enrollment, these students will take the English language arts assessment to set baseline scores for growth, but not to measure achievement. In their third year and thereafter, ELLs/MLLs will take the English language arts assessment to measure both growth and achievement in New York State’s accountability and support system.

**What “n-size” does New York State use for reporting and accountability?**

The “n-size” is the minimum number of students that a state determines is necessary to be included for accountability and reporting without compromising student privacy. N-size ensures that the determinations made are valid and reliable. New York State will continue to use an n-size of 30 for measuring performance and 40 for determining participation in assessments. The reason for using an n-size of 40 for assessment participation is to prevent the participation rate from dropping below the 95 percent requirement because of two students not taking a State assessment. With an n-size of 40, at least three students must not participate for the group to fail to meet the 95 percent threshold. N-sizes lower than 30 did not lead to the inclusion of significantly more students and schools in the accountability and support system to warrant lowering the reliability of the resulting decisions. If a school does not have current-year results for a minimum of 30 students in a subgroup on an accountability indicator, the Department will combine two years of data, in order to hold schools accountable for the performance of the subgroup on the indicator.

New York State arrived at these n-sizes by using statistical analyses, reviewing research, and consulting stakeholders such as parents, teachers, principals, and other interested community members.

**How will New York State establish long-term goals and interim measures of progress for English language arts and mathematics achievement?**

Experience shows that, when educators hold students to high expectations, students rise to meet them. New York State has established an end goal that nearly all students should be proficient in English language arts and mathematics. To achieve that goal, schools need to have a Performance Index of 200 out of a possible 250 points. (A performance index of 200 could be achieved if 100% of students are proficient. Alternatively, an Index of 200 can be achieved by having fewer than 100% of students proficient and more students advanced.) New York State has set a long-term goal, to be achieved by the 2021-22 school year, to close the gap by 20% between each subgroup’s performance in English language arts and mathematics and the subgroup’s performance in the 2015-16 school year. Each year, New York will establish a new long-term goal for the next year beyond that for which the current long-term goal is established. Thus, after the 2017-18 school year results are available, New York State will establish a long-term goal for the 2022-23 school year; after the 2018-19 school year, the long-term goal for the 2023-24 school year will be established, and so on. For each year, up to the long-term goal, New York also will establish a “measure of interim progress,” which is the short-term goal for subgroups to achieve in that year.
The table below explains goal-setting for English language arts for Grades 3-8; tables for additional subjects and the graduation rate are in the Appendix.

**Table 1: Elementary/Middle End Goals, Long-Term Goals and Measures of Interim Progress**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2015-16 Base-line</th>
<th>Gap from End Goal</th>
<th>5-Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>Yearly Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Target</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grades 3-8 English Language Arts</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the statewide long-term goals and measures of interim progress, each subgroup within each school will receive individualized measures of interim progress that are calculated using the subgroup’s baseline performance. These measures of interim progress are set both statewide and for each individual subgroup in a school. Schools get credit in the accountability and support system for meeting the lower of either the statewide or school-specific measure of interim progress, more credit for meeting the higher of these two, additional credit for achieving the State long-term goal, and maximum credit for exceeding that goal.

Elementary and middle school English language arts and mathematics achievement measures will be computed using the denominator that is the greater of: 1) 95% of continuously enrolled students, or 2) the actual number of continuously enrolled students tested.

**How will New York State establish long-term goals and interim measures of progress for graduation rates?**

New York State’s end goal is that 95% of students graduate from high school as in four years, 96% by five-years, and 97% by six years. Similar to achievement goals, New York State has set a long-term goal, to be achieved by the 2021-22 school year, to close the gap by 20 percent between each subgroup’s graduation rates and the subgroup’s performance in the 2015-16 school year. Each year, as with achievement goals, New York State will establish a new long-term goal for the next year beyond that for which the current long-term goal is established.
Table 2-4: 4-Year, 5-Year & 6-Year Graduation Rates End Goals, Long-Term Goals, and Measures of Interim Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2011 4 Yr GR Baseline</th>
<th>5 Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Long Term Goal</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 Yr GR</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
<td>83.4%</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>70.3%</td>
<td>24.7%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>71.3%</td>
<td>72.3%</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
<td>74.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>87.7%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>88.0%</td>
<td>88.3%</td>
<td>88.6%</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>71.1%</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>72.1%</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>21.0%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>75.7%</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>45.9%</td>
<td>49.1%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>47.9%</td>
<td>49.8%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>69.9%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
<td>72.9%</td>
<td>73.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
<td>85.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>60.2%</td>
<td>34.8%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>61.6%</td>
<td>63.0%</td>
<td>64.4%</td>
<td>65.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>91.2%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>91.4%</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>91.7%</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2010 5 Yr GR Baseline</th>
<th>5 Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Long Term Goal</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5 Yr GR</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>85.2%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>85.7%</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
<td>86.5%</td>
<td>87.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>73.4%</td>
<td>74.3%</td>
<td>75.3%</td>
<td>76.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>89.3%</td>
<td>89.6%</td>
<td>89.9%</td>
<td>90.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>76.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>79.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>79.3%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td>80.7%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>56.2%</td>
<td>58.0%</td>
<td>59.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>74.8%</td>
<td>21.2%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
<td>76.5%</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
<td>78.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>83.9%</td>
<td>12.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
<td>84.9%</td>
<td>85.4%</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>67.3%</td>
<td>28.7%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>68.5%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
<td>71.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>92.7%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2010 6Yr GR Baseline</th>
<th>6 Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Long Term Goal</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6 Yr GR</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>86.0%</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
<td>86.9%</td>
<td>87.3%</td>
<td>87.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>73.0%</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>74.0%</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>75.9%</td>
<td>76.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>89.8%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>90.1%</td>
<td>90.4%</td>
<td>90.7%</td>
<td>91.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>78.7%</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>81.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>80.9%</td>
<td>81.6%</td>
<td>82.2%</td>
<td>82.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>47.0%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>51.9%</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>55.7%</td>
<td>57.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
<td>20.7%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>77.1%</td>
<td>77.9%</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>84.0%</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>84.5%</td>
<td>85.0%</td>
<td>85.5%</td>
<td>86.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>68.6%</td>
<td>28.4%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>69.8%</td>
<td>70.9%</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
<td>73.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>92.7%</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>93.1%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As with English language arts and math, each subgroup within a school also will receive individualized measures of interim progress, in addition to statewide measures of interim progress.

**How will New York State establish long-term goals and interim measures of progress for English language proficiency?**

Developing English language proficiency is a critical and cumulative process that occurs over time: Most ELLs/ MLLs in New York State become proficient in English in three to five years, on average. To determine the best model for setting language proficiency goals for ELLs/MLLs, New York State compared the results of its English language proficiency test (New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test, or NYSESLAT) with the State’s English language arts
assessment to determine whether NYSESLAT exit standards were appropriate. New York State also examined the average time to proficiency for ELLs/MLLs. The Department reviewed several different models for measuring English language proficiency progress, and assessed each model for robustness, transparency, and usefulness.

As a result, New York State selected a “Transition Matrix” model for incorporating ELLs/MLLs’ attainment of English language proficiency into State accountability determinations. The Transition Matrix model is based on initial English language proficiency level and incorporates expected growth per year against actual growth. Under the Transition Matrix model, growth expectations can mirror the natural language development trajectory. The Transition Matrix links a student’s initial English language proficiency level to the current proficiency level of the student, accounting for time in years that the student is an ELL/MLL. Credit is awarded based on a student’s growth over administrations of the NYSESLAT, and whether that student meets the expectations of growth, based on his or her initial level of English language proficiency (see Table 5 for growth expectations, which would inform how credit is awarded in the Transition Matrix). New York State will continue to analyze this model to ensure consistency and fairness.

**Table 5: Five-year Trajectory for English Language Learner/Multilingual Learner Growth**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial English Language Proficiency</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entering</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitioning</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expanding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New York State’s end goal is that 95% of ELLs/MLLs make progress toward acquisition of English proficiency. New York State has set a long-term goal (i.e., a goal to be achieved in 5 years) to close the gap by 20% between the percent of students demonstrating progress in the 2016-17 school year and those demonstrating progress in the 2021-22 school year. Each year, New York State will establish a new long-term goal for the next year beyond the year for which the current long-term goal is established.

As with the long-term goals for ELA and mathematics, each subgroup within a school also will receive individualized measures of interim progress.

**How will New York State establish long-term goals and interim measures of progress for indicators of school quality or student success?**

The “end goal,” long-term goals, and measures of student success for chronic absenteeism and the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index will be determined after a thorough data analysis and consultation with stakeholders.

**What are New York State’s accountability system indicators?**

**Academic achievement:** New York State uses performance indices in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary/middle level, and those subjects plus social studies in high school to hold systems accountable for academic achievement.

Students’ test scores are converted to performance levels:
Those performance levels are then weighted as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When all weighted scores across the four levels are added and divided by the number of continuously enrolled test-takers (called PI-1) and then taking into account 95% of continuously enrolled students (called PI-2), a performance index is generated ranging from 0-250. That performance index is then converted to an achievement index level from 1-4. A similar process is used for high school assessment results, with one difference being that weights are given to each of the four content areas (English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies).

New York State will use PI-2 to set long-term goals and measures of interim progress and to determine progress. The State will use the higher ranking of PI-1 or PI-2 to determine whether a subgroup is in the lowest-performing 10% and would cause a school to potentially be identified for Comprehensive or Targeted Support and Improvement.

**Other academic indicator:** For elementary and middle schools, New York State measures student growth in English language arts and mathematics by using “student growth percentiles” or (SGPs). The model measures students’ current-year scores compared with other students with similar test-score histories. For example, if a student has an SGP of 60%, this means that the student showed more growth this year on State assessments than did 60% of students who took the same test and had similar scores in the past on State assessments. When calculated for each subgroup, it is possible to determine an average of that group’s performance, which is known as the “mean growth percentile.” New York State then uses three years’ of mean growth percentiles in English language arts and mathematics to measure students’ academic growth over time. The three-year average is then converted to an achievement level index from 1-4.

New York State also measures “progress” in addition to growth. Progress is a measure of how a subgroup performed in English language arts and mathematics in relation to the long-term goals and measure of interim progress (MIP). For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did not meet Goal</th>
<th>Met Long-Term Goal</th>
<th>Exceeded Long-Term Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet an MIP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met lower MIP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met higher MIP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If a subgroup in a school makes progress that is equivalent to three times the difference in the change between the current year and prior year’s lower MIP, but not sufficient progress to meet the higher MIP, then the subgroup school will be awarded a 3. For example, if a subgroup’s current year MIP is 90 and its prior year MIP was 85, the subgroup will be designated Level 3 if the subgroup’s performance increases by 15 points, even if that increase is below the higher of
the subgroup’s MIPs. The chart above also applies to the graduation rate, English language proficiency, and measures of school quality and student success.

For “safe harbor” purposes, in years two and beyond, if a subgroup in a school has fallen behind, but starts to make progress equal to the change between the subgroup’s current and prior year MIP, the school will be designated Level 2. For example, if a subgroup’s current year MIP is 90 and its prior year MIP was 85, the subgroup will be designated Level 2 if the subgroup’s performance increases by 5 points, even if that increase is below the lower of the subgroup’s MIPs.

