

2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Staker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged66%68%Staker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravenar-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%	County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year																																																																																								
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 652 16% All Students 652 16% Economically Disadvantaged 343 24% Berne-Knox-Westerlo Junior-Senior High School / Berne-Knox-Westerlo Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33% All Students 95 33% Economically Disadvantaged 24 8% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 41% Betne-Knox-Westerlo High School / Bethlehem Central School District 75% Economically Disadvantaged 42 75% Cohores High School / Cohoes City School District 75% Economically Disadvantaged 42 75% Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District 25% Economically Disadvantaged 78 18% Not Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Heatty School / Genen Island Union Free School District 25% Economically Disadvantaged 14 42% Otal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41 42% Economically Disadvantaged 16 8%																																																																																											
All Students65216%Economically Disadvantaged3098%Not Economically Disadvantaged34324%Berner-Knox-Westerlo Junior-Senior High School / Berne-Knox-Westerlo Central School District24%All Students9533%Economically Disadvantaged248%Not Economically Disadvantaged248%Not Economically Disadvantaged248%Stetleherne Chrutal Senior High School / Bethlehen Central School District87%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7867%All Students17625%Economically Disadvantaged9831%Obto Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome25%56%All Students17625%Economically Disadvantaged9831%Obto Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome25%56%All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Out Economically Disadvantaged1942%Out Economically Disadvantaged1444%Not Economically Disadvantaged143%Not Economically Disadvantaged1445%Out Economically Disadvantaged1668%Conomically Disadvantaged1668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged61668%Conomically Disadvantaged61668%Disadvantaged3444%Out Economic																																																																																											
Economically Disadvantaged3098%Not Economically Disadvantaged34324%Barne-Knox-Westerio Junior-Senior High School / Berne-Knox-Westerio Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome9533%Economically Disadvantaged9737%Betherm Central School / Bethlehem Central School District86%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44075%Economically Disadvantaged1867%All Students44075%Cohores High School / Bethlehem Central School25%Cohores High School / Ones City School District25%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3831%Zobes High School / Ones City School District25%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%All Students3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1414%Obto Coll Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged30068%Economically Disadvantaged3066%Economically Disadvantaged44668%Economically Disadvantaged3066%Economically Disadvantaged3066%Economically Disadvantaged3066%Economically Disadvantaged30%66%Economically Disadvantaged <td></td> <td>652</td> <td>16%</td>		652	16%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged 343 24% Berne-Knox-Westerlo Junior-Senior High School / Berne-Knox-Westerlo Central School District 33% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33% Economically Disadvantaged 24 8% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 41% Bethlehem Central School / Bethlehem Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 75% Economically Disadvantaged 440 75% Economically Disadvantaged 422 75% Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 18 All Students 176 25% Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Hoet Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Hoet School Josiartict 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 18 All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guiderland High School / Cuiderland Central School District 20% 20% Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 430 68% All Students 446 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>																																																																																											
Berne-Knox-Westerio Junior-Senior High School / Berne-Knox-Westerio Central School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 33% Economically Disadvantaged 24 8% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 41% Betthehem Central Senior High School / Bethlehem Central School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 440 75% Economically Disadvantaged 18 7% Not Economically Disadvantaged 422 75% Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 176 25% Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guildertand High School / Guilderland Central School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guildertand High School / Guilderland Central School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 446 66% Economically Disadvantaged 310 68% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 68% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 34 8% Not Ec																																																																																											
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 95 33% Economically Disadvantaged 24 8% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 41% Bethelhem Central School J Bethlehem Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 440 75% Economically Disadvantaged 18 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 422 75% Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 176 25% Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Obtait Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 176 25% Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 18 Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guildertand High School / Guilderland Central School District 20% 20% Sonomically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 38%	, .																																																																																										
All Students 95 33% Economically Disadvantaged 24 8% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 41% Bethlehem Central Section Pligh School / Bethlehem Central School District 2006 75% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 18 67% All Students 440 75% Economically Disadvantaged 18 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 422 75% Cohores High School / Cohoes City School District 75% Economically Disadvantaged 78 18% Not Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Heatty School / Green Island Union Free School District 2006 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% 26% Guildertand High School / Guilderland Central School District 206 33% 30% Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% 38% 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% <tr< td=""><td>_</td><td>-KIIOX-Westerio</td><td></td></tr<>	_	-KIIOX-Westerio																																																																																									
Economically Disadvantaged248%Not Economically Disadvantaged7141%Betrief Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students44075%Economically Disadvantaged1867%Not Economically Disadvantaged2275%Cohore - High School / School District75%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome75%All Students17625%All Students7818%Potonomically Disadvantaged9831%Cohore Island Union Free School District3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Conomically Disadvantaged1668%Economically Disadvantaged1668%Economically Disadvantaged1668%Isl Students3468%All Students3468%All Students3468%Isl Students3468%Isl Students3468%Isl Students44668%Isl Students64668%Isl Students34446Isl Students66%Isl Students66%Isl Students66%Isl Students66%Isl Students66%Isl Students66%Isl Students66% <td></td> <td>95</td> <td>33%</td>		95	33%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged7141%Bethlehem Central School / Bethlehem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44075%Economically Disadvantaged1867%Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students76All Students76All Students762006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7818%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatty School / Green Island Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%OutcomeAll Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged44668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged34 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																																																																																											
Bethelhen Central Senior High School / Bethlehem Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 440 75% Economically Disadvantaged 18 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 422 75% Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District 2006 75% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 176 25% All Students 176 25% Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Heatty School / Green Island Union Free School District 2006 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% 44% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 42% 44%																																																																																											
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students44075%Conomically Disadvantaged1867%Not Economically Disadvantaged42275%Cohores City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome25%All Students17625%Mot Economically Disadvantaged9831%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Hearty School / Green Island Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1442%Economically Disadvantaged1442%Mot Economically Disadvantaged1438%Mot Economically Disadvantaged1638%Mall Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Mot Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged3069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd3444%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%																																																																																											
All Students44075%Economically Disadvantaged1867%Not Economically Disadvantaged42275%Conserver High School / Cohoes City School DistrictJob Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students17625%Economically Disadvantaged9831%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Not Economically Disadvantaged3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged44668%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Not Economically Disadvantaged66%M Students50066%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged<	C C																																																																																										
Economically Disadvantaged1867%Not Economically Disadvantaged42275%Coheer High School / Cohees City School District75%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome25%All Students17625%Economically Disadvantaged9831%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Hearty School / Green Island Union Free School District3330%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1414%All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1942%Mot Economically Disadvantaged1942%Out Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1668%Economically Disadvantaged1668%Not Economically Disadvantaged50066%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444% <td></td> <td>440</td> <td>75%</td>		440	75%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged 422 75% Cohoes High School / Cohoes City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 25% All Students 176 25% Economically Disadvantaged 98 31% Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District 31% 31% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41 44% All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 416 68% Guilderland Upisadvantaged 16 38% 68% 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% 68% 68% Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 416 68% 68																																																																																											
Objective School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students176Economically Disadvantaged78Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students33Boot Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students33Conomically Disadvantaged14Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students446Schoomically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged16Staker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students500Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students60%Schoomically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3441% Students60%Economically Disadvantaged3444%68%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1692006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1692006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1692006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students169	, ,																																																																																										
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students17625%Economically Disadvantaged7818%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged3069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged16932%Not Economically Disadvantaged16932%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students16932% </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>																																																																																											
All Students17625%Economically Disadvantaged7818%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatty School / Green Island Union Free School District2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%All Students3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Conomically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged16%68%Economically Disadvantaged16%68%Not Economically Disadvantaged3468%Not Economically Disadvantaged3468%Not Economically Disadvantaged60%68%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3668%Raven-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District56%Hal Students16932%All Students34%34%All Students34%36%All Students34%36% <tr <td="">34%All Students<t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></tr> <tr><td>Economically Disadvantaged7818%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Here School District2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%All Students3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1444%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeUI Chort - 4 Year OutcomeUI Chort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students68%Conomically Disadvantaged1688%Out Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students44668%Not Economically Disadvantaged1668%Not Economically Disadvantaged50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged4668%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged4668%Mark Cooperscelly Exercise Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School District Coeperscelkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School District Coeperscelkirk School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School J Gamma36%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%3</td><td></td><td>176</td><td>25%</td></tr> <tr><td>Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District3330%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District42%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged60668%Mal Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged6668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged6668%Rarear-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyman-Selkirk Central School District68%Zool Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46668%Rarear-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyman-Selkirk Central School District68%Economically Disadvantaged16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District 42% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 446 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 69% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 500 66% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 446 68% Mil Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 416 68% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<></td></tr> <tr><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41866%All Students50066%Matter High School / North Colonie Csd66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46668%Matter High School / North Colonie Csd66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome66%68%Matter School J Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymas-Selkirk Central School District69%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymas-Selkirk Central School District76%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome76%32%2006 Total Coh</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guiderland Central School Justrict2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Not Economically Disadvantaged69%Not Economically Disadvantaged69%School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeVal School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll StudentsAll Students500Rate-coordically Disadvantaged34Not Economically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged8All Students68%Rate-coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymex-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome8All StudentsAll StudentsAll Students169All Students32%All Students16%</td><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%68%20</td><td></td><td>33</td><td>30%</td></tr> <tr><td>Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome68%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%All Students16932%All Students16%16%</td><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td>14</td><td>14%</td></tr> <tr><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 446 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 69% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 169 32% Economically Disadvantaged 43</td><td></td><td>19</td><td>42%</td></tr> <tr><td>All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravera-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%All Students16932%All Students63%16%</td><td>•</td><td>istrict</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District8%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%</td><td></td><td>446</td><td>68%</td></tr> <tr><td>Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%</td><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyma-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 169 32% Economically Disadvantaged 43 16%</td><td>, ,</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 500 66% All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District 68% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 169 32% All Students 16% 16%</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%</td><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td><td></td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%</td><td>All Students</td><td>500</td><td>66%</td></tr> <tr><td>Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16916%</td><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td>34</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 169 32% All Students 169 16%</td><td></td><td>466</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%</td><td></td><td>-Coeymans-Selki</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td>All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%</td><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td><td>-</td><td></td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>169</td><td>32%</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td>43</td><td>16%</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>126</td><td>37%</td></tr>				Economically Disadvantaged7818%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Here School District2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%All Students3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1444%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeUI Chort - 4 Year OutcomeUI Chort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students68%Conomically Disadvantaged1688%Out Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students44668%Not Economically Disadvantaged1668%Not Economically Disadvantaged50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged4668%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged4668%Mark Cooperscelly Exercise Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School District Coeperscelkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School District Coeperscelkirk School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School J Gamma36%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%3		176	25%	Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District3330%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District42%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged60668%Mal Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged6668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged6668%Rarear-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyman-Selkirk Central School District68%Zool Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46668%Rarear-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyman-Selkirk Central School District68%Economically Disadvantaged16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%				Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District 42% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 446 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 69% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 500 66% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 446 68% Mil Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 416 68% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41866%All Students50066%Matter High School / North Colonie Csd66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46668%Matter High School / North Colonie Csd66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome66%68%Matter School J Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymas-Selkirk Central School District69%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymas-Selkirk Central School District76%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome76%32%2006 Total Coh				Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guiderland Central School Justrict2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Not Economically Disadvantaged69%Not Economically Disadvantaged69%School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeVal School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll StudentsAll Students500Rate-coordically Disadvantaged34Not Economically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged8All Students68%Rate-coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymex-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome8All StudentsAll StudentsAll Students169All Students32%All Students16%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%68%20		33	30%	Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome68%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%All Students16932%All Students16%16%	Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 446 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 69% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 169 32% Economically Disadvantaged 43		19	42%	All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravera-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%All Students16932%All Students63%16%	•	istrict		Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District8%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%		446	68%	Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%	Economically Disadvantaged			Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyma-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 169 32% Economically Disadvantaged 43 16%	, ,			2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 500 66% All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District 68% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 169 32% All Students 16% 16%				Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome			Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%	All Students	500	66%	Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16916%	Economically Disadvantaged	34		Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 169 32% All Students 169 16%		466		2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%		-Coeymans-Selki		All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-				169	32%		Economically Disadvantaged	43	16%			126	37%
Economically Disadvantaged7818%Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Here School District2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%All Students3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1444%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeUI Chort - 4 Year OutcomeUI Chort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students68%Conomically Disadvantaged1688%Out Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students44668%Not Economically Disadvantaged1668%Not Economically Disadvantaged50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged4668%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged4668%Mark Cooperscelly Exercise Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School District Coeperscelkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School District Coeperscelkirk School / Ravena-Coeperscelkirk School J Gamma36%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%32%All Students16932%3		176	25%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged9831%Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District3330%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District42%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged60668%Mal Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged6668%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged6668%Rarear-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyman-Selkirk Central School District68%Zool Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46668%Rarear-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyman-Selkirk Central School District68%Economically Disadvantaged16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%																																																																																											
Heatly School / Green Island Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 19 42% Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District 42% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 446 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 69% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 500 66% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 446 68% Mil Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 416 68% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>																																																																																											
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41866%All Students50066%Matter High School / North Colonie Csd66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46668%Matter High School / North Colonie Csd66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome66%68%Matter School J Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymas-Selkirk Central School District69%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymas-Selkirk Central School District76%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome76%32%2006 Total Coh																																																																																											
Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guiderland Central School Justrict2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Not Economically Disadvantaged69%Not Economically Disadvantaged69%School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeVal School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll StudentsAll Students500Rate-coordically Disadvantaged34Not Economically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged68%Not Economically Disadvantaged8All Students68%Rate-coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymex-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome8All StudentsAll StudentsAll Students169All Students32%All Students16%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome																																																																																										
Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44668%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%68%20		33	30%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged1942%Guilderland High School / Guilderland Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome68%All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50068%All Students16932%All Students16%16%	Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%																																																																																								
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 446 68% Economically Disadvantaged 16 38% Not Economically Disadvantaged 430 69% Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 169 32% Economically Disadvantaged 43		19	42%																																																																																								
All Students44668%Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravera-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District68%All Students16932%All Students63%16%	•	istrict																																																																																									
Economically Disadvantaged1638%Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District8%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%		446	68%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged43069%Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd50066%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50066%All Students50066%Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District5002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%	Economically Disadvantaged																																																																																										
Shaker High School / North Colonie Csd 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeyma-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 169 32% Economically Disadvantaged 43 16%	, ,																																																																																										
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 500 66% All Students 500 66% Economically Disadvantaged 34 44% Not Economically Disadvantaged 466 68% Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District 68% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 169 32% All Students 16% 16%																																																																																											
Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome																																																																																										
Economically Disadvantaged3444%Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymars-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16%16%	All Students	500	66%																																																																																								
Not Economically Disadvantaged46668%Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Senior High School / Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16916%	Economically Disadvantaged	34																																																																																									
Ravena-Coeymans-Selkirk Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 169 32% All Students 169 16%		466																																																																																									
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16932%All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%		-Coeymans-Selki																																																																																									
All Students16932%Economically Disadvantaged4316%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-																																																																																									
		169	32%																																																																																								
	Economically Disadvantaged	43	16%																																																																																								
		126	37%																																																																																								

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Colonie Central High School / South Colonie Ce	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	482	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	422	54%
Clayton A Bouton High School / Voorheesville C	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	66%
Watervliet Junior-Senior High School / Watervliet	et City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ALLEGANY	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Alfred-Almond Junior-Senior High School / Alfred-Almond	Central Schoo	I District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	75%
Andover School / Andover Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	33	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	42%
Belfast School / Belfast Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	27	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	56%
Bolivar-Richburg Junior-Senior High School / Bolivar-Rich	burg Central S	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	55%
Canaseraga School / Canaseraga Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	19	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	55%
Cuba-Rushford High School / Cuba-Rushford Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	45%
Fillmore Central School / Fillmore Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	43%
Friendship Central School / Friendship Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Genesee Valley Central School / Genesee Valley (Central School District A	t Angelica-Belmont
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	61%
Genesee Valley High School / Genesee Valley Cer	ntral School District At A	Angelica-Belmont
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Scio Central School / Scio Central School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	32	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Wellsville Senior High School / Wellsville Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	40%
Whitesville Central School / Whitesville Cent	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	26	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	•	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: BROOME		
Binghamton High School / Binghamton City School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	400	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	145	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	255	28%
Chenango Forks High School / Chenango Forks Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	138	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	64%
Chenango Valley High School / Chenango Valley Cer	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	44	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	134	63%
Deposit Middle-Senior High School / Deposit Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	44	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	44%
Harpursville Junior-Senior High School / Harpursville	e Central School Dist	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	53	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	46%
Johnson City Senior High School / Johnson City Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	203	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	119	58%
Maine-Endwell Senior High School / Maine-Endwell (Central School Distrie	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	191	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	166	58%
Susquehanna Valley Senior High School / Susqueha	nna Valley Central So	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	166	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Union Endicott High School / Union-Endicott Central Scho 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	of District	
All Students	317	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	25%
	229	65%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	229	63%
Vestal Senior High School / Vestal Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	314	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	279	68%
Whitney Point Senior High School / Whitney Point Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	73	49%
Windsor Central High School / Windsor Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	164	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	118	51%
-		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: CATTARAUGUS		
Allegany-Limestone High School / Allegany-Limes	stone Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	66%
Cattaraugus-Little Valley High School / Cattaraug	us-Little Valley Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	33%
Ellicottville Middle School High School / Ellicottvi	Ile Central School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	53	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	41	56%
Franklinville Junior-Senior High School / Franklin	ville Central School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	26%
Gowanda High School / Gowanda Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	114	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	74	36%
Hinsdale Central School / Hinsdale Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	31	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	42%
Olean Senior High School / Olean City School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	120	38%
Portville Junior-Senior High School / Portville Cer	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	73	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Randolph Academy / Randolph Academy Union Free Scho		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Randolph Senior High School / Randolph Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	56%
Salamanca Alternative School / Salamanca City School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	10	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Salamanca High School / Salamanca City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	46%
West Valley Central School / West Valley Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	#
Pioneer Senior High School / Yorkshire-Pioneer Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	198	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group COUNTY: CAYUGA	Weinber3	
Auburn High School / Auburn City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	393	42%
	92	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	92 301	49%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		
Cato-Meridian Senior High School / Cato-Meridian Ce	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	07	000/
All Students	87	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	37%
Moravia Junior-Senior High School / Moravia Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	43%
Port Byron Senior High School / Port Byron Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	78	40%
Southern Cayuga High School / Southern Cayuga Ce	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	63	27%
Union Springs Middle School High School / Union Sp	orings Central Schoo	I District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	97	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	49%
Weedsport Junior-Senior High School / Weedsport C	entral School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	52%
Hot Economically Diodavantagoa	00	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: CHAUTAUQUA		
Maple Grove Junior-Senior High School / Bemus Po	int Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	#
Brocton Middle High School / Brocton Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	57	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	46%
Cassadaga Valley High School / Cassadaga Valley C	Central School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	48%
Chautauqua Lake Secondary School / Chautauqua L 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Lake Central School D	District
All Students	75	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	54%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	77%
Clymer Central School / Clymer Central School Dist	-	1170
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	32	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	41%
Dunkirk Senior High School / Dunkirk City School D		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	170	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	102	25%
Falconer Middle/High School / Falconer Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	60%
Forestville Central High School / Forestville Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	44	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	33%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Fredonia High School / Fredonia Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	169	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	137	64%
Frewsburg Junior-Senior High School / Frewsburg Central S	School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	57%
Jamestown High School / Jamestown City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	386	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	62%
Panama High School / Panama Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	62%
Pine Valley Central Junior-Senior High School / Pine Valley	Central Schoo	ol District (South Dayton)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	73%
Ripley Central School / Ripley Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	34	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Sherman High School / Sherman Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	45	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	54%
Silver Creek High School / Silver Creek Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Southwestern Senior High School / Southwestern Cent	ral School District	At Jamestown
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	57%
Westfield High School / Westfield Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	60%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: CHEMUNG	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Elmira Alternative High School At Washington S	chool / Elmira City Schoo	l District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	0%
Elmira Free Academy / Elmira City School Distric	xt	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	218	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	122	34%
Southside High School / Elmira City School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	266	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	167	23%
Thomas A Edison High School / Elmira Heights (Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	34%
Horseheads Senior High School / Horseheads Co	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	340	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: CHENANGO		
Afton Middle School High School / Afton Central Schoo	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	44%
Bainbridge-Guilford High School / Bainbridge-Guilford	Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	50%
Otselic Valley Junior-Senior High School / Georgetown	-South Otselic Cer	ntral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	21	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	#
Greene High School / Greene Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	71%
Norwich High School / Norwich City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	89	63%
Oxford Academy High School / Oxford Academy And C	entral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	46%
Sherburne-Earlville Senior High School / Sherburne-Ea	rlville Central Scho	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	53%
Unadilla Valley High School / Unadilla Valley Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main Negenis) as of sune of the 4th year
COUNTY: CLINTON		
Ausable Valley High School / Ausable Valley Cent	trai School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	440	400/
All Students	112	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	52%
Beekmantown High School / Beekmantown Centr	ral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	192	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	129	40%
Chazy Central Rural Junior-Senior High School /	Chazy Union Free Schoo	bl District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	63%
Northeastern Clinton Middle School / Northeaster	rn Clinton Central Schoo	I District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Northeastern Clinton Senior High School / Northe	eastern Clinton Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	140	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	97	41%
Northern Adirondack Middle/High School / Northe	ern Adirondack Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	36%
Peru Senior High School / Peru Central School Di	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	195	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	131	45%
Plattsburgh Senior High School / Plattsburgh City	y School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	158	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	104	42%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Saranac High School / Saranac Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	127	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	36%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: COLUMBIA		
Berkshire Junior-Senior High School / Berkshire Unio	n Free School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Chatham High School / Chatham Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	43%
Germantown Central School / Germantown Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	57	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	47%
Hudson Junior/Senior High School / Hudson City School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	177	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	24%
Ichabod Crane Senior High School / Kinderhook Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	155	56%
New Lebanon Junior-Senior High School / New Leban	on Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	53%
Taconic Hills High School / Taconic Hills Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	151	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	112	49%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: CORTLAND	Momberg	- /
Cincinnatus High School / Cincinnatus Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	51	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	43%
Cortland Junior-Senior High School / Cortland City Sc		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	207	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	165	48%
Homer Senior High School / Homer Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	152	50%
Marathon High School / Marathon Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	52%
Mcgraw High School / Mcgraw Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	46	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	39%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: DELAWARE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Andes Central School / Andes Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	#
Charlotte Valley School / Charlotte Valley Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	34	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Delaware Academy High School / Delhi Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	31%
Downsville Central School / Downsville Central Schoo	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	64%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	44%
Franklin Central School / Franklin Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	17	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Hancock Junior-Senior High School / Hancock Central 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	School District	
All Students	57	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	43%
Margaretville Central School / Margaretville Central Sc	-	+570
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	38	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	40%
Roxbury Central School / Roxbury Central School Dist		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	50%
		5070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Sidney High School / Sidney Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students		
All Students		
	96	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	28%
South Kortright Central School / South Kortright Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	67%
Stamford Central School / Stamford Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	28	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	43%
Walton High School / Walton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: DUTCHESS		
Arlington High School / Arlington Central School Distric	:t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	843	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	798	53%
Beacon High School / Beacon City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	293	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	91	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	37%
Dover High School / Dover Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	35%
Franklin D Roosevelt Senior High School / Hyde Park Co	entral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	359	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	291	43%
Alden Place Elementary School / Millbrook Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Millbrook High School / Millbrook Central School Distric	:t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	51%
Webutuck High School / Northeast Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	47%
Pawling High School / Pawling Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	127	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	13%
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· -	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Stissing Mountain High School / Pine Plains Central Scho	Members	······································
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	87	43%
Poughkeepsie High School / Poughkeepsie City School D	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	istrict	
All Students	317	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	30%
Red Hook Senior High School / Red Hook Central School		3070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	185	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	176	66%
Rhinebeck Senior High School / Rhinebeck Central School	-	0070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	107	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	55%
Spackenkill High School / Spackenkill Union Free School		5576
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	162	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	147	60%
John Jay Senior High School / Wappingers Central School		0070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	546	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	525	56%
Orchard View Alternative High School / Wappingers Cent		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	15	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	#
Roy C Ketcham Senior High School / Wappingers Central		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	505	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	25%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ERIE		
Akron High School / Akron Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	61%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	71%
Alden Senior High School / Alden Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	158	67%
Amherst Central High School / Amherst Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	242	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	208	71%
Buffalo Academy Of Science Charter School / Buffalo A	Academy Of Science	e Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	7%
Bennett High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	347	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	269	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	78	4%
Buffalo Academy For The Visual & Performing Arts / Be 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	uffalo City School I	District
All Students	137	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	4%
Buffalo Elementary School Of Technology / Buffalo Cit 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	y School District	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Burgard Vocational High School / Buffalo City School I 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	128	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
City Honors School At Fosdick Masten Park / Buffalo	City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	111	85%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	73	92%
East High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	299	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	222	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	3%
Emerson School Of Hospitality / Buffalo City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Frederick Olmsted #56 / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Grover Cleveland High School / Buffalo City School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	238	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	2%
Hutchinson Central Technical High School / Buffalo	City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	251	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	151	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	46%
International Preparatory School At Grover Cleveland	d (The) / Buffalo City	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Lafayette High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	250	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	177	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	73	0%
Leonardo Da Vinci High School / Buffalo City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	24%
		26%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Lovejoy Discovery School #43 / Buffalo City School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Mckinley Vocational High School / Buffalo City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	356	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	255	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	101	3%
Ps 42 Occupational Training Center / Buffalo City Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	0%
Ps 84 / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	7	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	#
Riverside Institute Of Technology / Buffalo City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	257	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	5%
Seneca Vocational High School / Buffalo City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
South Park High School / Buffalo City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	300	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	3%
The Academy School #131 / Buffalo City School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
The Math Science Technology Preparatory School At	Seneca / Buffalo Cit	ty School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Charter School For Applied Technologies / Charter S	chool For Applied Te	echnologies
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		C C
All Students	82	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	25%
Cheektowaga High School / Cheektowaga Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	220	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	138	46%
Maryvale High School / Cheektowaga-Maryvale Unior	n Free School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	196	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	141	40%
John F Kennedy Senior High School / Cheektowaga-	Sloan Union Free Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	71	42%
Clarence Senior High School / Clarence Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	429	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	402	77%
Cleveland Hill High School / Cleveland Hill Union Free	e School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	104	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	65	38%
Depew High School / Depew Union Free School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	189	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	48	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	141	46%
East Aurora High School / East Aurora Union Free Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	160	73%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
County School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Eden Junior-Senior High School / Eden Central School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	64%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	125	70%
Enterprise Charter School / Enterprise Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	7	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	0%
Lake Shore Senior High School / Evans-Brant Central Scho	ol District (La	ke Shore)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	279	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	37%
Frontier Senior High School / Frontier Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	411	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	314	56%
Grand Island Senior High School / Grand Island Central Sci	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	280	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	230	66%
Hamburg High School / Hamburg Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	337	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	308	63%
Holland High School / Holland Central School District	000	0070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	93 21	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	29% 67%
		07 %
Hopevale School / Hopevale Union Free School District At I	nampurg	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	4	щ
All Students	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Iroquois Senior High School / Iroquois Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	266	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	76%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Kenmore East Senior High School / Kenmore-Tonawa	nda Union Free Sch	nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	257	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	55%
Kenmore West Senior High School / Kenmore-Tonawa	anda Union Free Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	381	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	281	48%
Lackawanna High School / Lackawanna City School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	13%
Lancaster High School / Lancaster Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	517	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	443	68%
North Collins Junior-Senior High School / North Collin	ns Central School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	56%
Oracle Charter School / Oracle Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	8%
Orchard Park High School / Orchard Park Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	443	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	422	76%
Griffith Institute High School / Springville-Griffith Inst	itute Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	174	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sweet Home Senior High School / Sweet Home Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	315	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	225	56%
Tapestry Charter School / Tapestry Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	43%
Tonawanda Middle/High School / Tonawanda City Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	53%
West Seneca East Senior High School / West Seneca	Central School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	279	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	226	58%
West Seneca West Senior High School / West Seneca	a Central School Dist	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	365	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	271	54%
Western New York Maritime Charter School / Western	n New York Maritime	Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	66	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	5%
Williamsville East High School / Williamsville Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	273	84%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	263	86%
Williamsville North High School / Williamsville Centra	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	331	74%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	308	77%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Williamsville South High School / Williamsville Centra 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Count of Cohort Members al School District	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	246	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	61%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	218	73%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ESSEX		
Crown Point Central School / Crown Point Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	25	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Elizabethtown-Lewis Central School / Elizabethtow	wn-Lewis Central Schoo	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	30	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	38%
Keene Central School / Keene Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%
Lake Placid Junior-Senior High School / Lake Plac	id Central School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	#
Minerva Central School / Minerva Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	10	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	#
Moriah Junior-Senior High School / Moriah Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Newcomb Central School / Newcomb Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	7	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	#
Schroon Lake Central School / Schroon Lake Cent	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	24	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	62%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Ticonderoga Senior High School / Ticonderoga Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	38%
Westport Central School / Westport Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	25	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	63%
Willsboro Central School / Willsboro Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	60%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: FRANKLIN		
Brushton Moira High School / Brushton-Moira Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	36%
Chateaugay High School / Chateaugay Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	59	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	53%
Franklin Academy High School / Malone Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	196	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	120	52%
Malone Middle School / Malone Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Saint Regis Falls Central School / Saint Regis Falls Centra	I School Distric	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	19	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	64%
Salmon River Junior-Senior High School / Salmon River Ce	entral School D	Vistrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	47	28%
Saranac Lake Senior High School / Saranac Lake Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	133	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	67%
Tupper Lake Middle-High School / Tupper Lake Central School / Tupper Lake	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	31%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: FULTON	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Broadalbin-Perth High School / Broadalbin-Perth	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	172	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	138	59%
Gloversville High School / Gloversville City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	247	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	130	45%
Johnstown Senior High School / Johnstown City	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	170	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	118	47%
Mayfield Jr/Sr High School / Mayfield Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	47%
Northville High School / Northville Central Schoo	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	45	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	41%
Oppenheim-Ephratah Central School / Oppenheir	m-Ephratah Central Scho	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	27	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	56%
· -		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: GENESEE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Alexander Middle School-High School / Alexander Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	56%
Batavia High School / Batavia City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	203	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	54%
Byron-Bergen High School / Byron-Bergen Central Sch 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	nool District	
All Students	96	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	53%
Elba Junior-Senior High School / Elba Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	49	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	63%
Le Roy Junior-Senior High School / Le Roy Central Sch	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	56%
Oakfield-Alabama Middle School High School / Oakfiel 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	d-Alabama Central	School District
All Students	98	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	43%
Pavilion Junior-Senior High School / Pavilion Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	61%
Pembroke Junior-Senior High School / Pembroke Cent	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	80	54%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group COUNTY: GREENE	Members	
Cairo-Durham High School / Cairo-Durham Cent	ral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	133	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	87	33%
Catskill Senior High School / Catskill Central Scl	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	83	55%
Coxsackie-Athens High School / Coxsackie-Athe		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	104	35%
Greenville High School / Greenville Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	39%
Hunter-Tannersville Middle School High School	/ Hunter-Tannersville Cen	tral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	46	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	53%
Windham Ashland Central School / Windham-As	hland-Jewett Central Sch	nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: HAMILTON	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Indian Lake Central School / Indian Lake Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Lake Pleasant School / Lake Pleasant Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Long Lake Central School / Long Lake Central School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	75%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	75%
Wells School / Wells Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	18	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: HERKIMER	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
James A Green High School / Dolgeville Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	52%
Frankfort Schuyler Central High School / Frankfo	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	it contrainer contrain cont	
All Students	101	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	27%
Herkimer High School / Herkimer Central School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	121	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	29%
Ilion Junior-Senior High School / Ilion Central Scl		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	140	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	40%
Little Falls High School / Little Falls City School [District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	42%
Gregory B Jarvis Junior-Senior Hs / Mohawk Cen	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	33%
Mount Markham Senior High School / Mount Mark	kham Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	114	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	51%
Poland Junior-Senior High School / Poland Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Town Of Webb School / Town Of Webb Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	23	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%
Owen D Young Central School / Van Hornesville-Owen D Yo	oung Central S	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	21	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	7%