**Graduation rate:** New York State will use the unweighted average of the four-, five-, and six-year\(^4\) adjusted graduation rates in its accountability and support system. The graduation rate for each subgroup in a school is converted to a graduation rate index level similar to the preceding table. Therefore, a school that both met the long-term goal and the higher of the State or subgroup measure of progress would be a Level 4.

**English language proficiency:** Entering ELLs/MLLs take an initial English language proficiency test, the New York State Identification Test for English Language Learners (NYSITELL), and are placed at one of five levels: Entering, Emerging, Transitioning, Expanding, or Commanding. (“Commanding” students are not considered ELLs/MLLs.) ELLs/MLLs then take the NYSESLAT, described above, on a yearly basis and exit ELL/MLL status once they 1) reach “Commanding” OR 2) reach “Expanding” along with a designated score on the State’s English language arts grade 3-8 or Regents exam.

Using the Transition Matrix described previously, students are awarded points, based on their growth within and between performance levels, which is then factored into the State’s accountability and support system. The performance of schools is then converted to levels similar to those in preceding table.

**School quality or student success indicator:** Based on extensive stakeholder feedback, New York State will measure chronic absenteeism\(^5\) for elementary, middle, and high school students. Research shows that both student engagement and regular school attendance are highly correlated with student success, and students who miss more than 10% of school days have much lower rates of academic success.

New York State defines the chronic absenteeism rate for a school as the number of students who have been identified as chronically absent (excused and unexcused absences equaling 10% or more of enrolled school days) as a percentage

---

\(^4\) Research indicates that off-track students and out-of-school youth benefit as extended-year graduation rates incent states to create options to serve these students. See:


of the total number of students enrolled during the school year (denominator). Chronically absent students will be identified as such based on the number of days a student is enrolled. This is significant because students may enroll in the school or district during different points in the school year. For example, a student who misses four days of school and was enrolled from September 1 through January 31 would not be considered chronically absent. However, a student who is enrolled only for the month of December yet missed four days of school may be categorized as such. Students with excused medical absences will not be considered chronically absent, nor will students who are suspended.

At the high school level, stakeholders strongly supported using a number of indicators for measuring college, career, and civic readiness as the indicator of school quality. Including a robust set of high school indicators will incentivize schools to provide all students access to advanced coursework so that they graduate prepared to successfully transition to their next steps.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Readiness Measure</th>
<th>Weighting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma with Advanced Designation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma with CTE Endorsement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma with Seal of Biliteracy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma and score of 3 or higher on an AP exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma and score of 4 or higher on IB exam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma and the issuance of college credit earned through a dual enrollment course from an accredited college or university</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma and receipt of an industry-recognized credential or the passage of nationally certified CTE examination</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma and high school credit earned through participation in an AP, IB, or dual enrollment course</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents Diploma with CDOS endorsement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regents or Local Diploma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Equivalency Diploma</td>
<td>.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No High School or High School Equivalency Diploma</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index is a number that will range from 0 to 200 and will be computed by multiplying the number of students in an accountability cohort demonstrating college and career readiness by the weighting for the method by which the student demonstrated College, Career, and Civic Readiness, divided by the number of students in the accountability cohort. As the chart above indicates, New York State will give partial credit for students who earn a high school equivalency diploma, full credit for those who earn local and Regents diplomas, and additional credits for those who earn an advanced diploma or take additional coursework. New York State is exploring the possibility of providing additional points for students who meet more than one college, career, and civic readiness measure. Over time, this Index may be expanded to include such measures as post-secondary enrollment and persistence, college preparatory coursework completed, and successful completion of coursework leading to graduation. The Regents may also consider creating a State Seal of Civic Engagement, similar to the Seal of Biliteracy, and including that in the Index.
As with the indicators above, the chronic absenteeism indicator and the college- and career-readiness index for each subgroup will be converted into an index level:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Did not meet Goal</th>
<th>Met Long-Term Goal</th>
<th>Exceeded Long-Term Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Did not meet an MIP</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met lower MIP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met higher MIP</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Board of Regents will appoint an advisory committee to report back next year on recommendations for including additional measure(s) of school quality and student success in the accountability and support system, the method for collecting data and calculating the measure, preparations necessary to prepare the field for implementation, and the implementation timeline.

**How will New York State differentiate school performance?**

New York State’s accountability and support system will use results from all five indicators described above, depending on the school type, to determine school performance. The performance categories are:

Rather than weighting each indicator to determine the performance category, New York State will use a series of decision rules that give the greatest weight to academic achievement and growth (in elementary and middle schools) and academic achievement and graduation rate (in high schools). Academic progress, English language proficiency, and chronic absenteeism/college- and career-readiness index are weighted equally, but less than achievement, growth, and the graduation rate.

Given the diversity of school types in the State, New York State will apply customized rules in certain circumstances. For example, a school that has only kindergarten through second grade will be held accountable for the performance of their former students when those students take the third-grade assessments. Other unique circumstances –
kindergarten-only schools, K-12 schools that do not take State tests, or schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled students – must submit other kinds of assessment results for English language arts and mathematics.

Under ESSA, New York State will use 2017-18 results to determine school classifications and associated supports, beginning in the 2018-19 school year.

**How will CSI and TSI schools be identified?**

- Comprehensive Support and Improvement: Based on the accountability indicators described above, New York State will identify, at minimum, the State’s lowest-performing 5% of elementary and middle schools, and lowest 5% of high schools for Comprehensive Support and Improvement every three years. Although this process may result in a few non-Title I schools being identified, New York State will ensure that at least five% of Title I schools in the State are identified and that school improvement resources are committed to identified Title I schools. Elementary and middle schools will be identified as follows:

1. Rank order the schools on the achievement index: Identify the lowest 10 percent (Achievement = 1).
2. Rank order the schools on the three-year unweighted average Mean Growth Percentile (MGP): Identify the lowest 10 percent (Growth = 1).
3. Sum the achievement ranks and the growth ranks: Identify the lowest 10 percent (Combined Achievement & Growth = 1).
4. Use the table below to identify schools for CSI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
<th>Growth</th>
<th>MIP &amp; Long-Term Performance Goal</th>
<th>ELP</th>
<th>Chronic Absenteeism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Automatically Identified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any Other Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Any Two Level 1’s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

High schools are identified every three years as follows:

1. Rank order the schools on the achievement index: Identify the lowest 10 percent (Achievement = 1).
2. Rank order the schools on the graduation index: Identify the lowest 10 percent (Graduation = 1).
3. Add the achievement index rank and the graduation index rank: Identify the lowest 10 percent (Combined Achievement & Graduation = 1).
4. Determine the graduation classification for the school
5. Use the table below to identify schools

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Combined</th>
<th>Achievement</th>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
<th>MIP &amp; Long-Term Performance Goal</th>
<th>ELP</th>
<th>College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index</th>
<th>Chronic Absenteeism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Any One Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any Two Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Low Graduation Rate High Schools**: For high schools, New York State will identify those schools whose four-year, five year, and six-year graduation rates are below 67 percent. Schools that graduate fewer than the specified percentage of students using this analysis will be identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

• **Targeted Support and Improvement Schools**: Every three years, New York State will identify, at minimum, the lowest-performing five% of schools for the following subgroups: English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners, economically disadvantaged, racial/ethnic subgroups, and students with disabilities. All racial/ethnic subgroups are treated as a single group, so more or less than five% of any group could be identified. Those Targeted schools whose subgroups do not improve after three years will be identified for additional Targeted support and will be placed in Comprehensive Support and Improvement.

• **Recognition Schools**: Schools that are high-performing or rapidly improving as determined by the Commissioner will be designated Recognition Schools.

• **Schools in Good Standing**: Schools that are not identified in any of the above categories are considered Schools in Good Standing.

• **Target Districts**: Districts are identified for targeted support if:
  - There are one or more CSI or TSI schools in the district; or
  - The district is performing at the level that would have caused a school to be identified for CSI or TSI.

**Assessment participation rate**

**What ESSA Requires**

New York State must annually measure the achievement of not less than 95% of all students, and 95% of all students in each subgroup of students, who are enrolled in public schools.

**The Big Picture**

New York State will require districts and schools with a consistent pattern of testing fewer than 95% of their students to create a plan that will address low testing rates resulting directly or indirectly from actions taken by the school or district, which New York State calls institutional exclusion, while recognizing the rights of parents and students.

**What’s New? What’s Different?**

New York State is proposing a multi-year response plan. This plan will begin by requiring schools that consistently and significantly fail to meet the 95% participation requirement to conduct self-assessments and develop local plans to improve their participation rates. If those schools do not show improvement in their participation over subsequent years, then further plans and actions will be developed by district, regional, and State administrators. Schools with particularly low participation rates will be required to submit their plans for approval by the Department.

**Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity**

NYSED believes that effective assessment practices in the classroom lead to more accurate measures of students’ academic proficiencies, and better understanding of next steps in instruction.
Supports and Improvement for Schools

What ESSA Requires

- Identified schools will develop a school-level improvement plan in partnership with stakeholders. The plans must:
  - Use all indicators in the statewide accountability and support system and be based on a school-level needs assessment.
  - Contain at least one evidence-based intervention.
  - Identify resource inequities to be addressed through implementation of the plan.
- CSI school plans will be approved, monitored, and periodically reviewed by the State; TSI school plans will be approved and monitored by the district.
- The State has identified further interventions for schools that continue to need improvement.
- The State may identify additional provisions to best support improvement in identified schools.
- The State must identify exit criteria for identified schools.

The Big Picture

New York State will develop a system for supporting the schools that have been identified as in need of improvement so that the schools that need the most support receive the most attention. Building upon the strengths that exist in every school, including low-performing schools, the State’s role in school improvement will be to help schools identify and implement the specific solutions that they need to address their specific challenges. This approach is consistent with the State’s commitment to being more service-oriented than compliance-driven, and this approach also allows the State to support schools differently, based on the trajectory of the school and the length of time that the school has been identified. The Department will utilize its collective knowledge, its experience, its access to data, its ability to provide financial supports, and its authority as an oversight entity to support the improvements necessary to increase student outcomes in struggling schools. Requirements for schools identified for improvement will be based upon the best practices of highly effective schools and research-based practices, as modified to best meet the needs of students at the identified schools. School improvement will be approached as something that the State will do in partnership with schools, rather than something that is imposed on schools.
Identified Schools Will ...

- Undergo a Comprehensive Diagnostic Needs Assessment that examines school quality, school data, and resource allocation.
- Develop an annual plan, based on the results of this Needs Assessment.
- Provide professional development connected to the plan that is developed.
- Have flexibility to develop school-specific solutions to the challenges that they face.
- Reflect on the effectiveness of their improvement efforts each year by participating in an annual review and conducting parent, teacher, and student surveys.

New York State Will ...

- Provide technical assistance and guidance in all stages of the improvement cycle by directing resources to support the needs assessment process, the identification of evidence-based interventions, and the development and implementation of school improvement plans.
- Set requirements for all identified schools; these requirements are intended to promote best practices, promote teaching and learning, and improve equity.
- Determine the support necessary, based on annual school results and the strengths of the school.
- Prioritize its resources to focus its attention on schools not making gains. Identified schools that do not make gains will receive additional support and assistance in subsequent years, along with having additional provisions to best support teaching and learning within the school.
- Promote the effective use of resources, including capitalizing on new requirements to report specific expenditure data, monitor resources, and distribute resources to promote efficacy of school improvement efforts.
- Offer technical assistance until schools exit status.

What's New? What's Different?

New York State will:

- Primarily support CSI schools, while local educational agencies (e.g., school districts) will support TSI schools.
- Introduce a new model for reviewing school and district improvement plans that will enhance the culture of collaborative inquiry among the Department, districts, and identified schools to provide more meaningful and timely feedback to identified schools. In addition to enhanced collaboration, this new review process will build districts’ capacity to support TSI schools within their district.
- Continue to direct Department staff to be support-oriented rather than compliance-driven.
- Support the Comprehensive Diagnostic Needs Assessment process to look closely at the quality of practices within a school and how resources are allocated.
- Provide ongoing, targeted technical assistance to districts and schools undertaking interventions.
• Promote its vision of continuous improvement by providing feedback that focuses on the quality of the improvement efforts in identified schools and districts.
• Work with districts with significant numbers of identified schools to ensure that resources are distributed strategically and equitably.
• Incentivize districts and schools to take actions to promote diversity and reduce socio-economic and racial/ethnic isolation.
  Require that a school that is not identified as a CSI or TSI school, BUT receives a Level 1 on any indicator for any group, complete a self-assessment and inform its district of the additional assistance that it needs to improve. The district, in turn, must identify the support that it will provide in its consolidated application for federal funds

Identified schools will:

• Following the initial Diagnostic Needs Assessment, receive a review that looks at the quality and effectiveness of the implementation of the school’s improvement plan.
• Include an evidence-based intervention as part of its plan, including at least one school-level improvement strategy.
• Promote parent voice through public school choice, parental involvement in budgetary decisions, and parent surveys. These efforts to promote parent voice would be in addition to the ongoing efforts that all schools should be doing to promote parent engagement and ensure strong home-school partnerships.
• Be eligible for a supplemental allocation if they show improvement, while those schools that do not show improvement will be eligible for additional technical assistance and support in addition to the school’s base allocation.
• Be placed in receivership whereby the district superintendent or an independent receiver will have enhanced authority to manage the school if the school cannot show improvement after three years. (Schools that are currently “Priority Schools” will immediately be placed under receivership if they are identified as CSI.)

**Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity**

In recent years, the Department has adjusted how it approaches identified schools and districts to increase its focus on providing guidance, feedback, and recommendations to those that are identified as low-performing. These changes can be seen in both the current Diagnostic Tool for School and District Effectiveness (DTSDE) review process and in modifications to the School Comprehensive Educational Plan (SCEP) and District Comprehensive Improvement Plan (DCIP). This shift allows the State to work closely with schools and districts to provide them with guidance to support improvements to the quality of the education offered within the school and district. The Department no longer sees its role as most importantly a compliance monitor; instead, the Department recognizes the importance of being a partner with the schools and districts that are identified and providing these schools and districts with feedback and guidance that will further improve teaching and learning. New York State envisions that the additional revisions that it has made to its system of supports and interventions under ESSA will further support improvement in teaching and learning and increase educational equity by:

• Developing a system that promotes best practices while also allowing schools to identify the most appropriate solutions to the barriers they face, rather than prescribing an abundance of one-size-fits-all requirements.
• Taking a broader look at school systems, resources, and data as part of the Diagnostic Needs Assessment Process. This approach is intended to help schools best identify potential root causes so that the improvement plans can address areas of need while supporting areas of strength.
• Including data on resource allocation so that comparisons to other schools within the district and across the State can be made to identify inequities.
• Establishing an annual cycle of resource allocation reviews for districts with large numbers of identified schools to ensure that any inequities are being addressed.
• Limiting the incoming transfers of teachers to those who have been rated Highly Effective or Effective in their most recent annual evaluation.
• Identifying a number of school-level improvement strategies and offering professional development strands to CSI schools interested in pursuing those strategies as one of their school-level evidence-based interventions.
• Supporting professional learning for educators to enable them to learn to teach the new content standards to diverse students in culturally responsive ways, and to support their social, emotional, and academic learning.
• Providing additional technical assistance and support to the schools that are struggling to make gains.
• Including a requirement that schools provide professional development, based on the annual improvement plan.
• Offering options for schools unable to provide public school choice so that parent voice can be heard. Previously, the majority of identified districts were unable to offer choice because there were no eligible schools for students to transfer to. Under ESSA, there will be opportunities for parents to have a voice in decision making at all CSI schools.
• Providing technical assistance and grants to districts to promote diversity and reduce socio-economic and racial/ethnic isolation.
• Developing progressive expectations for districts to articulate the support being provided to school leaders of schools struggling to make gains.

How New York State Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements

How will New York State assist identified schools?

New York State envisions a robust rollout of technical assistance opportunities for CSI and TSI schools, as well as for districts with large numbers of those schools. Every CSI school will receive technical assistance to start; the level and intensity of future assistance will depend on whether the school shows progress.

The State’s efforts toward supporting identified schools involve eight critical components:

1. Supporting the Comprehensive Needs Assessment process
2. Supporting the development and implementation of school-wide plans
3. Supporting the implementation of evidence-based interventions and improvement strategies
4. Providing training to districts on supporting their schools
5. Providing data to inform plans and call attention to inequities
6. Connecting schools and districts with other schools, districts, and professionals
7. Allocating and monitoring school improvement funds
8. Providing additional support and oversight for schools not making progress

The State will provide a number of supports each year during the identification cycle:

• During the initial year of identification, NYSED will provide representatives to lead the needs assessment process at each CSI school.
• NYSED also will provide training to districts on the needs assessment process to support the district’s ability to lead needs assessments at TSI schools.

• During the first year of identification, NYSED will offer a workshop series for a select number of school-wide improvement strategies that districts and schools may be considering as one of their evidence-based interventions.

• During the first year of identification, NYSED will provide guidance and support on implementing a parent participatory budgeting process in all CSI schools. Additional guidance and support will be provided in subsequent years.

• NYSED will offer a base allocation to identified Title I schools to use toward implementing their improvement plan.

• NYSED also will provide funding opportunities for districts in their support of the school-level improvement plan.

• In the year following identification, districts will lead Progress Reviews designed to provide feedback on the implementation of the improvement plan. NYSED representatives will conduct reviews in a sample of CSI schools.

• After the first year of identification, NYSED staff will focus its attention on schools that are struggling to make progress. NYSED will provide on-site and off-site technical assistance and guidance to these schools and districts so that they are better positioned to succeed.

• New York State will use its 37 recognized Boards of Cooperative Educational Services (BOCES) as hubs for technical assistance for CSI and TSI schools.

• Other technical assistance vehicles include Regional Special Education Technical Assistance Support Networks; Regional Bilingual Education Resources Networks; and Teacher Centers, which provide thousands of professional development opportunities each year.

• NYSED will identify and recognize high-performing and rapidly improving schools, using a methodology to be determined by the Commissioner.

What resources will identified schools receive?
NYSED is committed to supporting schools and districts so that they use resources strategically. Under ESSA, NYSED will provide this support in a number of ways:

• Conducting a resource audit that examines human resources, fiscal resources, and the use of time as part of the needs assessment process.

• Providing data to schools and districts so that inequities can be identified and addressed.

• Working with districts that have large numbers of identified schools to review and address resource gaps.

• Providing a base allocation to all identified Title I schools to carry out their improvement efforts.

• Providing an additional allocation to identified Title I schools that have shown the ability to use funds to improve outcomes, and providing additional technical assistance and support in conjunction with the additional allocation to schools that have not shown gains.

How will New York State intervene in identified schools when needed?
As New York State engaged stakeholders in ESSA planning this winter, the State heard that, while certain actions may be necessary, the requirements for identified schools should allow for flexibility so that districts and schools can identify solutions best tailored to their needs. Multiple stakeholders also shared that the Department should continue with the efforts it has made recently to serve identified schools by providing support and technical assistance rather than focusing on monitoring for compliance.
In New York State, a school and its district are ultimately responsible for school improvement. The State has provided schools and districts access to a wide range of interventions that can be tailored to local needs. The Department’s role is to support these efforts, to actively intervene when underperformance persists after the school/district solutions have not succeeded, and to foster continual improvement in these schools. The range of interventions allows New York State to identify an approach toward intervention that addresses the specific needs of the district or school.

Experience shows that school turnaround takes time and does not always follow a linear path. After the first year of CSI status, those schools that made progress will have the requirements listed earlier; those that do not will have additional requirements, such as a review of the principal’s evaluation to identify where additional support is needed. If a CSI school does not make gains for two consecutive years, the school must conduct an additional diagnostic needs assessment and must identify in its plan how it will partner with an external Technical Assistance provider, such as the BOCES or a Regional Special Education Technical Assistance Support Center (RSE-TASC). NYSED also will provide additional support to these schools.

CSI schools that are part of the receivership program will have the same interventions above, with the additional accountability requirement of needing to make demonstrable improvement to avoid being placed under the management of an independent receiver.

Support for TSI schools will be the responsibility of the local district. New York State will rely on the judgment of districts to determine the appropriate interventions that districts may use in TSI schools. Any school that is re-identified as a TSI will automatically be classified as a Comprehensive Support and Improvement school. Any school previously identified as a Priority School that is re-identified as a Comprehensive Support and Improvement school will enter the Receivership program explained below. In addition, any school in Receivership that is not identified as a CSI school is removed from Receivership at the end of that school year.

New York State will continue to have available for use a number of current interventions and supports, such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schools Under Registration Review (SURR)</td>
<td>Schools identified as having poor learning environments or as being among the lowest performing schools that have failed to improve can be placed under Registration Review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Partner Organizations (EPO)</td>
<td>Districts with identified schools can contract with an external Education Partner Organization that can make recommendations to the local school board on staffing, budget, curriculum, school calendars, and disciplinary processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinguished Educators</td>
<td>Identified schools or districts may be required to work with a Distinguished Educator, who will oversee the district or school improvement plan and serve as an ex-officio member of the local school board.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Intervention Team Review Process</td>
<td>Identified schools are required to undergo a review by a team of internal staff and external experts, whose findings will inform the school’s improvement plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receivership</td>
<td>A school receiver, which can be the district superintendent or an independent receiver, has the authority to take dramatic actions, such as removing staff, expanding the school day, instituting wraparound services, or exploring conversion to charter status. Receivership can start under a district superintendent but move to an independent receiver if results do not improve. Schools are placed in receivership if they are among the lowest-performing schools in the State and have not improved after three years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Diagnostic Tool for School and District Effectiveness (DTSDE) rubric and review protocols have been the cornerstone of school and district improvement efforts in New York State since 2012. The rubric is a research-based tool that outlines six tenets of school and district success. New York State approaches the review process as a technical assistance opportunity designed to identify potential barriers to success, rather than a compliance checklist or a form of evaluation.