West Canada Valley Junior-Senior High School / West Cana	ada Valley Cer	tral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Alexandria Central High School / Alexandria Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome Al Students 56 45% Economically Disadvantaged 2 # 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome # ************************************	County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: JEFFERSON	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 56 45% Economically Disadvantaged 54 # Belleville Henderson Central School / Belleville Henderson Central School District # 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33 36% Economically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 36% Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 7 All Students 236 39% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 7 All Students 236 39% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 200 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 200 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 200 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total		tral School District	
All Students 56 45% Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 54 # Belleville Henderson Central School / Belleville Henderson Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 21 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 33 36% Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District 236 39% Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Dot Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Conomically Disadvantaged 146 47% General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District 206 52% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 52% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 52% Not Economically Disadvantaged 148 46% Economically Disadvantaged 148 30% Conomically Disadvantaged 123 46% Economically Disadvantaged 148 41% Eco	-		
Economically Disadvantaged 2 # Not Economically Disadvantaged 54 # Belleville Henderson Central School / Belleville Henderson Central School District 206 741 2066 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 373 36% Conomically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 33 36% Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District 206 746 2015 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33 36% Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% No		56	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 54 # Belleville Henderson Central School / Belleville Henderson Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33 36% Economically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 33 36% Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District 206 776 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 3 36% All Students 236 39% Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 146 47% General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District 208 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 52% Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District 208 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% 306 Not Economically Disadvantaged 148 41% Eoromically Disadvantaged 148 41% Le Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District 200 50% <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
Belleville Henderson Central School / Belleville Henderson Central School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Conomically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 33 36% Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2005 Tota	, .		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5533%All Students5533%Carcinomically Disadvantaged2227%Not Economically Disadvantaged3336%Control Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students23639%Economically Disadvantaged9028%Not Economically Disadvantaged9028%Not Economically Disadvantaged14647%Conomically Disadvantaged9028%Out Economically Disadvantaged12346%Conomically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Not Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%Lat Students212All Students14841%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged2855%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lat Students28Conomically Disadvantaged28Economically Disadvantaged2855%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lat Students2835%Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lat Students2850%Not Economical	, ,	-	
Economically Disadvantaged2227%Not Economically Disadvantaged3336%Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District3336%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome23639%All Students23639%Economically Disadvantaged9028%Not Economically Disadvantaged14647%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome212346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged21238%Economically Disadvantaged21238%Economically Disadvantaged21238%M Students21238%Economically Disadvantaged14841%Leconomically Disadvantaged14841%Leconomically Disadvantaged2850%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District2850%Lyme Central School / La Fargeville Central School District2850%Lyme Central School / La Fargeville Central School District2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District35%50%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District36%50%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District36%50%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District36%50			
Economically Disadvantaged2227%Not Economically Disadvantaged3336%Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District3336%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome23639%All Students23639%Economically Disadvantaged9028%Not Economically Disadvantaged14647%General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District2002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged2138%Economically Disadvantaged2138%Economically Disadvantaged2138%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District30%Vat Economically Disadvantaged2138%Indian River High School / La Fargeville Central School District35%Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41841%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District35%Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35%35%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / La Fargeville Central School District35%Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35%35%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District35%Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35%35%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District36%Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome <td>All Students</td> <td>55</td> <td>33%</td>	All Students	55	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged3336%Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome39%All Students23639%Economically Disadvantaged9028%Not Economically Disadvantaged14647%General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41846%All Students12346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District20038%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School District20035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41844%Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / La Fargeville Central School District20035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District20035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2850%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2850%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2843%All Students			
Carthage Senior High School / Carthage Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 236 39% Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 146 47% General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 123 46% Economically Disadvantaged 28 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 52% Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 212 38% Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 148 41% La Fargeville Central School J La Fargeville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 212 38% Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 55% Not Economically Disadvantaged 48 44% Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 55% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 55% Not Economically Disadvantaged 148 44% Economically Disadvantaged 28 55% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 28 43% Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 49%			
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 236 39% All Students 236 39% Economically Disadvantaged 90 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 146 47% General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 28 25% All Students 123 46% Economically Disadvantaged 28 25% Not Economically Disadvantaged 95 52% Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 212 38% Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 148 41% La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 44% Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 50% Vot Economically Disadvantaged 28 43% Economically Disadvantaged 14 36%		School District	
Economically Disadvantaged9028%Not Economically Disadvantaged14647%General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District27038%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21238%All Students21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2835%Not Economically Disadvantaged2835%Not Economically Disadvantaged2835%Not Economically Disadvantaged2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Ec			
Not Economically Disadvantaged14647%General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District38%52%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21238%All Students21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District20035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / La Fargeville Central School District20%35%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2850%All Students4844%Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2836%All Students2843%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School	All Students	236	39%
General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District20052%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District38%52%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District38%38%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School District20035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School J Lyme Central School District2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economical	Economically Disadvantaged	90	28%
General Brown Junior-Senior High School / General Brown Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12346%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indiar River High School / Indian River Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21238%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School J La Fargeville Central School District20035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School J La Fargeville Central School District20035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School J Lyme Central School District2843%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2836%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economic	Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	47%
All Students12346%Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District52%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4138%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District20035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41844%All Students4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2843%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2843%Istudents2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1450%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1450%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%All Students3749%		eral Brown Central Schoo	ol District
Economically Disadvantaged2825%Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District52%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District2035%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%Cotof Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1450%Mackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%Cotof Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1450%Mal Students3749%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged9552%Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District52%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21238%All Students21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4136%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%All Students3749%	All Students	123	46%
Indian River High School / Indian River Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2035%All Students4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4136%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1450%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1450%All Students3749%	Economically Disadvantaged	28	25%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 212 38% Economically Disadvantaged 64 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 148 41% La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 44% Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 50% Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 28 43% Economically Disadvantaged 14 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 20% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	52%
All Students21238%Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841% La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District 41%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2035%All Students4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850% Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District 50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41%36%All Students2843%All Students2836%Not Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets H	Indian River High School / Indian River Central S	chool District	
Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%Lyme Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36%All Students2843%All Students26%50%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School / Sacket	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged14841%La Fargeville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4844%All Students4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1450%All Students3749%	All Students	212	38%
La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 44% Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 50% Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 28 43% Economically Disadvantaged 14 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41 43% All Students 28 43% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School Jistrict	Economically Disadvantaged	64	30%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 48 44% Economically Disadvantaged 20 35% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 50% Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 28 43% Economically Disadvantaged 14 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 49%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	148	41%
All Students4844%Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Ha	La Fargeville Central School / La Fargeville Centr	ral School District	
Economically Disadvantaged2035%Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36%All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets H	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2850%Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%All Students28All Students36%Economically Disadvantaged14Not Economically Disadvantaged14Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Cen	All Students	48	44%
Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 28 Economically Disadvantaged 14 Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37	Economically Disadvantaged	20	35%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 28 43% All Students 28 43% Economically Disadvantaged 14 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 49%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	50%
All Students2843%Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3749%	Lyme Central School / Lyme Central School Distr	rict	
Economically Disadvantaged1436%Not Economically Disadvantaged1450%Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3749%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 14 50% Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 49%	All Students	28	43%
Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 49%	Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 49%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	50%
All Students 37 49%	Sackets Harbor Central School / Sackets Harbor	Central School District	
	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Economically Disadvantaged 6 33%	All Students	37	49%
	, .	6	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 31 52%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
South Jefferson High School / South Jefferson Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	171	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	44	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	127	34%
Thousand Islands High School / Thousand Islands Cent	ral School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	54%
Watertown Senior High School / Watertown City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	305	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	217	40%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: LEWIS	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Beaver River Senior High School / Beaver River Cent	ral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	60%
Copenhagen Central School / Copenhagen Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	44	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	71%
Harrisville Junior-Senior High School / Harrisville Ce	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	38	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%
Lowville High School / Lowville Academy & Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	63%
South Lewis Senior High School / South Lewis Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	63%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: LIVINGSTON		
Avon High School / Avon Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	78%
Caledonia-Mumford High School / Caledonia-Mumford	Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	83	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	72%
Dalton-Nunda High School / Dalton-Nunda Central Sch	nool District (Keshe	equa)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	46%
Dansville Senior High School / Dansville Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	132	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	42%
Geneseo Middle School High School / Geneseo Centra	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	69%
Livonia Senior High School / Livonia Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	171	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	140	49%
Mount Morris Middle/Senior High School / Mount Morr	is Central School D	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	43	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	32%
York Middle/High School / York Central School Distric		0_/0
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	73	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	46%
The continually disauvallayed	04	+0 /0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: MADISON	Moniboro	
Brookfield Central School / Brookfield Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	20	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	46%
Canastota High School / Canastota Central School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	88	36%
Cazenovia High School / Cazenovia Central School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	131	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	69%
Chittenango High School / Chittenango Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	200	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	144	47%
Deruyter High School / Deruyter Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	38%
Hamilton Junior-Senior High School / Hamilton Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	67%
Madison Central School / Madison Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	37	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	55%
Morrisville Middle School High School / Morrisville-Eato	on Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	41	54%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Oneida Senior High School / Oneida City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	203	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	148	56%
Stockbridge Valley Central School / Stockbridge Valley Ce	entral School Di	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	42	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	44%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Student GroupMemberson a math Regents) as of June of theCOUNTY: MONROEBrighton High School / Brighton Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students264All Students264Economically Disadvantaged30Not Economically Disadvantaged23479%Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students347All Students347Students22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students357Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students35766%Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged2982006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students2792006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students2792006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students2792006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students252006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students94408Economically Disadvantaged252006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students94408Economically Di	4th year
Brighton High School / Brighton Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 264 75% Economically Disadvantaged 30 37% Not Economically Disadvantaged 234 79% Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District 79% 79% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 75% 75% All Students 347 57% Kochomically Disadvantaged 125 41% Not Economically Disadvantaged 222 66% Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District 66% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 72% All Students 357 66% Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% All Students 357 66% Not Economically Disadvantaged 279 35% Economically Disadvantaged 97 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% Economically Disadvantaged 26	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students264Economically Disadvantaged30Not Economically Disadvantaged2342006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students347Economically Disadvantaged125All Students57%Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students357Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged59All Students279Economically Disadvantaged298Not Economically Disadvantaged279Stridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students279Economically Disadvantaged972006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students279All Students20%Conomically Disadvantaged972006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students94Adl Students28%Economically Disadvantaged262006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students942006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students28%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006	
All Students26475%Economically Disadvantaged3037%Not Economically Disadvantaged23479%Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome34757%Economically Disadvantaged34757%Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District66%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35766%All Students35766%Economically Disadvantaged29872%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Estridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District27%Zuolot - 4 Year Outcome35766%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%Estridge Senior High School / East Rochester Unior - 4 Year20%Not Economically Disadvantaged9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged2528%I Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Externet Turior - 4 Year Outcome2828%I Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged6928%Not Economically Disadvantaged6928%I Students28%28%	
Economically Disadvantaged3037%Not Economically Disadvantaged23479%Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome34757%Economically Disadvantaged12541%Not Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5936%All Students5936%Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%Eastridge Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Ro	
Not Economically Disadvantaged23479%Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students34757%Economically Disadvantaged12541%Not Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5936%All Students35766%Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome72%All Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Lurior Free School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41%25All Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District28%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2528%All Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged6952%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Vot Economically Disadvantaged6952%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Not Econ	
Brockport High School / Brockport Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 347 57% Economically Disadvantaged 125 41% Not Economically Disadvantaged 222 66% Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 357 66% Economically Disadvantaged 59 66% Not Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 279 35% Economically Disadvantaged 97 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 279 35% Economically Disadvantaged 97 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 72 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 94 46% Economically Disadvantaged 55 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 52%	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 347 57% Economically Disadvantaged 125 41% Not Economically Disadvantaged 222 66% Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 357 66% Economically Disadvantaged 59 66% Not Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 279 35% Economically Disadvantaged 97 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 279 35% Economically Disadvantaged 97 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 72 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 182 44% East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 94 46% Economically Disadvantaged 69 52% Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 347 57% Economically Disadvantaged 125 41% Not Economically Disadvantaged 222 66% Conomically Disadvantaged 222 66% Conomically Disadvantaged 66% Conomically Disadvantaged 59 66% Not Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Economically Disadvantaged 298 35% Other Economically Disadvantaged 279 35% All Students 279 35% 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 97 20% 20% Economically Disadvantaged 20% 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20% 20% All Students 94 46% 26% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% 28% <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
Economically Disadvantaged12541%Not Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35766%Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Junior Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Senior High School / Senior Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2528%Rot Economically Disadvantaged6952% <td></td>	
Not Economically Disadvantaged22266%Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35766%All Students35766%Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School Jistrict2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High Sc	
Churchville-Chili Senior High School / Churchville-Chili Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35766%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District72%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%All Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Sen	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35766%All Students35766%Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Starport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District28%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52%	
All Students 357 66% Economically Disadvantaged 59 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 298 72% Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School / Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School / Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School / Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School / School / East Rechester Junior Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Senior Unior Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Senior Senior Unior Senior Unior Senior Unior Senior Unior S	
Economically Disadvantaged5936%Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District20062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%All Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / East Rochester UniorFree School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46%2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District52%52%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged29872%Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%All Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / East Rochester UniorFree School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46%All Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District52%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52%	
Eastridge Senior High School / East Irondequoit Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%All Students27920%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior-Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27935%All Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome46%All Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2528%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District	
All Students27935%Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeFree School DistrictAll Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2528%	
Economically Disadvantaged9720%Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeFree School DistrictAll Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged18244%East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Unior Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
East Rochester Junior-Senior High School / East Rochester Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 46% All Students 94 46% Economically Disadvantaged 25 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 52% Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 94 46% All Students 94 28% Economically Disadvantaged 25 28% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 52% Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 52%	
All Students9446%Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%	
Economically Disadvantaged2528%Not Economically Disadvantaged6952%Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 52% Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
Fairport Senior High School / Fairport Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
Economically Disadvantaged 52 23%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 533 76%	
Gates-Chili High School / Gates-Chili Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 386 52%	
Economically Disadvantaged 93 33%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 293 58%	
Arcadia High School / Greece Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 320 33%	
Economically Disadvantaged 99 30%	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 221 35%	

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Athena High School / Greece Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	327	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	245	56%
Odyssey Academy / Greece Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	116	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	100	61%
Olympia High School / Greece Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	334	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	203	36%
Hilton High School / Hilton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	407	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	334	63%
Honeoye Falls-Lima Senior High School / Honeoye Falls	-Lima Central Scl	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	240	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	216	79%
Penfield Senior High School / Penfield Central School Di	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	398	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	349	70%
Pittsford Sutherland High School / Pittsford Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	250	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	238	78%
Pittsford-Mendon High School / Pittsford Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
	263	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bioscience & Health Career Hs At Franklin / Rochester	er City School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	0%
Charlotte High School / Rochester City School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	176	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	125	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	51	0%
Dr Freddie Thomas High School / Rochester City Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	5%
East High School / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	289	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	223	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	6%
Frederick Douglass Preparatory School / Rochester C	City School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Global Media Arts Hs At Franklin / Rochester City Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	3%
International Finance & Economic Development Hs A	t Franklin / Rocheste	r City School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
James Monroe High School / Rochester City School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	296	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	219	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
John Marshall High School / Rochester City School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	154	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	119	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	3%
Joseph C Wilson Foundation Academy / Rochester City	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Joseph C Wilson Magnet High School / Rochester City S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	364	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	119	17%
Northeast College Preparatory High School / Rochester	City School Distri	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	13%
Northwest College Preparatory High School / Rochester	City School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	15%
School 3-Nathaniel Rochester / Rochester City School E	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
School For Business, Finance And Entrepreneurship At	Edison / Rochest	er City School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	8%
School Of Engineering And Manufacturing At Edison / R	Rochester City Sch	nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
School Of Imaging And Information Technology At Edison / I		ty School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	8%
School Of The Arts / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	160	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	81	35%
Skilled Trades At Edison / Rochester City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Thomas Jefferson High School / Rochester City School Distr	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	0%
Rush-Henrietta Senior High School / Rush-Henrietta Central	School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	485	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	354	57%
Spencerport High School / Spencerport Central School Distr 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ict	
All Students	401	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	340	65%
Spry Middle School / Webster Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Thomas High School / Webster Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	357	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	339	69%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Webster-Schroeder High School / Webster Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	382	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	61%
Willink Middle School / Webster Central School Distrie	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Irondequoit High School / West Irondequoit Central Section 2015	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	331	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	270	67%
Wheatland Chili High School / Wheatland-Chili Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	58%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: MONTGOMERY		
Amsterdam High School / Amsterdam City School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	310	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	209	33%
Canajoharie Senior High School / Canajoharie Centra	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	63%
Fonda-Fultonville Senior High School / Fonda-Fultonv	/ille Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	65%
Fort Plain Junior-Senior High School / Fort Plain Cent	tral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	62%
Saint Johnsville Junior-Senior High School / Saint Jo	hnsville Central Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	10%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: NASSAU	had District	
Baldwin Senior High School / Baldwin Union Free So 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	chool District	
All Students	433	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	433	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	425	44%
John F Kennedy High School / Bellmore-Merrick Cer		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	itrai nign School Dis	
All Students	346	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%
	341	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		
Sanford H Calhoun High School / Bellmore-Merrick (Jentral High School L	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	255	500/
All Students	355	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	345	61%
Wellington C Mepham High School / Bellmore-Merric	ck Central High Schoo	of District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	005	0.107
All Students	295	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	287	65%
Bethpage Senior High School / Bethpage Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	273	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	257	72%
Carle Place Middle Senior High School / Carle Place	Union Free School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	107	76%
East Meadow High School / East Meadow Union Free	e School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	458	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	426	57%
W Tresper Clarke High School / East Meadow Union	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	200	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	193	55%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
East Rockaway Junior-Senior High School / East Rockawa	ay Union Free S	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	110	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	97	36%
Wheatley School / East Williston Union Free School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	#
Farmingdale Senior High School / Farmingdale Union Free	School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	514	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	434	59%
Freeport High School / Freeport Union Free School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	486	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	205	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	281	21%
Garden City High School / Garden City Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	296	85%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	296	85%
Glen Cove High School / Glen Cove City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	231	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	46%
Great Neck North High School / Great Neck Union Free Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	261	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	233	76%
Great Neck South High School / Great Neck Union Free Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	356	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	318	80%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Village School / Great Neck Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	17	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	#
Hempstead High School / Hempstead Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	385	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	200	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	4%
Herricks High School / Herricks Union Free School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	355	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	78%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	346	68%
George W Hewlett High School / Hewlett-Woodmere Unic	on Free School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	277	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	263	69%
Hicksville High School / Hicksville Union Free School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	475	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	383	51%
Island Trees High School / Island Trees Union Free School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ol District	
All Students	215	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	208	56%
Jericho Senior High School / Jericho Union Free School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	338	89%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	338	89%
Lawrence Senior High School / Lawrence Union Free Sch 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	nool District	
All Students	267	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	226	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Crown	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Division Avenue Senior High School / Levittown Union I		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	300	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	51%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	68%
Gen Douglas Macarthur Senior High School / Levittown	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Onion Tree Schoo	
All Students	330	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	69%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	301	78%
Locust Valley High School / Locust Valley Central Scho		7070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	of District	
All Students	175	67%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	167	70%
Long Beach Senior High School / Long Beach City Scho	-	7070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	353	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	19%
	273	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged Lynbrook Senior High School / Lynbrook Union Free Sc	-	30 %
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	noor District	
All Students	251	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	70%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	65%
Malverne Senior High School / Malverne Union Free Sch		00 /0
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	IOOI DISTINCT	
All Students	152	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	35%
Manhasset Secondary School / Manhasset Union Free S	-	3376
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	beneon District	
All Students	210	83%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	#
Massapequa High School / Massapequa Union Free Sch		π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	616	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	52%
Loononnically Disauvantageu	21	JZ70

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Mineola High School / Mineola Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	193	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	164	53%
North Shore Senior High School / North Shore Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	226	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	216	75%
Castleton Academy High School Of Oceanside / Oceanside	Union Free So	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	24	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	#
School 7-Oceanside Senior High School / Oceanside Unior	n Free School I	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	455	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	435	69%
Oyster Bay High School / Oyster Bay-East Norwich Central	School Distric	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	60%
Plainedge Senior High School / Plainedge Union Free Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	269	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	63%
Plainview-Old Bethpage/Jfk High School / Plainview-Old Bethpage/Jfk High Schoo	ethpage Centra	al School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	428	84%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	52%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	407	85%
Paul D Schreiber Senior High School / Port Washington Un	nion Free Scho	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	388	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	77%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group South Side High School / Rockville Centre Union Free		<i>,</i>
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	289	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	252	78%
Roosevelt High School / Roosevelt Union Free Schoo	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	195	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	9%
Roslyn High School / Roslyn Union Free School Distr		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	312	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	284	82%
Seaford Senior High School / Seaford Union Free Sch	-	0270
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	182	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	174	58%
Elmont Memorial High School / Sewanhaka Central H		0070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	315	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	243	33%
Floral Park Memorial High School / Sewanhaka Centr	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	212	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	57%
H Frank Carey High School / Sewanhaka Central High		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	337	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	306	60%
New Hyde Park Memorial High School / Sewanhaka C		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	280	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sewanhaka High School / Sewanhaka Central High Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	256	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	187	51%
Syosset Senior High School / Syosset Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	540	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	525	76%
Uniondale High School / Uniondale Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	493	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	226	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	267	20%
Valley Stream Central High School / Valley Stream Centra	al High School Di	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	345	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	313	48%
Valley Stream Memorial Junior High School / Valley Stream	am Central High	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Valley Stream North High School / Valley Stream Central	High School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	188	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	175	61%
Valley Stream South High School / Valley Stream Central	High School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	214	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	62%
Wantagh Senior High School / Wantagh Union Free Scho 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ol District	
All Students	284	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	281	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
West Hempstead High School / West Hempstead Union F	ree School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	217	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	41%
Westbury High School / Westbury Union Free School Dist	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	281	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	107	17%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: NYC CENTRAL OFFICE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Career Education Center / N Y C Alternative Hs District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Community Prep High School / N Y C Alternative Hs District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Canada	Count of Cohort Momboro	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
<i>Student Group</i> COUNTY: MANHATTAN	Members	
John V Lindsay Wildcat Academy Charter Schoo	I / John V Lindsov Wildo	at Academy Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		at Academy Charter School
All Students	170	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
New Heights Academy Charter School / New Hei	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	gnis Academy Charler S	
All Students	94	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	83	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
		078
Bard High School Early College / New York City (2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Beographic District # 1	
All Students	139	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	113	71%
Cascades High School / New York City Geograph		7 1 20
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	7%
Henry Street School For International Studies / N		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	lew Tork City Geographic	
All Students	78	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	4%
Lower East Side Preparatory High School / New		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Tork City Geographic Dis	
All Students	193	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	193	33%
Marta Valle Secondary School / New York City G		3378
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9%
New Explorations Into Science, Tech And Math S		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	chool/ New Tork City Ge	
All Students	46	91%
Economically Disadvantaged	40 21	95%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	88%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	00%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group University Neighborhood High School / New York Cit		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	y deographic Distric	
All Students	124	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	6%
American Sign Language & English School / New Yor		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	k ony deographic E	
All Students	25	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Art And Design High School / New York City Geograp		"
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	320	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	210	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	15%
Baruch College Campus High School / New York City		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	105	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	69%
Bayard Rustin Educational Complex / New York City	Geographic District	# 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0 1	
All Students	365	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	269	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	4%
Chelsea Career And Technical Education High Schoo	I / New York City Ge	ographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	2%
Eleanor Roosevelt High School / New York City Geog	raphic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	127	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	81%
Emma Lazarus High School / New York City Geograp	hic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	10	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Food And Finance High School / New York City Geog	raphic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Gramercy Arts High School / New York City Geograph	hic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	5%
Harvey Milk High School / New York City Geographic	District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	30	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
High School For Dual Language And Asian Studies / I	New York City Geog	raphic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	74%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
High School For Environmental Studies / New York C	ity Geographic Dist	rict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	352	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	206	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	146	20%
High School For Health Professions & Human Service	es / New York City G	eographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	341	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	338	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
High School Of Economics & Finance / New York City	y Geographic Distric	t # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	177	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	171	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School Of Graphic Communication Arts / New Y	ork City Geographic	: District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	407	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	377	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	0%
High School Of Hospitality Management / New York C	City Geographic Dist	rict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	20%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Independence High School / New York City Geographic	District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	145	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	118	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%
Jacqueline Kennedy-Onassis High School / New York C	ity Geographic Di	istrict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	148	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	14%
Leadership & Public Service High School / New York Cit	ty Geographic Dis	strict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	8%
Liberty High School Academy For Newcomers / New Yo	rk City Geograph	ic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Life Sciences Secondary School / New York City Geogra	aphic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	3%
Lower Manhattan Arts Academy / New York City Geogra	phic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	68	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Manhattan Bridges High School / New York City Geogra	phic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Manhattan Comprehensive Night And Day High School /	/ New York City G	eographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	2	
All Students	260	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	228	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Millennium High School / New York City Geographic Dis		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	150	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	150	65%
Murry Bergtraum High School For Business Careers / Ne		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	637	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	458	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	179	11%
New Design High School / New York City Geographic Dis	strict # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	10%
Norman Thomas High School / New York City Geograph	ic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	464	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	354	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	110	1%
Nyc Lab High School For Collaborative Studies / New Yo	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	124	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	91	56%
Nyc Museum School / New York City Geographic Distric	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	21%
Pace High School / New York City Geographic District #		2.70
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	92	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	31%
Professional Performing Arts High School / New York Ci	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ty deographic Di	
All Students	80	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28 52	40%
Repertory Company High School For Theatre Arts / New	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	TOTA City Geogra	
All Students	49	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Richard R Green High School Of Teaching / New	York City Geographic Di	strict # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	16%
Stuyvesant High School / New York City Geogra	phic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	787	98%
Economically Disadvantaged	320	99%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	467	98%
Talent Unlimited High School / New York City Ge	ographic District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	37%
The High School Of Fashion Industries / New Yo	rk City Geographic Distri	ct # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	416	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	323	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	93	40%
Unity Center For Urban Technologies / New York	City Geographic Distric	t # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	53	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Urban Assembly Academy Of Government And L	aw / New York City Geog	graphic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Urban Assembly School Of Business For Young	Women / New York City	Geographic District # 2
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		0.1
All Students	72	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
Urban Assembly School Of Design And Constru-	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		-9
All Students	80	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Not Economically Disauvalilayeu	11	U /0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Washington Irving High School / New York City Geographic	c District # 2	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	443	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	261	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	182	5%
Edward A Reynolds West Side High School / New York City	/ Geographic I	District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	200	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	197	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Fiorello H Laguardia High School Of Music / New York City	Geographic D	District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	646	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	187	74%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	459	76%
Frederick Douglas Academy li Secondary School / New Yor	rk City Geogra	phic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	7%
High School For Arts, Imagination And Inquiry / New York (City Geograph	ic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%
High School For Law, Advocacy And Community Justice / I	New York City	Geographic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	81	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	15%
High School Of Arts And Technology / New York City Geog	raphic District	: # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	137	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	109	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	4%
Innovation Diploma Plus / New York City Geographic Distri	ct # 3	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	a	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Louis D Brandeis High School / New York City Geog	graphic District # 3	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	521	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	493	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	0%
Manhattan Theatre Lab High School / New York City	/ Geographic District #	¥ 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	6%
Manhattan/Hunter Science High School / New York	City Geographic Distr	ict # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	79%
Urban Assembly School For Media Studies / New Yo	ork City Geographic D	istrict # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	<i>y</i> 0 1	
All Students	100	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	3%
Wadleigh Secondary School For The Performing Ar	ts / New York City Geo	ographic District # 3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	127	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	127	9%
Academy Of Environmental Science Secondary Sch	ool / New York City G	eographic District # 4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Coalition School For Social Change / New York City	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	4%
Heritage School (The) / New York City Geographic I		.,,
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	12%
J.H.S. 99 / New York City Geographic District # 4	.,	1270
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Loononnically Disauvaillageu	I	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Manhattan Center For Science & Mathematics / N		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ew Tork City Geographic	
All Students	381	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	299	59%
	82	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		45%
Ms 45/Stars Prep Academy / New York City Geogr 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	raphic District # 4	
All Students	4	<i>μ</i>
	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Park East High School / New York City Geograph	ic District # 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	20	
All Students	92	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	6%
Tito Puento Education Complex / New York City (Geographic District # 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Urban Peace Academy / New York City Geograph	ic District # 4	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	45	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Young Women'S Leadership School / New York C	City Geographic District	# 4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	55	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	29%
Choir Academy Of Harlem / New York City Geogra	aphic District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	0%
Frederick Douglass Academy / New York City Ge	ographic District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	259	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	175	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	45%
Harlem Renaissence High School / New York City	Geographic District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	• • • • • • •	
All Students	81	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%
not Economically Disadvantaged	20	070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School Math Science And Engineering At Ccny	/ New York City Geo	graphic District # 5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	94%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	97%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	93%
Is 195 Roberto Clemente / New York City Geographic	c District # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Mott Hall High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict # 5	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	12%
Thurgood Marshall Academy For Learning & Social	Change / New York C	ity Geographic District # 5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	20%
Urban Assembly For The Performing Arts / New Yor	k City Geographic Dis	strict # 5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	6%
A Philip Randolph Campus High School / New York	City Geographic Dist	rict # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	169	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	24%
Gregorio Luperon High Sch For Science And Mather	natics / New York Cit	y Geographic District # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	91	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School For Health Careers & Sciences / New Yo	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		-
All Students	158	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	136	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	9%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School For International-Business & Finance	/ New York City Geogr	aphic District # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	185	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	171	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%
High School For Law & Public Service / New York	City Geographic Distric	ct # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	171	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	110	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	11%
High School For Media & Communications / New Y	ork City Geographic D	istrict # 6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	128	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	33	3%
Jhs 52 Inwood / New York City Geographic Distric	t # 6	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Opportunity Charter School / Opportunity Charter	School	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: BRONX	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx Preparatory Charter School / Bronx Prepara	atory Charter School	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	nory onarter ochoor	
All Students	39	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
International Leadership Charter School / Internati	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	54	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
Alfred E Smith Career And Technical High School	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,, coog.c	
All Students	267	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	4%
Bronx Academy Of Letters / New York City Geogra	phic District # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	13%
Crotona Academy High School / New York City Ge	ographic District # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0	
All Students	56	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	0%
Foreign Language Academy Of Global Studies / No	ew York City Geograph	ic District # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	136	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
Health Opportunities High School / New York City	Geographic District # 7	,
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	112	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	14%
Hostos-Lincoln Academy Of Science / New York C	ity Geographic District	#7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	33%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
International Community High School / New York City	Geographic Distric	t # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	10	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Is 222 / New York City Geographic District # 7		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 151 Lou Gehrig / New York City Geographic Distr	ict # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 162 Lola Rodriguez De Tio / New York City Geogr	raphic District # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-p	
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jill Chaifetz Transfer High School / New York City Ge	ographic District # 7	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	- 3	
All Students	50	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Mott Haven Village Prep High School / New York City	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	eeegraphie biother	
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
New Explorers High School / New York City Geograp		070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Samuel Gompers Career And Technical Education Hi		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	357	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	334	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%
South Bronx Preparatory - A College Board School /	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ten for ony deby	
All Students	80	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Urban Assembly School For Careers In Sports / N	lew York City Geographi	ic District # 7
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	5%
Adlai E Stevenson High School / New York City G	eographic District # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	7	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Bronx Community High School / New York City G	eographic District # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	79	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