The State believes that the combination of progressive intervention systems and multiple levers available for more extensive interventions when necessary will allow New York State to consider the most appropriate intervention for the identified school and selectively apply interventions when deemed appropriate.

**How will schools exit CSI or TSI status?**

A CSI school must, for two consecutive years, be above the levels that would cause it to be identified for CSI status. Similarly, TSI schools would need to show enough progress after two years with the subgroup or subgroups that were identified for low performance, in order to exit TSI status. Schools may exit CSI or TSI status if, for two consecutive years:

- The school’s achievement index and growth or graduation index are both Level 2 or higher, or
- Both achievement and growth or graduation are higher than at the time of identification; AND either growth/graduation or achievement is Level 2 or higher; AND none of the following is Level 1: Progress; English language proficiency; chronic absenteeism; and college, career, and civic readiness.

Alternatively, if a school is not on the new lists of schools that are created every third year, the school will be removed from identification.

---

6 Extensive information about the DTSDE process and its research base can be found here: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/diagnostic-tool-institute/home.html
Supporting Excellent Educators

What ESSA Requires

- Equitable access to effective educators.
- A licensure and certification system in place.
- Support for educators in reaching students with specific learning needs (e.g., low-income students, gifted students).
- Actions to strengthen teacher preparation programs.

The Big Picture

New York State’s efforts to improve all students’ access to effective educators includes work with preparation programs, higher education providers, districts, BOCES and educators:

To Ensure ...

... New York State will:

- **Equitable Access to Effective Teachers**
  - Support school districts, BOCES, institutions of higher education, and other education preparation program providers to develop comprehensive systems of educator support that address five common challenge areas: 1) preparation; 2) recruitment and hiring; 3) professional development and growth; 4) retention of effective educators; and 5) extending the reach of the most effective educators to the most high-need students
  - Work with institutions of higher education and other education preparation program providers to support initiatives that identify and recruit promising candidates into education preparation programs
  - Work with school districts, BOCES, institutions of higher education, and other education preparation program providers to recruit, prepare, develop, and retain a more culturally diverse educator workforce that better mirrors our State’s student population
  - Work with school districts and BOCES to create and refine career ladders, consistent with NYSED’s Career Ladder Pathways Framework, as a key lever in improving their systems of educator support and development

- **Well-Prepared Teachers from Preparation Programs**
  - Work with stakeholders to explore enhancements to current clinical practice requirements for aspiring teachers and leaders
  - Work with stakeholders, including school districts, BOCES, institutions of higher education, and other education preparation program providers to create clear guidance and expectations for teacher preparation program course work that will promote a consistent standard for preparation programs across the state
  - Expand programs that provide greater opportunities for candidates to apply in authentic settings the knowledge and skills they’ve acquired
  - Create tools and other resources that will facilitate feedback loops between preparation programs and the districts that employ their graduates
Seamless Certification Pathways

- Work with stakeholders to determine what, if any, revisions are necessary to existing certification pathways/requirements that will promote increased numbers of qualified candidates, particularly in emerging fields and hard-to-staff subject areas.

Support for Educators New to the Field

- Work with stakeholders to examine whether revisions are necessary to the current first-year mentoring requirement.
- Encourage districts and BOCES to develop mentoring programs that provide educators with differentiated supports that will provide new teachers and school leaders with what they need to succeed.
- Provide tools and other resources, consistent with best practice, to school districts and BOCES that will help them recruit, select, develop, and reward teacher leaders who serve as mentors to their peers.
- Develop and encourage districts/BOCES to adopt induction models that provide a menu of differentiated supports to educators during the first three years of their careers that are tailored to what they need to succeed.

Support for School Leaders

- Take advantage of newly available funding under Title IIA to develop programs focused on promoting effective educational leadership and that address emerging needs. Focus areas and support systems will be developed collaboratively, based on needs identified by a broad range of stakeholders.
- Engage with stakeholders to provide better professional learning and support for current school building leaders and aspiring principals, such as revisions to the State’s leadership standards, preparation program and licensure frameworks, and mentoring requirements.

What’s New? What’s Different?

New York State will:

- Increase focus on alignment of Title II, Part A grant spending to efforts designed to close gaps in equitable access to out-of-field, inexperienced, or ineffective educators.
- Increase focus on using Title II, Part A grant spending on efforts to create and refine comprehensive talent management systems that ensure that educators receive supports and have opportunities for development and advancement along the entire continuum of their careers.
- Leverage the creation of P-20 partnerships that explicitly recognize the importance of institutions of higher education and other preparation programs as key levers in improving the quality and diversity of the educator workforce.
- Use additional requirements that districts identify gaps in equitable access to excellent educators and identify how their use of Title II, Part A funds will help close those gaps.
- Use part of the newly available funding for school leaders to focus on professional development for principals in schools identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement.
- Use part of the newly available funding for school leaders to build on the recommendations of the Principal Preparation Project Advisory Team, a recent effort funded by the Wallace Foundation, to provide better professional learning and support for current school building leaders and aspiring principals, such as revisions to the State’s leadership standards, preparation program and licensure frameworks, and mentoring requirements.
- Convene a Clinical Practice Work Group to examine changes to the current field experience and placement requirements for teachers and school leaders.
Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity

Persistent achievement gaps between different groups of students and inequitable access to excellent teachers and school leaders interfere with the goal that all students graduate college, career, and life ready. The Department believes that all students, regardless of race, income, background, gender, disability status, primary language, or ZIP code, should have equitable access to the most effective educators.

New York State envisions that its plan for undertaking State-level activities by using Title II, Part A funds and the assistance that the Department will provide to districts in using Title II, Part A funds will support improvements in teaching and learning and support increases in educational equity by:

- Leveraging partnerships between institutions of higher education and other preparation programs and public schools to create additional opportunities for candidates in teacher and school building leader preparation programs to have robust, field-based experiences that allow them to apply what they learn in the classroom and demonstrate that they have acquired the necessary knowledge, skills, and abilities to provide effective instruction and effective leadership earlier in their careers. These partnerships may also focus on recruiting and preparing a more culturally diverse workforce that better mirrors the LEA’s student population.
- Examining existing pathways to certification for both teachers and school leaders to ensure that existing structures are not creating unintended barriers for promising candidates to enter the profession.
- Expanding the supports that are provided to novice and early careers educators to ensure that they can improve their practice and continue in the profession.
- Assisting LEAs in recruiting, selecting, developing, and rewarding highly effective educators who serve as mentors and coaches for their peers.
- Assisting LEAs in creating comprehensive systems of professional learning and support for all educators that use data about student learning and educator practice as key inputs in providing differentiated, needs-based support.
- Assisting LEAs in creating career ladders and other opportunities for advancement in the profession that allow educators with a proven record of effectiveness to take on additional roles and responsibilities.

How New York State Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements

How will New York State ensure equitable access to excellent teachers?

NYSED will publish, online, an annual report examining equitable access to effective teachers per district – including gaps in access to those teachers in low-income, high-minority schools vs. high-income, low-minority schools. In addition to traditional measures of educator equity, such as teacher qualifications and effectiveness data, the Department will also include analytics that research shows are important considerations for equity, such as teacher and principal turnover, tenure status, and demographics. NYSED proposes to facilitate a root cause analysis with districts, centered on this data, to help them identify roadblocks and possible solutions, such as strengthening mentoring/induction programs, targeting professional development, or improving career ladders. NYSED will also link this process to districts’ annual Title II, Part A applications to target federal funding to address equity needs.

How will New York State license and certify its teachers and leaders?

New York State will continue with its current certification and licensure system for teachers and school leaders, including completion of a New York State-recognized program, recommendation from a preparation program, passage of certification exams, attendance at a Dignity for All Students workshop, and fingerprint clearance. New York State will
also maintain its existing systems of individual evaluation and transitional certificates as alternate pathways to certification. School leaders also must possess a Master’s degree, pass two exams, and have three years of full-time teaching or student service experience.

As New York State works to build the skills of its highly regarded teaching and school leader workforce, the State now requires educators to renew their professional certificates every five years through completing continuing education in their chosen content area and in language-acquisition. Any district receiving Title II, Part A dollars also must develop a professional development plan that must meet a number of requirements and describe how learning experiences for teachers will be high-quality and sustained.

**How will New York State help its teachers support specific learning needs?**

NYSED recognizes the importance of ensuring that teachers, principals, and other school leaders have the knowledge, skills, and abilities that they need to meet the needs of all students. Central to this is ensuring that educators are able to identify students with specific learning needs and to provide differentiated instruction based on students’ needs and to support the social, emotional, and academic development of all students in culturally responsive ways.

Foundationally, the NYS Teaching Standards and the 2008 ISSLC Standards7 (for school building leaders) include a set of domains and corresponding performance indicators that express the Department’s expectation of what teachers and school building leaders should know and be able to do to be effective practitioners. Explicit in both sets of standards are domains and indicators centered on ensuring that educators are able to identify, teach to, and assess the progress of all students in a way that responds to their unique needs. The State’s system for educator evaluation for both teachers and principals is aligned to these standards, and districts and BOCES are required to use the information provided by the evaluation system to make employment-related decisions, including recommendations for professional development. For teachers and principals who are rated in the bottom two categories of the evaluation system (Developing or Ineffective), this support must also include the development of an individualized, needs-based improvement plan that specifies differentiated activities aligned to areas in need of improvement.

Additionally, the State’s requirements for pedagogical coursework for educator preparation programs includes specific requirements designed to ensure that educators can 1) identify the learning needs of students and utilize research-validated instructional strategies for teaching students within the full range of abilities, and 2) design and offer differentiated instruction that enhances the learning of all students. Further, teacher and school building leader certification exams (for example, the edTPA for teachers or the Educating All Students exam) include frameworks to ensure that aspiring educators have developed the necessary foundational knowledge, skills, and abilities to identify and address the needs of all students. Although the current preparation program coursework requirements for New York State-approved programs very clearly describe what the Department expects from preparation programs, information collected by the Department shows that all programs are not preparing candidates in a consistent manner. To that end, the Department will work with stakeholders to create guidance and clear expectations for all preparation programs across the State.

---

7 The Department has launched the Principal Preparation Project with support from the Wallace Foundation, which aims to enhance State support for the development of school building leaders. One of the issues that the advisory group for this project is undertaking is whether to recommend to the Board of Regents that the Department move from the 2008 ISSLC standards to the 2015 PSEL standards. The 2015 PSEL standards more explicitly address the need for education leaders to address the needs of a diverse student population than do the 2008 ISSLC standards.
Additional requirements, such as Continuing Teacher and Leader Education for professional certificate holders and professional development plans for school districts and BOCES, are designed to ensure that educators across New York State receive ongoing professional learning and support that is grounded in a needs assessment and which help educators meet the needs of all students in a way that is culturally responsive by helping to develop the knowledge, skill, and opportunity to 1) collaborate to improve instruction and student achievement in a respectful and trusting environment, 2) meet the diverse needs of all students, 3) create safe, secure, supportive, and equitable learning environments for all students, and 4) engage and collaborate with parents, families, and other community members as active partners in children’s education. Additionally, professional development requirements like CTLE are designed to ensure that educators receive proper training and support to identify and support differently abled students, including students with IEPs who are also gifted and talented. In this way, school districts and BOCES can continue to provide support to educators in identifying and meeting the needs of all students.