Bronx Guild High School / New York City Geogra	phic District # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Bronx Haven High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	11	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Felisa Rincon De Gautier Institute For Law And P	ublic Policy / New York	City Geographic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	80	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
Gateway School For Environmental Research And	d Technology / New Yor	k City Geographic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	121	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	0%
Herbert H Lehman High School / New York City G	eographic District # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1092	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	1035	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	o ,
Holcombe L Rucker School Of Community Research / N 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	New Fork City Geo	graphic District # 8
All Students	89	12%
	89 81	
Economically Disadvantaged		12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	13%
Hs 560 Bronx Academy High School / New York City Ge	eographic District	# 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		0%
All Students	141	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	123	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	0%
Is 192 Piagentini Jones / New York City Geographic Dis	trict # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	2	
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jane Addams High School For Academic Careers / New	York City Geogra	uphic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	419	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	347	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	3%
Jhs 123 James M Kiernan / New York City Geographic I	District # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Millenium Art Academy / New York City Geographic Dis	trict # 8	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	5%
New School For Arts And Sciences / New York City Geo	ographic District #	8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Pablo Neruda Academy For Architecture And World Stu	idies / New York C	ity Geographic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	4%
Renaissance High School For Musical Theater And Tec	hnology / New Yo	rk City Geographic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	6%
		0,0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	2	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Degente) as of lung of the 4th year
School For Community Research And Learning /	New York City Geograph	hic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
Validus Prep Academy: An Expeditionary Learni	ng / New York City Geog	raphic District # 8
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		•
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Women'S Academy Of Excellence / New York Cit	v Geographic District # 8	3
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	75	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	22%
Bronx Center For Science And Mathematics / Ne	w York City Geographic	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	59%
Bronx Expeditionary Learning High School / New		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Bronx High School For Medical Science / New Yo		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	16%
Bronx High School Of Business / New York City	-	1070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Geographic District # 5	
All Students	110	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	8%
Bronx International High School / New York City	-	878
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Geographic District # 9	
All Students	88	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	84 4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	-	
Bronx Leadership Academy High School / New Y	ork City Geographic Dis	trict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	470	450/
All Students	178	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	138	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	20%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx Leadership Academy li High School / New York	City Geographic Di	istrict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Bronx School For Law Government And Justice / New	/ York City Geograp	hic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	121	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Dreamyard Preparatory School / New York City Geogr	aphic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	24%
Eagle Academy For Young Men / New York City Geog	raphic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	100	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Eximius College Preparatory Academy / New York Cit	y Geographic Distri	ct # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	60	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Frederick Douglas Academy Iii Secondary School / Ne	w York City Geogra	aphic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
High School For Violin And Dance / New York City Ge	ographic District # 9	9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Is 219 New Venture School / New York City Geograph	ic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 229 Roland Patterson / New York City Geographic I	District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
,		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Is 232 / New York City Geographic District # 9		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 313 School Of Leadership Development / New York	c City Geographic Di	strict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 339 / New York City Geographic District # 9		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Jhs 145 Arturo Toscanini / New York City Geographic	: District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 22 Jordan L Mott / New York City Geographic Dis	strict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jonathan Levin Hs Of Media And Communications / M	New York City Geog	raphic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	13%
Leadership Institute / New York City Geographic Dist	rict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	67	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Morris Academy For Collaborative Studies / New York	k City Geographic D	istrict # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Mott Hall Bronx High School / New York City Geogram	ohic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	105	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	_	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
New Millennium Business Academy Middle School / I	New York City Geog	raphic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
School For Excellence / New York City Geographic D	istrict # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	6%
Urban Assembly Acad-History And Citizenship For Yo	oung Men / New Yor	k City Geographic District # 9
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	74	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	3%
Validus Preparatory Academy / New York City Geogra	aphic District # 9	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Belmont Prep High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Bronx Engineering And Technology Academy / New	ork City Geographi	c District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	73	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Bronx High School Of Science / New York City Geogr	aphic District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	668	97%
Economically Disadvantaged	247	98%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	421	97%
Bronx High School-Law And Community Services / N		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, ,	-
All Students	115	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Bronx School Of Law And Finance / New York City Ge		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	10%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx Theatre High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	91	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	6%
Dewitt Clinton High School / New York City Geographic Di	strict #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1013	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	815	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	198	13%
Discovery High School / New York City Geographic Distric	:t #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	83	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
English Language Learners And International Support / No	ew York City Ge	eographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Fordham High School For The Arts / New York City Geogr	aphic District #	10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Fordham Leadership Academy For Business & Technolog	y / New York Ci	ty Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Grace H Dodge Career And Technical High School / New Y	ork City Geogr	aphic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	362	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	356	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School For Teaching And The Professions / New Yor	k City Geograp	hic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	134	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	120	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School Of American Studies At Lehman Colleg	ge / New York City Geo	ographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	95%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	96%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	50	94%
In Tech Academy (Ms/Hs 368) / New York City Geog	raphic District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	115	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	10%
International School For Liberal Arts / New York Cit	y Geographic District	#10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	83	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%
Jhs 80 The Mosholu Parkway / New York City Geog	raphic District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
John F Kennedy High School / New York City Geog	raphic District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	502	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	389	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	113	4%
Kingsbridge International High School / New York (City Geographic Distrie	ct #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	104	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	7%
Knowledge And Power Prep Academy International	High School / New Yo	ork City Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Marble Hill High School Of International Studies / N	ew York City Geograp	hic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Marie Curie High Sch-Nursing, Medicine & Applie	ed Hith Prof / New York C	City Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	67	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Ms 399 / New York City Geographic District #10		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
New School For Leadership And Journalism / Ne	w York City Geographic	District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Providing Urban Learners Success In Education	High School / New York	City Geographic District #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	113	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
Ps 306 / New York City Geographic District #10		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Ps 95 Sheila Mencher / New York City Geographi	c District #10	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Riverdale/Kingsbridge Academy (Ms/Hs 141) / Ne	w York City Geographic	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	135	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
The Angelo Patri Middle School / New York City		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
The Celia Cruz Bronx High School Of Music / Net		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	2070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Theatre Arts Production Company School / New York	City Geographic Di	strict #10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	17%
Thomas C Giordano Middleschool 45 / New York City	Geographic District	#10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
West Bronx Academy For The Future / New York City	Geographic District	#10
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	72	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9%
Academy For Scholarship And Entreneurship / New Y	ork City Geographic	c District #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	9%
Astor Collegiate Academy / New York City Geographi	c District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	3%
Bronx Academy Of Health Careers / New York City Ge	eographic District #1	1
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Bronx Aerospace High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Bronx Health Sciences High School / New York City C	Geographic District #	<i>‡</i> 11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx High School For The Visual Arts / New Yo	rk City Geographic Distri	ict #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	95	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Bronx High School For Writing And Communicat	tion Arts / New York City	Geographic District #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	115	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Bronx Lab School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Christopher Columbus High School / New York (City Geographic District	¥11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	382	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	243	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	139	4%
Collegiate Institute For Math And Science / New	York City Geographic Dis	strict #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	121	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	23%
Evander Childs High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Global Enterprise High School / New York City G	eographic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	116	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	7%
Harry S Truman High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	565	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	234	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	331	11%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
High School Of Computers And Technology / Ne	ew York City Geographic	District #11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
High School Of Contemporary Arts / New York C	City Geographic District #	11
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	115	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Jhs 113 Richard R Green / New York City Geogra	aphic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 127 The Castle Hill / New York City Geograp	hic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Ms 180 Dr Daniel Hale Williams / New York City	Geographic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New World High School / New York City Geogra	phic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	62	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Pelham Preparatory Academy / New York City G	eographic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	111	26%
Economically Disadvantaged	74	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	35%
The Bronx Preparatory Academy / New York City	v Geographic District #11	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	66	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Bronx Coalition Community High School / New Y		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		·····
All Students	99	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bronx Latin School / New York City Geographic	District #12	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Bronx Regional High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #12	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	7%
East Bronx Academy For The Future / New York	City Geographic District	#12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
All Students	74	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Explorations Academy / New York City Geograph	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
High School Of World Cultures / New York City G	-	"
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Is 318 Math, Science & Tech Through Arts Schoo	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	or new rork only deby	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Metropolitan High School (The) / New York City (π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	beographic District #12	
All Students	104	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	5%
	16	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	-	
Monroe Academy For Business/Law / New York (2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	City Geographic District	#12
	407	50/
All Students	137	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35 • Vark City Coorrespin D	0%
Monroe Academy For Visual Arts & Design / New	Tork City Geographic D	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		201
All Students	112	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Peace And Diversity Academy / New York City Geogra	phic District #12	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
Performance Conservatory High School / New York Ci	ty Geographic Dist	rict #12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	113	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	103	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Ps 129 Twins Parks Upper / New York City Geographic	c District #12	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Ps 66 School Of Higher Expectations / New York City	Geographic District	: #12
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Nyc Charter Hs-Architecture, Engineering, Construction	on Industries / Nyc	Charter Hs-Architecture, Engineering, Construction Ind
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: BROOKLYN		
Acorn Community High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	169	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Bedford Academy High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	76	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	54%
Bedford Stuyvesant Prep High School / New York City	Geographic Distric	st #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	0 1	
All Students	52	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Benjamin Banneker Academy / New York City Geogra	phic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	234	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	159	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	49%
Brooklyn Academy High School / New York City Geog	raphic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	55	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Brooklyn Community High Sch-Communication, Arts	& Media / New York	City Geographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
Brooklyn High School For Leadership And Community	y / New York City G	eographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
Brooklyn Technical High School / New York City Geog	raphic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1097	88%
Economically Disadvantaged	740	91%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	357	83%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Dr Susan S Mckinney Secondary School Of The Arts	/ New York City Geo	graphic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	81	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Freedom Academy High School / New York City Geog	graphic District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	58	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
George Westinghouse Career & Technical Ed High So	chool / New York Cit	y Geographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	246	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	191	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	0%
Jhs 258 David Ruggles / New York City Geographic D	District #13	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Science Skills Center High School At Waters Edge / N	lew York City Geogr	aphic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	231	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	156	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	11%
The Urban Assembly School Of Music And Art At Wat	ters Edge / New Yorl	k City Geographic District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
Urban Assembly School For Law And Justice / New Y	ork City Geographic	c District #13
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	42%
Academy For Young Writers / New York City Geograp	ohic District #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	78	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Automotive High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	233	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	0%
Brooklyn Latin School (The) / New York City Geograph	ic District #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	71%
Brooklyn Preparatory High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #1	4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	6%
Foundations Academy / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	60	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
Green School: An Academy For Environmental Careers	s / New York City G	eographic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%
High School Of Enterprise, Business & Technology / N	ew York City Geog	raphic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	161	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	135	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	8%
Is 318 Eugeno Maria De Hostos / New York City Geogra	aphic District #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Juan Morel Campos Secondary School / New York City	/ Geographic Distri	ct #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or graduated and earned 80 or graduated
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Lyons Community School / New York City Geogra		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Progress High School For Professional Careers / I	New York City Geograp	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	207	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	173	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	6%
School For Legal Studies / New York City Geogram	ohic District #14	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	146	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	142	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Williamsburg High School For Architecture And D	esign / New York City G	eographic District #14
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	9%
Williamsburg Preparatory School / New York City	Geographic District #14	l de la constante de
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	14%
Acorn High School For Social Justice / New York	City Geographic Distric	t #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Agnes Y Humphrey School For Leadership / New Y	York City Geographic D	istrict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brooklyn High School Of The Arts / New York City	Geographic District #1	5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	100	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	17%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	
Cobble Hill School Of American Studies / New York C	City Geographic Dist	rict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	44	0%
J.H.S. 142 Stranahan Junior High School / New York	City Geographic Dis	trict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Metropolitan Corporate Academy High School / New `	York City Geographi	ic District #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	1%
Pacific High School / New York City Geographic Distr	rict #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
School For International Studies / New York City Geo	graphic District #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Secondary School For Journalism / New York City Ge	ographic District #1	5
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	11%
Secondary School For Law / New York City Geograph	nic District #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Secondary School For Research / New York City Geo	graphic District #15	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	3. aprile 2. conter # 10	
All Students	87	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	5%
South Brooklyn Community High School / New York		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	eny decgraphic Dis	
All Students	55	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
West Brooklyn Community High School / New York City	Geographic Dist	rict #15
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	0%
Boys And Girls High School / New York City Geographic	District #16	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	530	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	335	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	3%
Frederick Douglass Academy Iv Secondary School / New	w York City Geog	raphic District #16
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	11%
Acad For College Prep & Career Exploration: A College	Board Sch / New	York City Geographic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	26%
Academy Of Hospitality And Tourism / New York City Ge	eographic District	#17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	19%
Brooklyn Academy Of Science And The Environment / N	lew York City Geo	ographic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	110	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	6%
Brooklyn School For Music & Theater / New York City G	eographic Distric	t #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	88	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brownsville Academy High School / New York City Geog	graphic District #1	17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	-	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Clara Barton High School / New York City Geograph		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	488	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	396	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	17%
Ebbetts Field Middle School / New York City Geogra	phic District #17	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Elijah Stroud Middle School / New York City Geogra	phic District #17	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
High School For Global Citizenship (The) / New Yorl	k Citv Geographic Dis	trict #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
All Students	104	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	102	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
High School For Service And Learning At Erasmus	New York City Geog	raphic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, ,	
All Students	116	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	96	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
High School For Youth And Community Development	nt / New York City Ge	ographic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	100	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	8%
Hs For Public Service-Heroes Of Tomorrow / New Y	ork City Geographic I	District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	30%
International Arts Business School / New York City	Geographic District #	17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	7%
International High School At Prospect Heights / New	VYork City Geographi	ic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	0%
, ,		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Medgar Evers College Preparatory School / New York		strict #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	k ony deographic bi	
All Students	156	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	126	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	30%
Ms 246 Walt Whitman / New York City Geographic Di		0070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Paul Robeson High School / New York City Geograph	hic District #17	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	292	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	235	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	4%
Ps 12 / New York City Geographic District #17		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
School For Democracy And Leadership / New York C	ity Geographic Distr	ict #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	57	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%
School For Human Rights (The) / New York City Geo	graphic District #17	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	60	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	7	0%
Science, Tech & Research High School At Erasmus /	New York City Geog	raphic District #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	76	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	19%
W E B Dubois Academic High School / New York City	/ Geographic District	: #17
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort	of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Arts And Media Preparatory Academy / New York C	City Geographic Distric	: #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brooklyn Bridge Academy / New York City Geograp	phic District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%
Brooklyn Generation School / New York City Geog	raphic District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Brooklyn Theatre Arts High School / New York City	Geographic District #1	18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Canarsie High School / New York City Geographic	District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	431	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	165	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	266	2%
Comprehensive Night High School Of Brooklyn / N	ew York City Geograph	ic District #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Cultural Academy For The Arts And Sciences / New	v York City Geographic	District #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
East Brooklyn Community High School / New York	City Geographic Distri	ct #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
Is 68 Isaac Bildersee / New York City Geographic D		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
	-	"

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	0	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
It Takes A Village Academy / New York City Geograph	ic District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Kurt Hahn Expeditionary Learning School / New York	City Geographic Dis	strict #18
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Olympus Academy / New York City Geographic Distri	ct #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	78	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%
Samuel J Tilden High School / New York City Geograp	ohic District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	0%
South Shore High School / New York City Geographic	: District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	7%
Victory Collegiate High School / New York City Geogr	aphic District #18	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Academy Of Innovative Technology / New York City G	eographic District #	±19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Brooklyn Lab School / New York City Geographic Dis	trict #19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Cypress Hills Collegiate Preparatory School / New Yo	rk City Geographic	District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	106	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	3%
· •		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
East New York Family Academy / New York City Geo		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	91	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	8%
Fdny High School For Fire And Life Safety / New Yor		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	7%
Franklin K Lane High School / New York City Geogra		170
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	494	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	304	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	190	3%
High School For Civil Rights / New York City Geogra		370
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	apilie District #19	
All Students	111	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	3%
, .		5%
Jhs 166 George Gershwin / New York City Geograph	nic District #19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	0%
All Students	5 3	0%
Economically Disadvantaged		#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Multicultural High School / New York City Geograph	IIC DISTRICT #19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	2	4
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Performing Arts And Technology High School / New	Vork City Geographi	c District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		404
All Students	111	4%
Economically Disadvantaged	83	5%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	0%
Ps 174 Dumont / New York City Geographic District	#19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Thomas Jefferson High School / New York City Geo	graphic District #19	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Transit Tech Career And Technical Education / New York (c District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	346	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	343	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
W H Maxwell Career And Technical Education High Schoo	I / New York Ci	ty Geographic District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	226	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	205	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
World Academy For Total Community Health / New York C	ity Geographic	District #19
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	73	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	0%
Fort Hamilton High School / New York City Geographic Dis	strict #20	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	986	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	451	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	535	31%
Franklin D Roosevelt High School / New York City Geogram	ohic District #2	0
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	859	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	558	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	301	15%
High School Of Telecommunications Arts And Technology	/ New York Cit	ty Geographic District #20
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	297	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	295	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Jhs 223 The Montauk / New York City Geographic District	#20	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 62 Ditmas / New York City Geographic District #20		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New Utrecht High School / New York City Geographic Dist	rict #20	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	621	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	297	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	324	20%
		_0,0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Abraham Lincoln High School / New York City Geog		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	620	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	327	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	293	13%
Brooklyn Studio Secondary School / New York City		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	eeegraphie Biether#	
All Students	140	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	137	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Edward R Murrow High School / New York City Geog	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	938	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	928	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Expeditionary Learning School For Community Learning	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
High School Of Sports Management / New York City	•	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
International High School At Lafayette / New York Ci		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Is 228 David A Boody / New York City Geographic Di		"
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	
Is 96 Seth Low / New York City Geographic District #		#
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	+21	
All Students	1	#
	1	# #
Economically Disadvantaged		#
John Dewey High School / New York City Geographi 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	600	210/
	603 357	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	357	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	246	15%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	Quest	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Lafayette High School / New York City Geographic D	istrict #21	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Liberation Diploma Plus / New York City Geographic	District #21	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Life Academy High School For Film And Music / New	Vork City Geograph	ic District #21
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Rachel Carson High School For Coastal Studies / Ne	w York City Geograp	hic District #21
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	113	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	113	25%
William E Grady Career And Technical Education Hig	gh School / New York	City Geographic District #21
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	281	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	217	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	0%
Brooklyn College Academy / New York City Geograp	hic District #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	36%
James Madison High School / New York City Geogra	phic District #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	P	
All Students	1076	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	518	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	558	27%
Jhs 278 Marine Park / New York City Geographic Dis		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Leon M Goldstein High School For The Sciences / Ne	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	253	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	80%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	192	80%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	_	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Midwood High School / New York City Geographic Dis		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	872	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	218	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	654	61%
Sheepshead Bay High School / New York City Geogra	phic District #22	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	537	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	245	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	292	4%
Aspirations Diploma Plus High School / New York City	Geographic Distrie	ct #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	101	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Brooklyn Collegiate-A College Board School / New Yo	rk City Geographic	District #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	18%
Brooklyn Democracy Academy / New York City Geogra	aphic District #23	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	1%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	2%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	0%
Ebc/Eny High School For Public Safety / New York Cit	y Geographic Distri	ict #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	4%
Frederick Douglas Academy Vii High School / New Yo	rk City Geographic	District #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	92	8%
Is 271 John M Coleman School / New York City Geogra	aphic District #23	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Metropolitan Diploma Plus High School / New York Cit	ty Geographic Distr	rict #23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Teachers Preperatory High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #2	23
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Academy For Environmental Leadership / New York Cit	ty Geographic Dist	rict #32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	0%
Academy Of Urban Planning / New York City Geograph	ic District #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	115	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	91	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	4%
All City Leadership Secondary School / New York City	Geographic Distric	rt #32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	43	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Bushwick Community High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #3	32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	128	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%
Bushwick Leaders Hs For Academic Excellence / New	York City Geograp	hic District #32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	117	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	0%
Bushwick School For Social Justice / New York City Ge	eographic District	#32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	12%
Ebc High School For Public Service / New York City Ge	ographic District #	‡32
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	161	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	143	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Is 347 School Of Humanities / New York City Geographic I	District #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 162 The Willoughby / New York City Geographic Distr	ict #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New York Harbor School / New York City Geographic Dist	rict #32	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	9%
Williamsburg Charter High School / Williamsburg Charter	High School	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	156	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	117	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	8%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: QUEENS		
Academy Of Finance And Enterprise / New York O	City Geographic District	#24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	26	15%
Aviation Career And Technical High School / New	York City Geographic	District #24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	368	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	263	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	25%
Bard High School Early College li / New York City	Geographic District #24	4
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	60%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	44%
Grover Cleveland High School / New York City Ge	ographic District #24	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	703	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	680	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	0%
High School For Arts And Business / New York C	ity Geographic District #	‡24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
High School Of Applied Communications / New Y	ork City Geographic Dis	strict #24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	12%
Economically Disadvantaged	83	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	17%
Is 125 Thomas J Mccann Woodside / New York Ci	ity Geographic District #	24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Is 61 Leonardo Da Vinci / New York City Geograph	hic District #24	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Newtown High School / New York City Geographic Dist	trict #24	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	773	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	430	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	343	6%
Pan American International High School / New York Cit	ty Geographic Dist	rict #24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Queens Vocational And Technical High School / New Y	ork City Geograph	nic District #24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	271	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	211	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	7%
Robert F Wagner Jr Secondary School-Arts And Techn	ology / New York (City Geographic District #24
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	32	25%
Voyages Preparatory / New York City Geographic Distr	ict #24	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	0%
East-West School Of International Studies / New York	City Geographic Di	istrict #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	11%
Flushing High School / New York City Geographic Dist	rict #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	588	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	403	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	185	5%
Flushing International High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #	25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	86	9%
Is 237 / New York City Geographic District #25		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
John Bowne High School / New York City Geographic	District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	688	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	453	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	235	9%
North Queens Community High School / New York City	/ Geographic Distri	ict #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	0%
Queens Academy High School / New York City Geogra	phic District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	141	5%
Economically Disadvantaged	112	4%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	7%
Robert F Kennedy Community High School / New York	City Geographic D	District #25
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	159	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	94	22%
Townsend Harris High School / New York City Geogram	ohic District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	278	99%
Economically Disadvantaged	278	99%
World Journalism Preparatory / New York City Geograp	phic District #25	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	17%
Bayside High School / New York City Geographic Distr	ict #26	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	902	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	547	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	355	46%
Benjamin N Cardozo High School / New York City Geog	graphic District #20	6
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	981	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	284	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	697	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Francis Lewis High School / New York City Geographic District #26 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 209 47% Economically Disadvantaged 667 43% Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geographic District #26 2006 14% Economically Disadvantaged 363 16% Not Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% Queens High School / New York City Geographic District #26 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 281 20% All Students 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 21% 21% All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 83 1% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Conomically Disadvantaged 10 0% Conomically D	County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students 1209 47% Economically Disadvantaged 642 51% Not Economically Disadvantaged 667 43% Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geographic District #26 2006 755 14% Economically Disadvantaged 363 16% 000 16% Not Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% Queens High School / Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% Queens High School / Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 701 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 701 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% <t< td=""><td>Francis Lewis High School / New York City Geographic D</td><td>District #26</td><td></td></t<>	Francis Lewis High School / New York City Geographic D	District #26	
Economically Disadvantaged 542 51% Not Economically Disadvantaged 667 43% Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geographic District #ZF 206 753 14% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 363 16% 16% Not Economically Disadvantaged 362 11% Queens High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #ZF 206 Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 21 20% All Students 281 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 208 Zota Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 383 3% All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 30 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 309 3% Economically Disadvantaged 309 3% Conomically Disadvantaged 10 0% Conomically Disadvantaged 10 3% Economically Disadvantaged 11 20%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 667 43% Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geographic District #25 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 363 16% All Students 755 14% Economically Disadvantaged 363 16% Oueens High School / Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #25 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 200 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 88 3% Economically Disadvantaged 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Economical	All Students	1209	47%
Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geographic District #262006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome75514%All Students75514%Economically Disadvantaged39211%OutcomeAll Students28120%Economically Disadvantaged13621%Not Economically Disadvantaged13621%Mi Students28120%Economically Disadvantaged14519%August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students2883%Economically Disadvantaged2004%Not Economically Disadvantaged2004%Not Economically Disadvantaged881%Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3893%Economically Disadvantaged3793%Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel Wew School For Research / New York City Geographic District #2720062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Eronomically Disadvantaged7120%Eronomically Disadvantaged1642%M Students1642%M Students1642%Economically	Economically Disadvantaged	542	51%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 755 14% Economically Disadvantaged 363 16% Not Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% Cucens High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #26 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 88 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 989 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 21 0% For Acckawy High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 2% Economically Disadvantaged 21 0% Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 2% Economically Disadvantaged 21 0% Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 12% Economically Disadvantaged 77 12%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	667	43%
All Students 755 14% Economically Disadvantaged 363 16% Not Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 383 3% All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 200 4% All Students 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 379 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 379 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 379 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0%	Martin Van Buren High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #26	
Economically Disadvantaged 363 16% Not Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% Cueers High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / Nev York City Geographic District #27 2006 2006 Total Cohont - 4 Year Outcome 281 20% All Students 281 20% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 383 3% Economically Disadvantaged 208 3% Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% 6 6 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% 20% 6	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 392 11% Cueens High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #25 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 281 20% All Students 281 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 704 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 379 3% All Students 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Economically Disadvantaged 14 20% Economically Disadvantaged 14 20% <tr< td=""><td>All Students</td><td>755</td><td>14%</td></tr<>	All Students	755	14%
Queens High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Sciences / New York City Geographic District #26 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 200 4% Not Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 379 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Zoon Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% 20% All Students 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Far Reckaway High School / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% 20% All Students 164	Economically Disadvantaged	363	16%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 281 20% All Students 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 3% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 288 3% All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Not Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 3% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 389 3% All Students 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% 20% All Students 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% 20% All Students 164 2% All Students 143 3% Not Economically	Not Economically Disadvantaged	392	11%
All Students 281 20% Economically Disadvantaged 136 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 Zono Fotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 288 3% Economically Disadvantaged 88 1% Beack- Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 4% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Z006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Z006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #27 20% Z006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2% 3% <	Queens High School Of Teaching, Liberal Arts And Scien	ces / New York	City Geographic District #26
Economically Disadvantaged13621%Not Economically Disadvantaged14519%August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #2720062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2004%All Students2004%Economically Disadvantaged881%Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3893%All Students3893%Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3793%Mot Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%All Students7120%For Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%All Students1642%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%Z006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%All Students210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272% <td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td> <td></td> <td></td>	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 145 19% August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 7014 Chort - 4 Year Outcome 3% Economically Disadvantaged 288 3% 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 1% Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 3% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 389 3% All Students 389 3% Economically Disadvantaged 10 0% Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 71 20% Economically Disadvantaged 71 20% 20% 20% Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 20% 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 71 20% 20% Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2% 3% 3% All Students 164 2% 3% 3%	All Students	281	20%
August Martin High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students268Economically Disadvantaged200Not Economically Disadvantaged881%Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students389All Students389Sconomically Disadvantaged379All Students379All Students379Sconomically Disadvantaged10Ow0%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%All Students712006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Economically Disadvantaged712006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164Economically Disadvantaged21Not Economically Disadvantaged21Not Economically Disadvantaged212006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students21All Students21Ow722006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95All Students12%Economically Disadvantaged95All Students12%Economically Disadvantaged772006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95All Students12%Economically Disadvantaged712006 Total Cohort - 4	Economically Disadvantaged	136	21%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students288Economically Disadvantaged200Not Economically Disadvantaged88Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students389Economically Disadvantaged379Not Economically Disadvantaged10Owa Economically Disadvantaged10Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Economically Disadvantaged712006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Economically Disadvantaged712006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164Economically Disadvantaged143Not Economically Disadvantaged212006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95All Students12%Economically Disadvantaged772006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Not Economically Disadvantaged	145	19%
All Students2883%Economically Disadvantaged2004%Not Economically Disadvantaged881%Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3893%Conomically Disadvantaged3793%Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Conomically Disadvantaged100%Conomically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged1433%All Students2%All Students2% </td <td>August Martin High School / New York City Geographic E</td> <td>District #27</td> <td></td>	August Martin High School / New York City Geographic E	District #27	
Economically Disadvantaged2004%Not Economically Disadvantaged881%Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3893%Economically Disadvantaged3793%Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%Far Nort Kity Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students7120%Far Nort Kity Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Fortal Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7120%All Students20%All Students20%Conomically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Ferderick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2712%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%First Economically Disadvantaged210%First Economically Disadvantaged210%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%First Economically Disadvantaged210%First Economically Disadvantaged210%First Economically Disadvantaged2112%All Students9512%Economically	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged881%Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3893%Economically Disadvantaged3793%Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41433%All Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%All Students9512%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	All Students	288	3%
Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3893%All Students3793%Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1642%All Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12%All Students9512%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	Economically Disadvantaged	200	4%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students389Sconomically Disadvantaged379Not Economically Disadvantaged10O%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome71All Students71Economically Disadvantaged712006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome24All Students164Economically Disadvantaged143Not Economically Disadvantaged21O%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21All Students95All Students95All Students95All Students12%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	88	1%
All Students3893%Economically Disadvantaged3793%Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #270%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeZ006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students9512%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	Beach Channel High School / New York City Geographic	District #27	
Economically Disadvantaged3793%Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #2720%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged100%Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #2700062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7120%All Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #270%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #270%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12%All Students9512%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	All Students	389	3%
Channel View School For Research / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome71All Students71Economically Disadvantaged712006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome164All Students164Economically Disadvantaged143Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome21All Students95All Students12%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	Economically Disadvantaged	379	3%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164Economically Disadvantaged143Not Economically Disadvantaged21OwFrederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95All Students12%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
All Students7120%Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Freederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95All Students12%All Students7712%	Channel View School For Research / New York City Geog	graphic District #	27
Economically Disadvantaged7120%Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1642%All Students1643%Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome12%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 2% Economically Disadvantaged 143 3% Not Economically Disadvantaged 21 0% Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 12% Economically Disadvantaged 77 12%	All Students	71	20%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164All Students2%Economically Disadvantaged143Not Economically Disadvantaged21Prederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95Economically Disadvantaged12%Economically Disadvantaged77	Economically Disadvantaged	71	20%
All Students1642%Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome9512%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	Far Rockaway High School / New York City Geographic I	District #27	
Economically Disadvantaged1433%Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome9512%All Students9712%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged210%Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #272006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome9512%All Students9512%Economically Disadvantaged7712%	All Students	164	2%
Frederick Douglas Academy Vi High School / New York City Geographic District #27 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 95 12% All Students 95 12% Economically Disadvantaged 77 12%	Economically Disadvantaged	143	3%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95Economically Disadvantaged7712%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students95Economically Disadvantaged7712%		City Geographic I	District #27
Economically Disadvantaged 77 12%		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	All Students	95	12%
	Economically Disadvantaged	77	12%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	11%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group High Sch-Construction, Trades, Engineering & Ard		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		ity Geographic District #27
All Students	177	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	131	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	9%
Jhs 202 Robert H Goddard / New York City Geogra	-	370
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	aprile District #27	
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Jhs 210 Elizabeth Blackwell / New York City Geog		π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	aphic District #21	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
John Adams High School / New York City Geogra	•	π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	808	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	617	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	6%
Ms 137 America'S School Of Heroes / New York C	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ity deographic District	π <i>2</i> Ι
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Queens High School For Information, Research A	-	-
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	na reennology/ New r	
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Reg 5 Scholars Academy - Ms 323 / New York City		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Richmond Hill High School / New York City Geogr		"
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	867	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	530	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	337	7%
Forest Hills High School / New York City Geograp		170
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	959	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	438	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	438 521	35%
Not Loononically Disauvantayeu	521	5570

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Hillcrest High School / New York City Geographic	c District #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	766	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	560	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	9%
Hs For Law Enforcement And Public Safety / Nev	v York City Geographic D	District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	13%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	12%
Jamaica High School / New York City Geographic	c District #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	394	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	186	2%
Jhs 157 Stephen A Halsey / New York City Geogr	aphic District #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	•	
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Jhs 190 Russell Sage / New York City Geographi	c District #28	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Queens Gateway To Health Sciences Secondary	School / New York City C	Geographic District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	117	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	68	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	49	49%
Queens High School For The Sciences At York C	ollege / New York City G	eographic District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	107	93%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	93%
Thomas A Edison Career And Technical High Sc	hool / New York City Geo	ographic District #28
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	819	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	403	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	416	37%
Business/Computer Applications & Entrepreneur	rship High School / New	York City Geographic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	116	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	49	0%
		0,0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Excelsior Preparatorty High School / New York City Geo	ographic District #	29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	5 1	
All Students	91	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	1%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	23%
George Washington Carver High School For The Science	es / New York Cit	y Geographic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	76	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	30%
Humanities And Arts Magnet High School / New York Ci	ty Geographic Dis	strict #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	0%
Is 59 Springfield Gardens / New York City Geographic D	istrict #29	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Law, Government And Community Service High School	/ New York City G	eographic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	125	11%
Economically Disadvantaged	77	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	6%
Math/Science Research And Technology Magnet Hs / Ne	ew York City Geog	graphic District #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	66	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	17%
Pathways College Preparatory School / New York City G	eographic Distric	t #29
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	3%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	3%
Preparatory Academy For Writers / New York City Geog	raphic District #29)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	36	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	17	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Crown	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Queens Preparatory Academy / New York City Geogr		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	95	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	14%
Academy Of American Studies / New York City Geogr	-	1470
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	raphic District #50	
All Students	155	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	55%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	52%
Baccalaureate School For Global Education / New Yo		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ork City Geographic	District #30
	70	700/