How will New York State transform its teacher and principal preparation programs?
NYSED will convene a Clinical Practice Work Group to examine changes to the current field experience and placement requirements for teachers and school leaders. Among other things, these changes may include:

- Increasing and strengthening field experiences and student teaching and encouraging preparation programs to align field experiences with evidence-based practices.
- Requiring preparation programs to approve candidates’ completion of their program with evidence of positive student outcomes from multiple measures.
- Creating greater opportunities for aspiring teachers and school leaders to apply their skills and knowledge in more authentic settings.

Specific to the preparation of school building leaders and consistent with the recommendations of the Principal Preparation Project Advisory Team, the Department will explore the following approaches to ensure better professional learning and support for aspiring leaders:

- Organize certification around the 2015 Professional Standards for Educational Leaders (PSEL)
- Strengthen university-based School Building Leader (SBL) programs by closely linking the 2015 PSEL with extended school-based internship
- Create pathways, options and/or opportunities leading to full-time, year-long, school-based internships for aspiring principals.
- Adapt preparation to account for a variety of settings.
- Add a competency-based expectation to initial certification. This calls upon aspiring school building leaders to take what they learn in a university-based SBL program and apply it successfully in an authentic school-based setting to improve staff functioning, student learning, or school performance. Before a university attests that an aspiring school building leader who has completed its SBL program is “certification ready,” the superintendent or mentor who is sponsoring the aspiring leader’s internship must also attest that the candidate demonstrated readiness for certification by successfully completing a set of projects that demonstrate competency with respect to the State-adopted certification standards.
Supporting English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners

What ESSA Requires

- Comprehensive services for ELLs/MLLs.
- A description of how the State will monitor and support districts to meet long-term goals and measures of interim progress for the English language development of ELLs/MLLs, as well as to ensure that ELLs/MLLs attain the State’s challenging academic standards.
- A description of how the State will develop and implement a uniform ELL/MLL identification and exit procedure, and utilize a consistent definition of an ELL/MLL.

The Big Picture

New York State’s ESSA Plan will enable ELLs/MLLs to develop English language proficiency, as well as access the state’s challenging academic standards, through the provision of high-quality instruction and support, as well as the creation of an accountability and support system that equitably and accurately measures ELL/MLL achievement:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Ensure ...</th>
<th>... New York State will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equitable and Reliable Accountability</td>
<td>Exempt recently arrived ELLs/MLLs in the first year of enrollment from the State English language arts assessment. Such students will take the test in the second year to set a baseline by which to measure growth as well as achievement in the third year and beyond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufficient Time to Learn English</td>
<td>Expect ELLs/MLLs to become English proficient in three to five years, with factors such as level of English proficiency at entry into New York State schools determining the number of years within which an ELL/MLL is expected to become proficient in English</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What's New? What's Different?

New York State will:

- Exempt recently arrived ELLs/MLLs in the first year of enrollment from the English Language Arts exam. In addition, New York State will propose to use such students’ ELA scores in the second year of enrollment only to set a baseline for future growth and achievement. In past practice, ELLs’/MLLs’ ELA scores in the second year were used to measure achievement, rather than to set a baseline.
- Use a Transition Matrix Table for incorporating ELLs’/MLLs’ attainment of English language proficiency into State accountability determinations. The Transition Matrix model is based on initial English language proficiency level and incorporates expected growth per year against actual growth, which mirrors the natural language development trajectory.
- Develop a District/School Self-Evaluation Tool to determine the degree to which each district is providing academic instruction that meets ELLs’/MLLs’ needs.
Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity

Of New York State’s 2.6 million public school students, 8.8 percent are ELLs or MLLs. New York State will seek to improve teaching and learning as well as educator effectiveness by setting challenging but attainable goals for ELLs'/MLLs’ development of English language proficiency, as well as by enabling ELLs'/MLLs’ attainment of New York State’s challenging State academic standards and the New York State Bilingual Progressions, in accordance with the Blueprint for ELLs/MLLs Success, which was released in 2014.

By exempting recently arrived ELLs/MLLs from the English Language Arts assessment in their first year of enrollment, and using their second year English Language Arts score only to set a baseline for future growth, New York State will ensure that districts/schools have an additional year to build on ELLs'/MLLs’ linguistic growth, while measuring progress and targeting instruction to truly meet the needs of ELLs/MLLs.

Furthermore, New York State’s Transition Matrix for incorporating ELLs'/MLLs’ attainment of English language proficiency will inform teaching and learning and enable educator effectiveness, by allowing educators to determine yearly whether a student is meeting expected growth targets to develop English proficiency, based on the student’s level of English proficiency at entry into the New York State school system.

How New York Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements

What resources will New York provide districts for educating ELLs/MLLs?

New York’s Regional Bilingual Education Resources Networks (RBERNs), which are located throughout the State, provide technical assistance and professional development to educators of ELL/MLL students to enable them to gain English language proficiency and progress toward college or career readiness, as well as parent/caregiver trainings and support. These include annual Regional Parent/Guardian/Caregiver Institutes, which reach over 100 participants in each region. Each RBERN holds between 200 and 400 professional development sessions in total on a yearly basis.

NYSED’s array of ELL modules, professional development opportunities, and coordination of coursework opportunities for ELL/MLL teachers enable educators of these students to advance their skills. These include an annual ELL/MLL Literacy Conference (600 people attended in 2016) and other supports on best instructional practices for linguistically diverse settings, as well as extensive training on a curriculum for low-literacy Students with Interrupted or Inconsistent Formal Education (SIFE). Furthermore, the Department has created resources to help educators meet New York State’s challenging academic standards in their instruction of ELLs/MLLs, including a Multilingual Literacy Screener (MLS) for the identification of SIFE, P-12 Math Curriculum Modules translated into the top five languages spoken in the State, and the PENpal Home Language Questionnaire Toolkit (which verbally translates the State’s Home Language Questionnaire into 26 languages).

NYSED has an ELL/MLL Parents Bill of Rights outlining 17 of the most critical rights of ELL/MLL parents that is translated into nine languages, an ELL/MLL parent guide available in 25 languages, and a native-language hotline for parents to ask questions and get advice. Finally, the Department has produced a parent orientation video, available in eight languages.
**How will New York State support ELLs/MLLs to achieve English language proficiency and meet challenging academic standards?**

NYSED is developing a District/School Self-Evaluation Tool to determine the degree to which each district is providing academic instruction that meets ELLs’/MLLs’ needs, and enables them to meet State accountability targets. This Self-Evaluation Tool includes goals, objectives, and rating scales, and requires districts to conduct diagnostic self-assessments of their ELL/MLL programs. Each district also develops a Comprehensive ELL Education plan describing the services that it provides for ELL/MLL students.

NYSED will monitor districts’ Comprehensive ELL Education Plans, their data/information reports on ELL/MLL students, and results from their School/District Self-Evaluation assessments to determine what kind of assistance is needed. Furthermore, NYSED conducts regular monitoring, site visits, and technical assistance to support districts in serving ELLs/MLLs.

**What are New York State’s procedures for identifying and exiting ELLs/MLLs?**

New York State is already in compliance with ESSA’s mandate to create a uniform ELL/MLL identification and exit procedure. On the initial English language proficiency assessment, the New York State Identification Test for English Language Learners (NYSITELL), students are identified as ELLs/MLLs if they score at the Entering, Emerging, Transitioning, or Expanding levels of proficiency. Those who score at the Commanding level of proficiency on the NYSITELL are not identified as ELLs/MLLs.

As described in the Accountability section, once ELLs and MLLs are identified, they take the State’s yearly ELP assessment, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT). Students may exit ELL/MLL status by demonstrating English proficiency in one of two ways: 1) by obtaining an overall score in the Commanding range on the NYSESLAT, or 2) by obtaining an overall score in the Expanding range on the NYSESLAT AND scoring above designated cut points on the Grade 3-8 English Language Arts assessment or Regents Exam in English.
Supporting All Students

What ESSA Requires

- Support for districts to improve school conditions for student learning by reducing bullying, exclusionary disciplinary practices, and unnecessary behavioral interventions.
- Support for districts to provide effective transitions to middle grades and high school to prevent students from dropping out.
- Access to a well-rounded education and safe, healthy, and supportive, learning environments.
- Support for migratory children.
- Support for neglected and delinquent youth.
- Support for youth in foster care and homeless children and youth.
- Support for students attending rural schools.
- Administration of Student Support and Academic Enrichment and 21st Century Community Learning Centers grants.

The Big Picture

New York State believes that the highest levels of learning can occur when students and educators learn and teach in environments that are safe, supportive, and welcoming to all.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Ensure ...</th>
<th>... New York State will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning for All Students</td>
<td>Support districts in creating conditions that maximize student learning, especially for traditionally marginalized youth including youth of color, LGBTQ youth and youth with disabilities, through activities, policies, and strategies that reduce bullying, harassment, and the overuse of punitive and exclusionary responses to student misbehavior while promoting positive disciplinary practices, improving school climate, and providing students with social-emotional support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety for All Students</td>
<td>Work with districts to build positive school climates that are based on inclusive, equitable school cultures that recognize student diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong Home-School Partnerships</td>
<td>Promote State, district, and school-level strategies for effectively engaging parents and family members in their student’s education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robust School-Community Partnerships</td>
<td>Require schools and districts to collaborate with relevant community, such as afterschool or healthcare providers when conducting a comprehensive needs assessment and creating plans based from such assessments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Digital Literacy Skills</td>
<td>Promote equitable access for all students to effective school library programs, which includes information fluency instruction and digital literacy instruction that are delivered by State-certified school librarians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Access to a Well-Rounded Education
Allow Title I schools that meet alternative criteria to implement a Schoolwide program, even if their poverty rates are below 40 percent

Support for Migratory Students
Provide targeted academic programs and support services to those students so that they receive full and appropriate opportunities to meet the same challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards that all children are expected to meet

Support for Neglected and Delinquent Students
Work closely with the New York State Office of Children and Family Services, the New York State Department of Corrections and Community Supervision, and other agencies as appropriate to create formal transition plan templates to be used for each student

Support for Youth in Foster Care or Homeless Youth
Develop and/or update policies, procedures, and guidance related to transportation, disputes, and continuous enrollment practices

What's New? What's Different?
New York State will:

- Publish, annually, the per-pupil expenditures for each Local Education Agency (LEA) and school in the State for the preceding fiscal year. The reporting must be disaggregated by source of fund (federal, State, and local) and include actual personnel and non-personnel expenditures
- Provide access to new funds under the Title IV, Part A Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grants.
- Inform districts of requirements under McKinney-Vento, including:
  - Students who are homeless are now entitled to transportation to their school of origin, and students who move into permanent housing are entitled to continued transportation to their school of origin through the remainder of the school year.
  - A preschool student who is homeless can maintain enrollment and receive transportation to his/her preschool if it is a school of origin.
  - Children awaiting foster care placement are no longer considered homeless.
- Create uniform transition plans for students exiting neglected or delinquent facilities and require school districts to appoint a transition liaison to ensure the students’ successful return to school.