All Students	72	78%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	78%
Frank Sinatra School Of The Arts High School / New	York City Geographi	ic District #30
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	171	500/
All Students	171	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	54%
Information Technology High School / New York City	Geographic District	#30
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	267	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	212	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	20%
Is 145 Joseph Pulitzer / New York City Geographic Di	istrict #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Long Island City High School / New York City Geogra	aphic District #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	718	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	506	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	212	11%
Newcomers High School / New York City Geographic	: District #30	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	214	18%
Economically Disadvantaged	169	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
William Cullen Bryant High School / New York City G	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	J	
All Students	810	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	783	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	070

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Renaissance Charter School (The) / Renaissance	Charter School (The)	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	51	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	32%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: RICHMOND		
Concord High School / New York City Geographic	District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	4%
Csi High School For International Studies / New Ye	ork City Geographic Dis	strict #31
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	24%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	25%
Curtis High School / New York City Geographic Di	strict #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	649	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	410	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	239	23%
Is 27 Anning S Prall / New York City Geographic D	istrict #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
New Dorp High School / New York City Geographi	c District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	509	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	189	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	320	19%
Port Richmond High School / New York City Geog	raphic District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	519	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	285	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	234	17%
Ralph R Mckee Career And Technical High School	/ New York City Geogra	aphic District #31
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	93	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	11%
Staten Island Technical High School / New York C	ity Geographic District	#31
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	288	98%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	98%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	243	98%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Susan E Wagner High School / New York City Geograph	ic District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	912	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	392	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	520	37%
The Michael J Petrides School / New York City Geograph	hic District #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	113	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	45%
Tottenville High School / New York City Geographic Dist	trict #31	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1069	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	141	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	928	32%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: NIAGARA		
Barker High School / Barker Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	96	FC0/
All Students	86	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	64%
Lewiston Porter Senior High School / Lewiston-Port	ter Central School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	100	20 27
All Students	193	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	166	69%
Lockport High School / Lockport City School Distric	;t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	427	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	75	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	352	51%
Newfane Senior High School / Newfane Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	159	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	121	51%
Niagara Falls High School / Niagara Falls City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	561	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	244	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	317	37%
Niagara-Wheatfield Senior High School / Niagara-W	heatfield Central Scho	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	369	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	53%
North Tonawanda High School / North Tonawanda	Citv School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	,	
All Students	367	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	65	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	302	49%
Royalton Hartland High School / Royalton-Hartland		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		-
All Students	113	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	60%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	51%
Not Economically Disauvantayed	103	JT /0

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Starpoint High School / Starpoint Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	238	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	40%
Wilson High School / Wilson Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	50%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

COUNTY: ONEIDA Adirondack High School / Adirondack Central School Distr 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School Distr 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	111 42 69 rrict 197 68 129	47% 36% 54% 37% 28%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School Dist 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	111 42 69 rrict 197 68 129	36% 54% 37%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School Dist 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	42 69 rrict 197 68 129	36% 54% 37%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School Dist 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	42 69 rrict 197 68 129	36% 54% 37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School Dist 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	69 rrict 197 68 129	54% 37%
Camden Senior High School / Camden Central School Dist 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	rict 197 68 129	37%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Economically Disadvantaged	197 68 129	
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	68 129	
Economically Disadvantaged	68 129	
	129	2070
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	42%
Clinton Senior High School / Clinton Central School Distrie 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	4.47	600/
All Students	147	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133 al Sahaal Diatri	69%
Holland Patent Central High School / Holland Patent Centr	ai School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	450	400/
All Students	158	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	125	48%
New Hartford Senior High School / New Hartford Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	044	770/
All Students	214	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	209	78%
New York Mills Junior-Senior High School / New York Mills	s Union Free So	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	38%
Oriskany Junior-Senior High School / Oriskany Central Sch	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	62	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	54%
Remsen Junior-Senior High School / Remsen Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	23%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Rome Free Academy / Rome City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	458	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	174	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	284	44%
Sauquoit Valley High School / Sauquoit Valley Central S	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	56%
Vernon-Verona-Sherrill Senior High School / Sherrill City	y School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	178	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	132	61%
Thomas R Proctor High School / Utica City School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	638	17%
Economically Disadvantaged	371	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	267	27%
Waterville Jr/Sr High School / Waterville Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	67%
Westmoreland High School / Westmoreland Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	51%
Whitesboro High School / Whitesboro Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	292	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	40	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	252	26%
Whitesboro Middle School / Whitesboro Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ONONDAGA	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Charles W Baker High School / Baldwinsville Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	484	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	59	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	425	70%
East Syracuse-Minoa Central High School / East Syracu	se-Minoa Central	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	306	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	72	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	234	56%
Fabius-Pompey Middle School High School / Fabius-Po	mpey Central Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	62%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	72	61%
Fayetteville-Manlius Senior High School / Fayetteville-Ma	anlius Central Scl	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	387	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	362	78%
Jamesville-Dewitt High School / Jamesville-Dewitt Centr	al School District	t i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	233	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	67%
Jordan-Elbridge High School / Jordan-Elbridge Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	131	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	47%
La Fayette Junior-Senior High School / Lafayette Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	51%
Liverpool High School / Liverpool Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	620	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	150	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	470	61%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Marcellus High School / Marcellus Central School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	174	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	154	68%
Cicero-North Syracuse High School / North Syracuse C	entral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	757	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	164	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	593	54%
North Syracuse Junior High School / North Syracuse Co	entral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	9	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Onondaga Senior High School / Onondaga Central Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	45%
Skaneateles Senior High School / Skaneateles Central S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	155	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	81%
Solvay High School / Solvay Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	176	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	124	51%
Syracuse Academy Of Science Charter School / Syracu	se Academy Of So	cience Charter School
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	
All Students	52	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Corcoran High School / Syracuse City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	435	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	217	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	218	21%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Fowler High School / Syracuse City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	202	59/
All Students	303 188	5% 6%
Economically Disadvantaged		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	4%
Henninger High School / Syracuse City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	440	00/
All Students	443	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	6%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	214 Au Sahaal Diatri	10%
Institute Of Technology At Syracuse Central / Syracuse Ci	ty School Distri	Ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	4	<u>н</u>
All Students	1 1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	I	#
Nottingham High School / Syracuse City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	222	4.00/
All Students	332	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	208	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	124	12%
Tully Junior-Senior High School / Tully Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	00	F 40/
All Students	98	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	58%
West Genesee Senior High School / West Genesee Centra	I School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	007	500/
All Students	397	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	334	64%
Westhill High School / Westhill Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	136	83%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ONTARIO	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Canandaigua Academy & Middle School / Canandaigua	City School Distri	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	359	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	292	65%
Bloomfield High School / East Bloomfield Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	92	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	60%
Geneva High School / Geneva City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	163	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	99	59%
Marcus Whitman High School / Gorham-Middlesex Cen	tral School Distric	t (Marcus Whitman)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	133	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	43	53%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	44%
Honeoye Middle/High School / Honeoye Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	42%
Red Jacket High School / Manchester-Shortsville Centr	al School District	(Red Jacket)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	63	59%
Naples High School / Naples Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	68%
Midlakes High School / Phelps-Clifton Springs Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	143	43%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Victor Senior High School / Victor Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	287	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ORANGE		
Chester Academy-Middle/High School / Chester Union	Free School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	22%
Cornwall Central High School / Cornwall Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	290	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	59%
S S Seward Institute / Florida Union Free School Distric	;t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	74	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	69	46%
Goshen Central High School / Goshen Central School I 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	220	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	61%
James I O'Neill High School / Highland Falls Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	90	57%
Kiryas Joel Village School / Kiryas Joel Village Union F	ree School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Middletown High School / Middletown City School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	486	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	258	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	228	32%
Minisink Valley High School / Minisink Valley Central Sc	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	412	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	349	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Crown	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Monroe Woodbury High School / Monroe-Woodbury C		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	576	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	521	57%
Heritage Middle School / Newburgh City School Distri		51 %
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	CI	
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Newburgh Free Academy-Main Campus / Newburgh C	_	π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Sty School District	
All Students	929	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	417	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	512	26%
Newburgh Free Academy-North Campus / Newburgh (2070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	#
South Middle School / Newburgh City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Pine Bush Senior High School / Pine Bush Central Sc	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	503	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	147	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	356	52%
Port Jervis Senior High School / Port Jervis City Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	269	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	162	35%
George F Baker High School / Tuxedo Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	74	66%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Valley Central High School / Valley Central School Distr	ict (Montgomery)	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	391	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	94	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	48%
Warwick Valley High School / Warwick Valley Central So	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	392	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	64%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	364	69%
Washingtonville Senior High School / Washingtonville C	Central School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	374	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	307	49%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ORLEANS	District	
Charles D'Amico High School / Albion Central School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	207	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149	36%
Holley Junior Senior High School / Holley Central Sch	-	30 %
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	123	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	76	38%
Kendall Junior-Senior High School / Kendall Central S		0070
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	57%
L A Webber Middle-High School / Lyndonville Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	56	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	#
Medina High School / Medina Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	156	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	43%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group	Members	on a main Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: OSWEGO		
Altmar-Parish-Williamstown High School / Altmar-Parish-	Williamstown C	entral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	129	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	67	28%
Paul V Moore High School / Central Square Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	399	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	107	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	292	40%
G Ray Bodley High School / Fulton City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	288	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	112	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	176	48%
Hannibal High School / Hannibal Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	146	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	93	44%
Mexico High School / Mexico Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	204	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	71	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	133	39%
Oswego High School / Oswego City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	404	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	88	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	316	47%
John C Birdlebough High School / Phoenix Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	181	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	117	42%
Pulaski Junior-Senior High School / Pulaski Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	86	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	51	61%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Sandy Creek High School / Sandy Creek Central Scl	Count of Cohort Members hool District	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	71	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	38	37%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Cherry Valley-Springfield Junior-Senior High School / Cherry Valley-Springfield Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 3 All Students 3 All Students 28 29% Cooperstown Central High School / Cooperstown Central School District 20% 20% Cooperstown Central High School / Cooperstown Central School District 20% 20% Cooperstown Central High School / Cooperstown Central School District 7% 20% Cooperstown Central High School / Edmeston Central School District 7% 20% Coononically Disadvantaged 86 42% Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 20% 20% Coononically Disadvantaged 12 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 12 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Coolor Coil Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 23 64% All Students 32 44% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 64% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30%	County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: OTSEGO	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 43 30% All Students 43 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 29% Cooperstown Central High School / Cooperstown Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 37% All Students 101 37% Economically Disadvantaged 86 42% Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 39% Economically Disadvantaged 12 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 61% Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 32 44% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Wilford Central School / Milford Central School District		/ Cherry Valley-Sprin	nafield Central School District
Economically Disadvantaged1533%Not Economically Disadvantaged2829%Cooperstown Central School / Cooperstown Central School / Disadvantaged37%Cooperstown Central School / Scho			
Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 29% Cooperstown Central High School / Cooperstown Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 101 37% Economically Disadvantaged 15 7% Not Economically Disadvantaged 86 42% Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 2006 704 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 36 39% Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 61% Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Mot Economically Disadvantaged 20 50% Middents 40 40% E	All Students	43	30%
Operation Central School / District2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome10137%All Students10137%Economically Disadvantaged8642%Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3639%Economically Disadvantaged1217%Not Economically Disadvantaged2450%Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3949%Economically Disadvantaged1631%Not Economically Disadvantaged2361%Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District30%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3244%All Students3244%Economically Disadvantaged1030%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District30%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome30%All Students4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District372006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3751%Economically Disadvantaged1537%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District <t< td=""><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td>15</td><td>33%</td></t<>	Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 101 37% All Students 101 37% Economically Disadvantaged 16 42% Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 36 39% Economically Disadvantaged 12 17% All Students 36 39% Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Detortal School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 39 49% 60 Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Potal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 31 61% All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Miltord Central School / Milford Central School District 30% 43% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 50% Miltord Central School / Milford Central School District 27% 30% More Economically Disadvantaged		28	29%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 101 37% All Students 101 37% Economically Disadvantaged 16 42% Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 36 39% Economically Disadvantaged 12 17% All Students 36 39% Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Detortal School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 39 49% 60 Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Potal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 31 61% All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Miltord Central School / Milford Central School District 30% 43% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 50% Miltord Central School / Milford Central School District 27% 30% More Economically Disadvantaged		ntral School District	
Economically Disadvantaged157%Not Economically Disadvantaged8642%Edmestron Central School / Edmeston Central School District20639%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1217%Not Economically Disadvantaged2450%Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3949%Economically Disadvantaged2361%Economically Disadvantaged2361%Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2361%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2250%Mitord Central School / Mitford Central School District27%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2548%Mitord Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Mitoric Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Mitoric Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Mitoric Chort - 4 Year Outcome27%51%Economically Disadvantaged2050%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
Economically Disadvantaged157%Not Economically Disadvantaged8642%Edmestron Central School / Edmeston Central School District20639%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1217%Not Economically Disadvantaged2450%Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3949%Economically Disadvantaged2361%Economically Disadvantaged2361%Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2361%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2250%Mitord Central School / Mitford Central School District27%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2548%Mitord Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Mitoric Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Mitoric Cohort - 4 Year Outcome27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050%Mitoric Chort - 4 Year Outcome27%51%Economically Disadvantaged2050%Not Economically Disadvantaged2050% <t< td=""><td>All Students</td><td>101</td><td>37%</td></t<>	All Students	101	37%
Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 36 39% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 61% Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 32 44% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Milford Central School / Milford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 32 44% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Milford Central School / Milford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 40 40% Economically Disadvantaged 25 48% Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 40 50% Mot Economically Disadvantaged 25 48% Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 51% Economically Disadvantaged 25 53% Mort Economically Disadvantaged 26 53% Not Economically Disadvantaged 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 28 53% Mort Central School / Morris Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 51% Economically Disadvantaged 20 50% Oncenta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 48% Economically Disadvantaged 37 16%		15	7%
Edmeston Central School / Edmeston Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 36 39% Conomically Disadvantaged 12 17% Old Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District 50% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 61% Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 30% 61% Zote Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41% 30% 61% Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 30% 61% Dote Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 30% 30% 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 15 27% 48% Economically Disadvantaged 15 27% 48% Mortris Central School District 27% 48% 53% 53% 53%		86	42%
All Students3639%Economically Disadvantaged1217%Not Economically Disadvantaged2450%Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District2006 Total Cohot - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3949%Economically Disadvantaged1631%Not Economically Disadvantaged2361%Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District2006 Total Cohot - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3244%Economically Disadvantaged1030%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District2250%2006 Total Cohot - 4 Year Outcome4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged3751%Economically Disadvantaged2250%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District53%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District53%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Morris Central School / Oneonta City School District53%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantage		District	
Economically Disadvantaged 12 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District 200 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 39 49% All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 61% Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 41% 60 All Students 32 44% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Milford Central School / Milford Central School District 27% 40% Zoto Fortal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 25 48% Mitford Central School / Morris Central School District 27% 48% Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 27% 48% Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 27% 48% Economically Disadvantaged	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2450%Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students39Beconomically Disadvantaged23Conomically Disadvantaged23Conomically Disadvantaged32All Students32All Students32All Students32All Students32All Students32All Students30%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Stop Central School / Mifford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students40Contal Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students25All Students27%Not Economically Disadvantaged25Otal Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Students51%Economically Disadvantaged22Oto Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Students51%Sconomically Disadvantaged22Oto Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Stip Sconomically Disadvantaged22Oto Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Stip Sconomically Disadvantaged22Oto Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Stip Sconomically Disadvantaged22Stip Sconomically Disadvantaged23Stip Sconomically Disadvantaged	All Students	36	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 24 50% Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School / Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 16 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 61% Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 61% 61% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 44% 6200 60% All Students 32 44% 6200 60% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% 60% Not Economically Disadvantaged 23 60% 60% Not Economically Disadvantaged 20 50% 60% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% 60% Mortis Central School / Miford Central School District 27% 48% 60 40% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% 60% <t< td=""><td>Economically Disadvantaged</td><td>12</td><td>17%</td></t<>	Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students39Economically Disadvantaged16Not Economically Disadvantaged23Chromically Disadvantaged232006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students32All Students32Economically Disadvantaged10Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students30%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5All Students40Milford Central School / Milford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome40%Conomically Disadvantaged15All Students40All Students25All Students27%Not Economically Disadvantaged25Milford Central School J Morris Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37All Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged20Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged21Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged21Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged21 <td>Not Economically Disadvantaged</td> <td>24</td> <td>50%</td>	Not Economically Disadvantaged	24	50%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students39Economically Disadvantaged16Not Economically Disadvantaged23Chromically Disadvantaged232006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students32All Students32Economically Disadvantaged10Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students30%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5All Students40Milford Central School / Milford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome40%Conomically Disadvantaged15All Students40All Students25All Students27%Not Economically Disadvantaged25Milford Central School J Morris Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37All Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged20Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged21Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged22Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged21Students53%Not Economically Disadvantaged21 <td>Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School</td> <td>/ Gilbertsville-Moun</td> <td>t Upton Central School District</td>	Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School	/ Gilbertsville-Moun	t Upton Central School District