Supporting Improvements in Teaching and Learning and Increasing Educational Equity
New York State envisions that its plans for supporting all students will support improvement in teaching and learning and support increases in educational equity by:

- Using new fiscal transparency reports to highlight instances where resources must be reallocated to better support those students with the greatest needs.
- Ensuring that all students – regardless of the school that they attend – have access to enriched curriculum and education experiences by engaging students across a variety of courses, activities, and programs in subjects such as English, reading/language arts, writing, science, technology, engineering, mathematics, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, geography, computer science, music, career and technical education, health, and physical education.
• Strengthening the links between the State Migrant Education Program (MEP) and home, as well as between home and schools, through advocacy and supporting self-advocacy by parents and guardians.

• Directing resources and providing targeted and evidence-based supplemental academic interventions and support for all eligible migratory children and giving priority to those in-school migratory children who have been identified for Priority for Services (PFS) – those who are failing, or most at risk of failing - to meet the challenging State academic standards and whose education has been interrupted during the regular school year.

• Ensuring that students who complete academic programs while in a neglected or delinquent facility receive appropriate credit as part of their pathway to graduation.

• Ensuring the successful return to school of students who have been in neglected or delinquent facilities.

• Developing State and local policies and procedures to ensure that homeless youth are provided the same access to appropriate educational supports, services, and opportunities as their peers.

How New York State Responds to Specific ESSA Requirements

How will New York State support safe school conditions for all?

It is a priority of the Board of Regents that New York State schools foster a culture and climate that make school a safe haven where every student feels welcome and free from bias, harassment, discrimination, and bullying, especially for traditionally marginalized youth including, but not limited to, youth of color, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) youth, and youth with disabilities. NYSED will expand current efforts to encourage positive and safe school climates in school by using tools such as additional guidance and training for district and school staff on appropriate implementation of the Dignity for All Students Act, professional development on reducing exclusionary discipline, and the use of school climate surveys, among other efforts. Schools and districts are already required to collect and submit data on incidents of violence, bullying, discrimination, and harassment. NYSED will continue to develop guidance and technical assistance for schools to expand supports for students’ social and emotional needs and spread restorative practices as opposed to exclusionary disciplinary tactics.

How will New York State support seamless school transitions?

NYSED will support school districts in facilitating successful P-12 transitions by requiring the entire school community (district leadership, teachers, support service personnel, students, families, community partners, and other relevant stakeholders) to form collaborative transition teams that are an ongoing presence in each cohort’s P-12 academic experience. The transition team’s purpose is to ensure that the needs of each cohort of students are identified and met before, during, and after key transition points.
Highlights of New York State’s work on transitions include:

Early childhood to elementary school
- New York State encourages the use of home visits to welcome families into elementary school, and the State has collaborated with Head Start providers to develop a tool to improve coordination between those providers and school districts. NYSED has also released a “Tool to Assess the Effectiveness of Transitions from Prekindergarten to Kindergarten” to schools and their partners to gauge their transition efforts in four key areas.

Elementary school to middle school
- NYSED encourages districts to hold in-person sessions, meetings, and activities such as middle school visits to smooth the transition from elementary to middle school. Transition teams should begin planning for these efforts as early as fourth grade. NYSED will serve as a repository for evidence-based transition tools to assist LEAs in determining the most effective strategies for children during this developmentally dynamic time.

Middle school to high school
- NYSED allows middle school students to earn high school credit as one way for younger students to get accustomed to the rigors of high school. NYSED encourages districts to hold in-person sessions as well as mentoring and student-shadow days to ease the transition.

Secondary and postsecondary transitions
- Key programs NYSED coordinates to enhance students’ high school experience include dropout prevention, career-focused opportunities, early college high schools, career pathways, and access to advanced coursework.

How will New York State support equitable access to a well-rounded education?

NYSED will provide programmatic supports and fiscal resources to increase the number of schools across the State that:

- Are led by visionary instructional leaders
- Provide challenging and engaging curricula
- Provide effective professional development
- Promote social, emotional, physical, and cognitive development throughout the day
- Promote inclusive partnerships
- Support multiple pathways to graduation and career readiness
- Examine schoolwide policies to ensure their effectiveness and cultural responsiveness
- Incorporate the work of community partners
- Increase access to school library programs
- Engage families in school improvement, special education decisions, early learning programs, ELL/MLL services, and understanding Board of Regents initiatives

How will New York State support equitable access to safe, healthy, and supportive, learning environments?

NYSED will continue to develop and use a school climate index that considers the results of school climate surveys completed by students, parents, school personnel, and community members; a school violence index; and chronic absenteeism data.

How will New York State support migratory students?

Migratory children ages 3-21 continue to be served by the New York State Migrant Education Program, which assesses each migratory child’s needs. These include preschool services, instructional services in elementary/middle school, graduation plans in high school, support services at every grade level, and support and advocacy to out-of-school youth.
NYSED works to ensure that services provided to migratory children are integrated with the rest of its ESSA proposals and offer migratory children the same access to coursework, academic content, after-school opportunities, and postsecondary readiness efforts.

**How will New York State support students who are neglected and/or delinquent?**
Children who are neglected or delinquent require coordinated efforts between NYSED and various State and local agencies. The State will convene an advisory group to develop a transition plan that facilities serving these students will implement so that students will receive access to New York State’s core curriculum (instead of high school equivalency-focused instruction). NYSED will direct each district to identify a staff member who will support students as they transition from correctional facilities or other juvenile-justice programs.

In addition, NYSED will study the impact on State and local funding for core instruction at county jails and detention centers as a result of recently enacted “Raise the Age” legislation. The Department will generate field guidance to districts and facilities addressing programmatic and fiscal changes as a result of the new legislation.

**How will New York State support homeless children and youth?**
New York State has seen a significant increase in homeless students in the past six years; there are more than 140,000 students in the State who are homeless. NYSED and its contractor, the New York State Technical and Education Assistance Center for Homeless Students, will continue to assist districts with identifying these students, publicizing services available to them and their families, training staff on meeting their needs, and developing resources on trauma sensitivity. The goal of these efforts is to ensure that homeless youth are identified and given equal access to education and support services, including removing barriers that may prevent them from participating fully in school and extracurricular activities.

As federal policies on homeless student services get modified, NYSED will continue to update districts and schools on new requirements and the need to eliminate barriers to homeless students receiving a well-rounded education.

**How will the ESSA plan support students with disabilities?**
The draft ESSA plan supports effective transition practices throughout a student’s educational experience and fosters coordinated transitions from early childhood education to postsecondary education. This emphasis on coordinated transitions directly aligns with the Department’s initiatives in transition planning for students with disabilities under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). This alignment also promotes the development of schoolwide inclusive systems of transitions, based on a student’s individual needs, experiences, interests, and aspirations.

**How will New York State support rural schools with high poverty rates?**
NYSED will provide rural schools with high rates of poverty with technical assistance on accessing federal funds geared toward their students.
How will New York State support 21st-Century Community Learning Centers?

Provided that these federal funds remain available, NYSED will continue to make these dollars available to support wrap-around services and academic enrichment. In particular, NYSED will direct applicants to use these funds for the following priorities:

- Expanded learning time
- Social and emotional learning
- High-quality family engagement

Applications are examined by expert peer reviewers, and funds are targeted for Title I schools or schools that serve at least 40 percent of their students free- or reduced-price lunches. Schools that are CSI, TSI, in high-need rural areas, are persistently dangerous, or serve ELL/MLL students also get priority.

A Word About Resources

The agenda that has been presented in this document is ambitious, and readers may rightly ask whether the State and districts and schools can afford to implement this agenda. The short answer is that we cannot afford not to move forward, and we have significant capacity to implement this work. This agenda will be supported by the approximately $1.6 billion that New York State receives annually in ESSA funding. As described in this plan, we at the State Education Department will be making revisions in how we utilize State-level ESSA resources, and we expect districts and schools to do the same, especially as the results of the new per pupil expenditure reports become available. We also have substantial technical resources available to support the implementation of this plan including, our BOCES; the Regional Special Education Technical Assistance Support Centers; the Regional Bilingual Education Resource Network; the Teachers Centers; and other networks, such as the NYSTeachs, which supports districts in providing services to homeless youth. The Department also has the ability to call upon institutes of higher education, museums, libraries, and cultural institutions, and other State agencies to support implementation of this plan. As they have in past years, the Board of Regents will continue to make proposals to the Governor and the legislature for increased resources to expand the capacity of schools, districts, and the Department itself to improve educational outcomes and reduce equity gaps.

Conclusion

New York State views this ESSA plan as an opportunity to refocus our efforts on achieving the mission of the New York State Board of Regents:

“The mission of the New York State Board of Regents is to ensure that every child has equitable access to the highest quality educational opportunities, services and supports in schools that provide effective instruction aligned to the state’s standards, as well as positive learning environments so that each child is prepared for success in college, career, and citizenship.”
ESSA New York State Consolidated State Plan

Glossary of Terms

2008 ISSLC Standards: The Interstate School Leaders Licensure Consortium Educational Leadership Policy Standards as adopted by the National Policy Board for Educational Administration. These are New York State’s current standards for school building leaders.

Accommodations: Testing accommodations are changes in the standard administration of a test, including testing procedures or formats that enable students with disabilities to participate in assessment programs on a more equitable basis with their non-disabled peers.

Accountability Cohort: Cohort of students used to determine secondary-level (high school) assessment performance for accountability.

Achievement Index: An average across subjects of the performance of students in a school at either the elementary/middle level or the secondary level, based upon the percentage of students who perform at partially proficient, proficient, or advanced levels.

Academic Indicator or Indicator: An academic measure (subject or group of subjects) that will be used to measure the aggregated performance of students.

Accountability Determinations: The determination as to whether a school, district, or subgroup has met the required standards in achievement or performance.

Accountability Measures or Measures: A measure (or subject) used to make accountability determinations. For example: elementary-middle mathematics.

Achievement Level: Level from 1 to 4 that indicates where a school falls in the ranking of all schools, based on the performance of its students on assessments. Levels are assigned based on a range in which a school falls in the ranking.

Advanced Coursework: Coursework that may lead to obtaining college credit, such as Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) courses.

Advanced High School Assessments: Assessments that may be used to obtain college credit, such as Advanced Placement (AP) and International Baccalaureate (IB) exams.

Advanced Mathematics for Middle School Students: Term used to refer to high school mathematics course and/or Regents Exam in mathematics that is taken by a student in Grade 7 or Grade 8.

Alternate Pathways to Teacher Certification: New York State offers a number of alternative preparation models for individuals who already hold an undergraduate or graduate degree in the subject of certification, but who did not complete a NYS approved teacher preparation program. Additional information about these pathways is available at: http://www.highered.nysed.gov/tcert/certificate/pathways.html

Back-translation: During the process of translating a test form into another language, the new version of the test is translated into the original language to ensure accuracy.

Baseline for growth: A baseline is a benchmark for measuring or comparing current and past values or scores, in order to measure growth from one point to another.

Baseline: Performance on which growth or progress is based.
Basic: Achievement level indicating that a student has shown no proficiency toward the standards measured by an assessment.

Basic Proficient: Achievement level indicating that a student has shown partial proficiency toward the standards measured by an assessment.

Bilingual Education extension: Extension of a New York State (NYS) teaching certificate authorizing the holder of a valid teaching certificate to provide instruction in a Bilingual Education class.

Bilingual Education program: A Transitional Bilingual Education program or a Dual Language program that is research-based and comprised of the following instructional components: Language Arts (including both Home Language Arts and English Language Arts), English as a New Language, and Bilingual content areas.

BOCES: Abbreviation for Boards of Cooperative Educational Services. The State’s 37 BOCES are organized by region and are designed to provide services to schools and districts within that region.

Career and Technical Education Endorsements (CTE): A career and technical education (CTE) certificate is a classroom teaching certificate that authorizes the holder to teach a specific subject in a New York State public high school or BOCES in a career and technical education program.

Career Ladders: A systemic, coordinated approach that provides new and sustained leadership opportunities with additional compensation, recognition, and job-embedded professional development for teachers and principals in order to advance excellent teaching and learning.

Career-Ready Level: Content knowledge and skills needed to be successful after high school and that leads to a career pathway.

Chronic Absenteeism: The number of students enrolled during the school year who were absent (excused or unexcused) for at least 10% of enrolled days divided by the total number of students enrolled during the school year, expressed as a percentage.

Clinically Rich Intensive Teacher Institute (CR-ITI): A teacher training program with the goal of providing ELLs/MLLs with highly qualified and certified teachers in the areas of Bilingual Education and English to Speakers of Other Languages. The program provides partial tuition assistance for certain certified public school teachers or pupil personnel currently teaching or working with substantial populations of ELLs/MLLs.

College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index: A method of measuring a school’s success in preparing its students for college, a career, and civic engagement. The index is determined by assigning different weights to various achievements, such as receiving a Regents Diploma with Advanced Designation or a Regents Diploma and a Seal of Biliteracy.

Commissioner’s Regulations Part 154 Comprehensive ELL Education Plan (CEEP): Under Commissioner’s Regulations Part 154, all Local Education Agencies (LEAs) are required to develop a CEEP to meet the educational needs of ELLs/MLLs. All LEAs must keep their completed CEEPs on file in the LEAs’ main office and make them available for review upon request by the New York State Education Department (NYSED).

Comprehensive Diagnostic Needs Assessment: The full needs assessment that all identified schools will do in their first year of identification, and in future years as needed. The Comprehensive Diagnostic Needs Assessment has three components: a full DTSDE review, a review of data, and a Resource Audit.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools: Schools identified every three years because the school is among the lowest five% in the state or the school’s four year graduation is below 67% and the school does not have a five- or
six-year graduation rate at or above 67%. A Targeted Assistance School that fails to improve will also be identified as a Comprehensive Support and Improvement School.

**Consistency:** The measure of change in variation over time.

**Constructed-Response:** Open-ended question on an assessment, requiring a performance task (e.g., essay, “show-your-work” mathematics response) to complete.

**Continuously Enrolled Students:** Currently, students enrolled on BEDS day (Basic Educational Data System day, usually the first Wednesday in October) and during the test administration window. Under ESSA, continuously enrolled students will mean students who were enrolled in a school for the majority of the school year.

**CR Part 154:** Education Law §3204 and Part 154 set forth standards for educational services provided to ELL/MLL students in New York State.

**CSI School:** Abbreviation for Comprehensive Support and Improvement School; those schools in the state that are the lowest performing.

**Data Dashboard:** A single-screen internet data visualization tool that displays critical indicator outcomes for an entity, such as a school or district.

**Diagnostic Tool for School and District Effectiveness (DTSDE):** The research-based rubric and review protocols used by the State with identified schools. The DTSDE rubric is organized into six tenets critical for school and district success.

**District Comprehensive Improvement Plan (DCIP):** The annual improvement plan developed by districts identified as low-performing.

**District/School Self-evaluation Tool:** Instrument to assist districts, schools and stakeholders in determining the degree to which districts/schools are providing ELLs/MLLS with high-quality, equitable, and appropriate instructional and support services pursuant to Commissioner’s Regulations Part 154 and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

**Educational Equity:** Ensuring that students across the State have equal access to courses, teachers, school environments, regardless of their race or ethnicity, socio-economic status, or language.

**Empirically Validate:** The use of scientific methods to ensure the appropriateness of a test and its uses.

**“End” Goal:** The desired level of performance that every subgroup in every school should ultimately attain. In the case of assessments, this could be a Performance Index of 200. In the case of accountability graduation rate, this could be 95%. The end goal is used as a part of the process of determining how much of a gap exists between current and desired performance.

**End-of-Course Assessment:** Assessment designed to measure knowledge and skills gained through a specific course. For example, Regents Exams are end-of-course assessments.

**English Language Learner/Multilingual Learner (ELL/MLL):** A student who speaks or understands one or more languages other than English, and who scores below a State-designated level of proficiency on the New York State Identification Test for English Language Learners (NYSITELL) or the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT).

**English Language Proficiency:** A student’s performance on the NYSITELL or the NYSESLAT indicates that student’s level of English language proficiency. The NYSITELL and NYSESLAT utilize five levels of proficiency: Entering, Emerging, Transitioning, Expanding, and Commanding.
**English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) Teacher Certification:** A NYS-certified teacher of English to Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL) is certified to provide instruction in an English as a New Language class.

**Equitable Access to Educators:** Under ESSA, equitable access to educators refers to the rates at which low-income and minority students in Title I schools are assigned to out-of-field, ineffective, or inexperienced teachers, as compared to non-low-income, non-minority students in non-Title I schools.

**Evidence-based Intervention:** Under ESSA, all identified schools must include at least one evidence-based intervention in their school improvement plan. **As defined by ESSA, Evidence-based Intervention means an activity, strategy, or intervention that:**

- (A) demonstrates a statistically significant effect on improving student outcomes or other relevant outcomes based on strong evidence from:
  - (I) at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented experimental study;
  - (II) moderate evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented quasi-experimental study; or
  - (III) promising evidence from at least 1 well-designed and well-implemented correlational study with statistical controls for selection bias; **OR**
- (B) (I) demonstrates a rationale based on high-quality research findings or positive evaluation that such activity, strategy, or intervention is likely to improve student outcomes or other relevant outcomes; **AND**
  - (II) includes ongoing efforts to examine the effects of such activity, strategy, or intervention

**Exclusionary discipline practices:** Any type of school disciplinary action that removes or excludes a student from his or her usual educational setting. Two of the most common exclusionary discipline practices at schools include suspension and expulsion.

**Extant:** Currently existing.

**Extended-Year Graduation Rate:** For accountability purposes, the standard graduation rate is calculated four years after a student enters grade 9. Extended-year graduation rates are calculated 5 and 6 years after a student first enters grade 9.

**Gap Reduction (Gap Closing):** Decrease in the size of the difference in performance between subgroups, years, schools, etc.

**Good Standing:** A school or district accountability status indicating that the school has not been identified for Comprehensive Support and Improvement or Targeted Support and improvement.

**Graduation Rate:** For accountability purposes, graduation rate is calculated by dividing the number of students in the graduation-rate total cohort who earned a Regents or local diploma as of August 31 four years after first entering grade 9 by the number of students in the graduation-rate total cohort.

**Graduation-Rate Total Cohort:** Cohort of students used to determine graduation rate for accountability. A graduation-rate total cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 anywhere between July 1 and June 30 of a particular year or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, reached their seventeenth birthday during that year. The cohort consists of students who fit the definition above as of June 30 of the reporting year.

**Growth:** The change in an individual student’s performance on state assessments as measured between two points in time.
**Growth Index:** A number that indicates the growth made by a school based on an averaging of multiple years and subjects for MGPs.

**Home Language Questionnaire (HLQ):** A diagnostic screening instrument used to identify newly enrolling students’ native/home language exposure, in order to determine which students are possibly ELLs/MLLs.

**Homeless Children and Youth:** Children who lack a fixed, regular, and adequate night-time residence including: children and youths who are sharing the housing of other persons due to loss of housing, economic hardship, or a similar reason; are living in motels, hotels, trailer parks, or camping grounds due to the lack of alternative adequate accommodations; are living in emergency or transitional shelters; are abandoned in hospitals; children and youths who are living in cars, parks, public spaces, abandoned buildings, substandard housing, bus or train stations, or similar settings; and migratory children who qualify as homeless.

**Individual Evaluation for Teacher Certification:** Individuals who have not completed a NYS-approved teacher preparation program, but who believe that they have met the requirements for certification in a particular subject area through completion of necessary coursework, may submit an application for an individual evaluation of their credentials. Additional information about this process is available at:

http://www.highered.nysed.gov/tcert/certificate/transeval.html

**Individualized Education Program (IEP):** A written document, developed, reviewed, and revised in accordance with Commissioner’s Regulation Section 200.4, which includes the components (e.g., the student’s present levels of performance, strengths, needs and recommended special education services and testing accommodations) to be provided to meet the unique educational needs of a student with a disability.

**Induction:** A comprehensive and systemic approach to supporting early career educators (both teachers and principals). Such programs may include: mentorship from colleagues, professional learning tailored to the needs of beginning educators, support and communication with administrators, and time for planning and collaboration with other educators.

**Ineffective Teachers:** Teachers who received an overall evaluation rating of Ineffective in the prior school year.

**Inexperienced Teachers:** Teachers with fewer than three years of experience.

**Innovative Assessment Demonstration Authority:** Provision within ESSA that will allow states to pilot new assessment types in participating schools and districts. The authority will be granted to seven states in the initial three-year demonstration period.

**Integrated Intervention Team (IIT):** The Joint Intervention Team that conducts DTSDE school reviews. This team presently consists of a NYSED-supplied consultant who leads the review, a NYSED representative, a district representative, and, when available, a Special Education School Improvement Specialist (SESID) and a member from the Regional Bilingual Education Resource Network.

**Joint Intervention Team:** The term used in State regulations to refer to the team conducting an onsite review of an identified school.

**Languages Other Than English (LOTE)/World Languages:** Languages other than English that are taught in NYS schools.

**Longitudinal Analysis:** A research design that involves repeated observations of the same variables (e.g., people), about which data are gathered for the same subjects repeatedly over long periods of time.
**Long-Term Goals:** The level of performance that each subgroup statewide and within a school is expected to demonstrate five years from now. The long-term goal is computed as a specified amount of reduction between the desired end goal and the statewide baseline performance.

**Memorandum of Understanding (MOU):** An agreement between two or more parties, documenting an agreement between the parties, reflecting an intended common set of actions, and outlining the responsibilities of each party under the agreement.

**MGP (Mean Growth Percentile):** A measure of a group of students academic growth compared to similar students.

**Migratory Children:** A child or youth who moved due to economic necessity in the preceding 36 months from one residence to another residence and from one school district to another school district either (1) as a migratory agricultural worker or a migratory fisher; or (2) with, or to join, a parent or spouse who is a migratory agricultural worker or a migratory fisher.

**Multilingual Literacy SIFE Screener (MLS):** The MLS is a statewide diagnostic tool created to determine the literacy levels of Students with Interrupted/Inconsistent Formal Education in their home language in order to provide or to design appropriate instruction.

**Multiple Measures:** The use of either different sources of measurement or of different types of measurement (e.g., multiple choice or constructed response/performance tasks) within a single assessment.

**My Brother’s Keeper:** An initiative designed to implement strategies that will improve outcomes for boys and young men of color.

**Native Language Arts/Home Language Arts:** A course of language arts study in a student’s native/home language.

**Native/Home Language Assessment:** An academic assessment that assesses students’ knowledge and understanding of State academic content standards, conducted in a language other than English.

**Neglected and Delinquent Youth:** A neglected youth is any student served in a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed in the institution under applicable State law, due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians. A delinquent youth is any student served in a public or private residential facility for the care of children who have been adjudicated to be delinquent or in need of supervision.

**New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT):** An assessment designed to annually measure the English language proficiency of all ELLs/MLLs in grades K-12.

**New York State Identification Test for English Language Learners (NYSITELL):** An assessment that is administered once during the ELL/MLL identification process, or re-entry into the New York State school system after an absence of two or more years.

**N-Size:** The minimum number of results for students in a subgroup in order to hold a school accountability for the performance and participation of these students, chosen to ensure statistical validity and reliability while ensuring as many student results are captured and accounted for in the system. If a subgroup has fewer valid test scores of a continuously enrolled student than the n-size, the school is not held accountable for that subgroup’s performance.

**NYSSAA (New York State Alternate Assessment):** New York State assessment for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

**NYSTP (New York State Testing Program):** New York State assessments at the elementary/middle level in English language arts (ELA) and mathematics.
Operational Testing: The assessment that produces results for which students and schools are held accountable.

Out-of-Field Teacher: Teacher who does not hold certification in the content area for all of the courses that he or she teaches.

Outside Educational Expert (OEE): A consultant used in conjunction with the school improvement process. The state supplies an OEE to lead IIT school reviews.

Participation Rate: At the elementary/middle level, the percentage of students enrolled during the test administration period in a school or district who have taken an appropriate approved assessment (e.g., the Grades 3-8 Test or the NYSAA). At the secondary level, the percentage of students in 12th grade who have taken an appropriate approved assessment over their high school enrollment (e.g., a Regents Exam, an approved alternative to a Regents Exam, or the NYSAA). ESSA requires a participation rate of “not less than 95 percent of all students, and 95 percent of all students in each subgroup of students” for ELA and mathematics.

Participatory Budgeting Process: Participatory Budgeting is a term used to describe a process in which citizens can democratically determine how community funds are spent. This process has been adopted in municipalities across the world. For identified schools, the participatory budgeting process allows parents to directly decide how to spend some of the money available to the school. This process is intended to deepen parental engagement and strengthen school-family connections.

Performance Index (PI): A value that is assigned to an accountability group indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative). PI formulas enable partial credit to be awarded to students who are partially proficient and extra credit to be awarded to students who show advanced proficiency.

Performance level: A performance level describes where a student is along the continuum of English language acquisition. The current NYSESLAT has five performance levels: Entering, Emerging, Transitioning, Expanding, and Commanding.

Persistently Struggling School: A term used to describe schools that have been in the most severe accountability status since the 2006-07 school year.

PII (Personally Identifiable Information): Information that can be used on its own or with other information to identify, contact, or locate a single person, or to identify an individual in context.

Principal Support Report: The report districts will complete for the principal of each school that does not make gains after the first year of being identified. Districts will be required to identify any areas rated Developing or Ineffective on the principal’s annual evaluation, along with describing how the district will support that principal in the upcoming year.

Proficiency: Level of academic achievement as measured against learning standards.

Progress: The change in the Performance Index of a subgroup between the current year and the subgroup’s baseline performance.

Progress Needs Assessment: The needs assessment that identified schools can do in the years after their Comprehensive Needs Assessment. The Progress Needs Assessment consists of a Progress Review, a review of data including survey results, and a Resource Audit.

Progress Review: The annual review for identified schools that will occur in the years following the Comprehensive Diagnostic Needs Assessment. The review is intended to provide feedback and recommendations to schools regarding the quality of their improvement plan and the implementation of the plan to date.
Public School Choice: The process by which a parent of a student attending a CSI school may request a transfer to a school classified as In Good Standing. If there are no schools In Good Standing available, the district may offer a transfer to a Targeted Support and Improvement School. Districts are permitted, but not required, to offer Public School Choice.

Qualified Personnel: Qualified personnel, for purposes of the Commissioner’s Regulations Part 154 ELL/MLL identification process, is defined as a Bilingual Education or ESOL teacher, or a teacher trained in cultural competency, language development and the needs of ELLs/MLLs.

Receivership Program: The program by which low-performing schools are managed by a school receiver. The receiver has the authority to: develop a school intervention plan; convert schools to community schools providing wrap-around services; reallocate funds in the school’s budget; expand the school day or school year; establish professional development plans; order the conversion of the school to a charter school consistent with applicable state laws; remove staff and/or require staff to reapply for their jobs in collaboration with a staffing committee; and negotiate collective bargaining agreements, with any unresolved issues submitted to the Commissioner for decision.

Recently-arrived ELL/MLL: An ELL/MLL who has attended schools in the United States (not including Puerto Rico) for less than 12 months.

Recognition Schools: Schools that are high-performing or rapidly improving as determined by the Commissioner.

Regents Diploma: Diploma granted to all students who successfully complete all NYS credit and assessment requirement.

Regional Bilingual Education Resource Network (RBERN): Regional technical assistance support centers that work in partnership with NYSED to provide technical assistance and professional development to districts/schools to improve instructional practices and educational outcomes of ELLs/MLLs.

Research-based Student Level Targets: The performance that students are expected to achieve based on previous State data and expectations of language acquisition.

Resource Audit: A document completed by schools and districts that examines the effectiveness of professional development, along with how schools and districts use their time, space, and staff in relation to best practices.

School Comprehensive Educational Plan (SCEP): The annual School Improvement Plan.

School Quality and Student Success: Often referred to as the “5th indicator,” School Quality and Student Success (SQSS) is an indicator in addition to academic achievement, student growth, graduation rate, and progress in achieving English language proficiency that a State must include as part of its accountability and support system. This indicator must be the same for all schools within a State, except the indicator may be different at the elementary/middle level and the secondary level. States may include more than one indicator in its SQSS.

Schoolwide Improvement Strategy: All CSI schools will be required to implement a school-wide improvement strategy by Year 2. NYSED will provide professional development on select school-wide improvement strategies. Schools have the flexibility to identify strategies different from those supported by NYSED through professional development.

Seal of Biliteracy (NYSSB): An award given by a school or district in recognition of students who have studied and attained proficiency in foreign language courses.

Selected-Response: Questions on an assessment requiring students to choose from a number of provided potential answers (e.g., “multiple choice”) to complete.

SIFE low-literacy curriculum: This curriculum is intended to meet the needs of SIFE who are at 3rd grade level or below in home language literacy in secondary (middle and high) school. The curriculum offers a rigorous and accelerated
framework aligned to the Common Core Learning Standards (CCLS) to provide students with the content, language, and literacy necessary for achieving academic progress and success.

**Stability:** Stability is a property of an individual measuring instrument regarding its variation over time.

**Struggling School:** A term used to describe schools in the Receivership Program that have not been in the most severe accountability status since 2006-07.

**Student Growth Percentiles:** This statistic characterizes the student’s current year score relative to other students with similar prior test score histories.

**Students with Inconsistent/Interrupted Formal Education (SIFE):** ELLs/MLLs who have attended schools in the United States for less than twelve months and who, upon initial enrollment in schools, are two or more years below grade level in literacy in their home language and/or two or more years below grade level in mathematics due to inconsistent or interrupted schooling prior to arrival in the United States.

**Subgroups:** Aggregated data for certain groups are used to make assessment accountability determinations. These groups are All Students, American Indian or Alaska Native Students, Black or African American Students, Hispanic or Latino Students, Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander Students, White Students, Multiracial Students, English Language Learners, Students with Disabilities, and Economically Disadvantaged Students.

**Target Districts:** Districts are identified for targeted support if there are one or more Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI) or Targeted Support and Improvement Schools (TSI) schools in the district; or the district is performing at the level that would have caused a school to be identified for CSI or TSI.

**Target Growth:** The English language proficiency gains that students are expected to achieve.

**Targeted Support and Improvement Schools:** Schools identified every three years as being the lowest-performing five% of schools for the following subgroups: English Language Learners/Multilingual Learners, economically disadvantaged, racial/ethnic subgroups, and students with disabilities. All racial/ethnic subgroups are treated as a single group, so more or less than five% of any group could be identified.

**Tested:** Students with a valid test score on an assessment used for accountability purposes (e.g., NYSTP, NYSAA).

**Tier II and Tier III indicators:** Data collected by the State for all schools that is not used to determine school accountability status. Tier II consists of State-Reported Indicators (such as Teacher Absenteeism or student suspension rates) and Tier III consists of State-Supported Indicators (such as School Climate Survey data).

**Transition matrix:** The model that was chosen to measure ELL progress in English Language Proficiency.

**Translated Content Assessment:** This refers to the translated version of a test that measures subjects such as English Language Arts, Mathematics, Science, etc.

**TSI School:** Abbreviation for Targeted Support and Improvement School, schools that have been identified for the low-performance of a particular subgroup of students, such as low-income students.

**Waiver:** Agreement with USED that exempts New York from certain provisions of ESSA. New York held waivers under ESEA Flexibility from the 2012-13 school year through 2015-16, after which all such waivers were nullified by ESSA.

**Weighted Scores:** A weighted score is merely the average of a set of scores, where each set carries a different amount of importance depending on the population size for each score.
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Table 6: Elementary/Middle End Goals, Long-Term Goals and Measures of Interim Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2015-16 Baseline</th>
<th>Gap from End Goal</th>
<th>5-Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>Yearly Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Target</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-8 Math</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: High School End Goals, Long-Term Goals and Measures of Interim Progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2015-16 Baseline</th>
<th>Gap from End Goal</th>
<th>5-Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>Yearly Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Target</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS ELA</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 8: High School End Goals, Long-Term Goals, and Measures of Interim Progress Targets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>2015-16 Base-line</th>
<th>Gap from End Goal</th>
<th>5-Yr Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>Yearly Gap Reduction Goal</th>
<th>2017-18 Target</th>
<th>2018-19 Target</th>
<th>2019-20 Target</th>
<th>2020-21 Target</th>
<th>2021-22 Target</th>
<th>End Goal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS Math</td>
<td>All Students</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian/Alaska Native</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economically Disadvantaged</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>English Language Learners</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiracial</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Students With Disabilities</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>