Economically Disadvantaged1631%Not Economically Disadvantaged2361%Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District2061%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3244%Economically Disadvantaged1030%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District50%50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4040%All Students4040%Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District27%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome418 Students27%All Students3751%Economically Disadvantaged1553%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome53%All Students3751%Economically Disadvantaged2250%Orecont Senior High School / Oneonta City School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome53%All Students2050%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Orecont Senior High School / Oneonta City School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome53%All Students16448%Economically Disadvantaged3716%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2361%Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District	All Students	39	49%
Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 32 44% Economically Disadvantaged 10 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% Milford Central School / Milford Central School District 50% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 40 40% All Students 40 40% Economically Disadvantaged 15 27% Not Economically Disadvantaged 25 48% Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 15 All Students 37 51% 53% Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 53% 53% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 53% 53% All Students 37 51% Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% One-ontal School / Oneonta City School District 53% 53% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 53% 53% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 50% One-ont High School / Oneonta City School District	Economically Disadvantaged	16	31%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3244%Economically Disadvantaged1030%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District27%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2250%Marris Central School / Deonta City School District53%Not Economically Disadvantaged1553%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Marris Central School / Oneonta City School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2250%Marris Central School / Oneonta City School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2050%All Students16448%All Students3716%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	23	61%
All Students3244%Economically Disadvantaged1030%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District40%40%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District53%51%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3751%Mot Economically Disadvantaged2250%Mot Economically Disadvantaged2250%Mot Economically Disadvantaged1553%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Ore-orta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2150%All Students6448%All Students16448%	Laurens Central School / Laurens Central School Dis	trict	
Economically Disadvantaged1030%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4040%All Students4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District51%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3751%All Students53%20%Not Economically Disadvantaged1563%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Morris Central School / Oneonta City School District53%Not Economically Disadvantaged16448%All Students16448%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Milford Central School / Milford Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4040%All Students4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3751%Economically Disadvantaged2250%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2250%Mot Economically Disadvantaged2250%One-onta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16448%All Students16%16%	All Students	32	44%
Milford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students40All Students40%Economically Disadvantaged15Not Economically Disadvantaged25Morris Central School / Morris Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37All Students51%Economically Disadvantaged15School / District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Economically Disadvantaged22So%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164All Students48%Economically Disadvantaged3716%	Economically Disadvantaged	10	30%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 40 Economically Disadvantaged 15 Not Economically Disadvantaged 25 Morris Central School / Morris Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 37 Economically Disadvantaged 15 Sow Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 Sow One-onta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 All Students 164 All Students 164 Morris Central School / Oneonta City School 37 Som School / School / School / School 37 Som School / School / School / School 37 School School / School / School / School School 37 School School / School / School / School School 37 School School / School / School / School School School School 37 School School / School / School / School Schoo	Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
All Students4040%Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome51%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3753%Poromically Disadvantaged1550%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2150%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16448%All Students3716%	Milford Central School / Milford Central School Distri	ct	
Economically Disadvantaged1527%Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3753%Economically Disadvantaged1550%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District50%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16448%All Students3716%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2548%Morris Central School / Morris Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome51%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3751%All Students3753%Economically Disadvantaged1553%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16448%All Students16448%Economically Disadvantaged3716%	All Students	40	40%
Morris Central School / Morris Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37Economically Disadvantaged15Not Economically Disadvantaged22SoloOneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164All Students37Economically Disadvantaged37	Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students37All Students37Economically Disadvantaged15Not Economically Disadvantaged22SoloOneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students164Economically Disadvantaged3716%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	48%
All Students3751%Economically Disadvantaged1553%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16448%All Students3716%	Morris Central School / Morris Central School Distric	t	
Economically Disadvantaged1553%Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome48%All Students16448%Economically Disadvantaged3716%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2250%Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome48%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome16448%Economically Disadvantaged3716%	All Students	37	51%
Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 Economically Disadvantaged 37	Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 164 48% Economically Disadvantaged 37 16%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
All Students16448%Economically Disadvantaged3716%	Oneonta Senior High School / Oneonta City School D	listrict	
Economically Disadvantaged 37 16%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	164	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 127 57%	Economically Disadvantaged	37	16%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	127	57%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Unatego Junior-Senior High School / Otego-Unadilla Ce	entral School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	34%
Richfield Springs Central School / Richfield Springs Ce	ntral School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Schenevus Central School / Schenevus Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	24	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	56%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	40%
Worcester School / Worcester Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	28	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	21	62%
-		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: PUTNAM		
Brewster High School / Brewster Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	290	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	256	66%
Carmel High School / Carmel Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	390	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	55%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	343	62%
Haldane High School / Haldane Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	#
Mahopac High School / Mahopac Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	424	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	397	58%
Putnam Valley High School / Putnam Valley Central Schoo	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	152	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	152	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: RENSSELAER		
Averill Park High School / Averill Park Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	281	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	35	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	246	59%
Sand Lake-Miller Hill School / Averill Park Central Se	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Berlin High School / Berlin Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	67	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	22%
Tamarac Middle School High School / Brunswick Ce	entral School District	(Brittonkill)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	108	59%
Columbia High School / East Greenbush Central Sch	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	420	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	371	61%
Hoosic Valley Senior High School / Hoosic Valley Ce	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	102	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	86	56%
Hoosick Falls Junior/Senior High School / Hoosick I	Falls Central School D	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	47%
Lansingburgh Senior High School / Lansingburgh C	entral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	236	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	136	43%
,		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Rensselaer Junior/Senior High School / Rensselaer City So	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	36	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	39	28%
Maple Hill High School / Schodack Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	71%
Troy High School / Troy City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	348	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	153	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	195	33%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ROCKLAND		
Birchwood School / Clarkstown Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	13	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	11	#
Clarkstown North Senior High School / Clarkstown Centra	I School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	390	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	65%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	370	71%
Clarkstown South Senior High School / Clarkstown Centra	I School Distrie	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	364	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	48%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	341	74%
Felix Festa Character Middle School / Clarkstown Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Ramapo High School / East Ramapo Central School Distrie	ct (Spring Valle	y)
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	364	21%
Economically Disadvantaged	201	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	163	24%
Spring Valley High School / East Ramapo Central School E 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District (Spring	Valley)
All Students	240	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	129	22%
Fieldstone Secondary School / Haverstraw-Stony Point Cs 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	d (North Rockla	and)
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
North Rockland High School / Haverstraw-Stony Point Csc 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	I (North Rockla	nd)
All Students	655	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	219	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	436	40%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Nanuet Senior High School / Nanuet Union Free School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	163	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	150	60%
Nyack Senior High School / Nyack Union Free School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	224	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	179	61%
Pearl River High School / Pearl River Union Free School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	209	77%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	86%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	77%
Suffern Senior High School / Ramapo Central School Dist	rict (Suffern)	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	349	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	30	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	319	72%
Tappan Zee High School / South Orangetown Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	262	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	246	72%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: ST. LAWRENCE		
Saint Lawrence High School / Brasher Falls Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	30%
H C Williams Senior High School / Canton Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	94	54%
Clifton-Fine Junior-Senior High School / Clifton-Fi	ine Central School Distr	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	22	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	13	85%
Colton-Pierrepont Junior-Senior High School / Co	Iton-Pierrepont Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	26	62%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	71%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	19	58%
Edwards-Knox Junior-Senior High School / Edwards	rds-Knox Central Schoo	ol District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	63	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	68%
Gouverneur Junior-Senior High School / Gouverne	eur Central School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	144	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	81	47%
Hammond Central School / Hammond Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	23	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Hermon-Dekalb Central School / Hermon-Dekalb (Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	30	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	53%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Student Group Heuvelton Central School / Heuvelton Central School I	Members	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	54	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	12%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	37	41%
Lisbon Central School / Lisbon Central School District	-	4170
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	L	
All Students	47	249/
		34%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Madrid-Waddington Junior-Senior High School / Madri	id-waddington Cen	trai School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	60	220/
All Students	63	33%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	42	40%
Massena Senior High School / Massena Central School	DI District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	040	450/
All Students	213	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	84	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	129	53%
Morristown Central School / Morristown Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	22	2221
All Students	32	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Norwood-Norfolk School / Norwood-Norfolk Central School / Norwood-	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	94	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	65%
Ogdensburg Free Academy / Ogdensburg City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	129	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	58%
Parishville-Hopkinton Junior-Senior High School / Par	ishville-Hopkinton	Central School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	47	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	33	58%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group Potsdam Senior High School / Potsdam Central School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Count of Cohort Members bl District	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students	109	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	84	65%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: SARATOGA	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Ballston Spa Senior High School / Ballston Spa Cent	ral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	375	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	312	62%
Burnt Hills-Ballston Lake Senior High School / Burnt	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	The Banoton Lake	
All Students	263	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	249	65%
Corinth High School / Corinth Central School District	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	37	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	48%
Galway High School / Galway Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	83	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	46%
Mechanicville High School / Mechanicville City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	41%
Saratoga Springs High School / Saratoga Springs Cit 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	y School District	
All Students	589	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	82	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	507	59%
Schuylerville Junior-Senior High School / Schuylervil	le Central School Di	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	126	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	70%
Shenendehowa High School / Shenendehowa Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	725	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	665	67%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
South Glens Falls Senior High School / South Glens Fa	Ils Central School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	229	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	187	58%
Stillwater Middle School High School / Stillwater Centra	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	105	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	95	35%
Waterford Junior-Senior High School / Waterford-Halfm	noon Union Free Se	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: SCHENECTADY		
Duanesburg High School / Duanesburg Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	56%
Niskayuna High School / Niskayuna Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	368	75%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	348	77%
Mohonasen Senior High School / Rotterdam-Mohon	asen Central School I	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	287	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	237	55%
Schalmont High School / Schalmont Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	192	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	25	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	167	54%
Schenectady High School / Schenectady City School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	654	14%
Economically Disadvantaged	322	14%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	332	15%
Scotia-Glenville Senior High School / Scotia-Glenvil	le Central School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	258	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	225	54%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: SCHOHARIE	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Cobleskill-Richmondville High School / Cobleskill-Richr	nondville Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	53	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	134	49%
Gilboa Conesville Central School / Gilboa-Conesville Ce	entral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	23	48%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	50%
Jefferson Central School / Jefferson Central School Dis	trict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	20	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	12	42%
Middleburgh High School / Middleburgh Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	34%
Schoharie High School / Schoharie Central School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	91	31%
Sharon Springs Central School / Sharon Springs Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	26	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	22%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: SCHUYLER	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Odessa-Montour Middle/High School / Odessa-Montour Ce	entral School D	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	68	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	27%
Watkins Glen Central High School / Watkins Glen Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	101	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	30%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: SENECA	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Romulus Central School / Romulus Central School Distric	:t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	43	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	47%
Mynderse Academy / Seneca Falls Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	79	43%
South Seneca High School / South Seneca Central Schoo	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	75	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	31%
Waterloo High School / Waterloo Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	166	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	35%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: STEUBEN		
Addison High School / Addison Central School Distric	:t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	91	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	9%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	22%
Arkport Central School / Arkport Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	46	72%
Avoca Central School / Avoca Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	65	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	41	24%
Haverling Senior High School / Bath Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	155	53%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	114	57%
Bradford Central School / Bradford Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	15	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	30%
Campbell-Savona Junior/Senior High School / Campbell	ell-Savona Central	School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	49%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	67	60%
Canisteo-Greenwood High School / Canisteo-Greenwo	ood Csd	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	81	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	32%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	42%
Corning Painted Post High School Learning Center / C	Corning City School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	19	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Corning-Painted Post East High School / Corning City S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	191	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	56	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	36%
Corning-Painted Post West High School / Corning City	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	228	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	190	48%
Hammondsport Junior-Senior High School / Hammond	sport Central Scho	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	39	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	29	52%
Hornell Junior High School / Hornell City School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Hornell Senior High School / Hornell City School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	155	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	62	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	93	46%
Jasper-Troupsburg Junior-Senior High School / Jasper	-Troupsburg Cent	ral School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	50	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Prattsburgh Central School / Prattsburgh Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	41	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	55%
Wayland-Cohocton High School / Wayland-Cohocton C	entral School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	141	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	96	45%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

COUNTY: SUFFOLKAmityville Memorial High School / Amityville Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students254Economically Disadvantaged119Not Economically Disadvantaged135Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome142	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure rcentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 reater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students254Economically Disadvantaged119Not Economically Disadvantaged135Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome142	
All Students254Economically Disadvantaged119Not Economically Disadvantaged135Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students142	
Economically Disadvantaged119Not Economically Disadvantaged135Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students142	
Not Economically Disadvantaged 135 Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 142	21%
Babylon Junior-Senior High School / Babylon Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 142	22%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 142	20%
All Students 142	
	65%
Economically Disadvantaged 6	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 136	65%
Bay Shore Senior High School / Bay Shore Union Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 483	44%
Economically Disadvantaged 164	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 319	54%
Bayport-Blue Point High School / Bayport-Blue Point Union Free School District	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 170	67%
Economically Disadvantaged 5	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 165	68%
Brentwood High School / Brentwood Union Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 1085	22%
Economically Disadvantaged 429	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 656	22%
Freshman Center / Brentwood Union Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 14	0%
Economically Disadvantaged 5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 9	0%
South Middle School / Brentwood Union Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged 2	#
West Middle School / Brentwood Union Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	
All Students 1	'n
Not Economically Disadvantaged 1	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bridgehampton School / Bridgehampton Union Free Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	20	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	15	20%
Comsewogue High School / Brookhaven-Comsewogue	Union Free Schoo	bl District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	307	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	262	39%
Center Moriches High School / Center Moriches Union F	ree School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	119	50%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	51%
Central Islip Senior High School / Central Islip Union Fre	e School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	454	15%
Economically Disadvantaged	207	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	247	15%
Cold Spring Harbor High School / Cold Spring Harbor Co	entral School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	164	83%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	163	#
Commack High School / Commack Union Free School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	602	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	582	74%
Connetquot High School / Connetquot Central School D	istrict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	556	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	498	60%
Walter G O'Connell Copiague High School / Copiague U	nion Free School	District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	360	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	475	
	175	15%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	0	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Deer Park High School / Deer Park Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	351	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	90	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	261	50%
East Hampton High School / East Hampton Union	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	247	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	219	47%
East Islip High School / East Islip Union Free Scho	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	439	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	41%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	400	63%
Eastport-South Manor Junior Senior High School	Eastport-South Manor	Csd
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	313	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	285	61%
Elwood/John Glenn High School / Elwood Union F	ree School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	187	81%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	173	83%
Fishers Island School / Fishers Island Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Greenport High School / Greenport Union Free Sch	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	51%
Half Hollow Hills High School East / Half Hollow H	ills Central School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	421	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	383	72%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Half Hollow Hills High School West / Half Hollow Hills C	Central School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	358	73%
Economically Disadvantaged	27	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	331	76%
Hampton Bays Secondary School / Hampton Bays Unio	on Free School Dis	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	153	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	135	40%
Harborfields High School / Harborfields Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	277	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	254	83%
Hauppauge High School / Hauppauge Union Free School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ol District	
All Students	300	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	279	65%
Huntington High School / Huntington Union Free School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	263	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	11%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	202	63%
Islip High School / Islip Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	309	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	51	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	258	53%
Kings Park High School / Kings Park Central School Di	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	297	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	279	63%
Lindenhurst Senior High School / Lindenhurst Union Fr	ee School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	607	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	106	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	501	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Little Flower School / Little Flower Union Free School		<i>, , , ,</i>
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Longwood High School / Longwood Central School Di		"
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	708	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	236	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	472	45%
Mattituck Junior-Senior High School / Mattituck-Cutch		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	- J	
All Students	132	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	125	65%
Centereach High School / Middle Country Central Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	411	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	61	39%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	43%
Newfield High School / Middle Country Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	425	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	85	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	340	41%
Miller Place High School / Miller Place Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	246	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	231	47%
Mount Sinai High School / Mount Sinai Union Free Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	220	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	206	63%
North Babylon High School / North Babylon Union Fre	e School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	405	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	89	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	316	39%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

All Students68036%Economically Disadvantaged19320%Not Economically Disadvantaged48742%Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District	County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
All Students48766%Economically Disadvantaged2631%Not Economically Disadvantaged46168%Patchogue-Medford High School / Patchogue-Medford Union Free School District20%Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome68036%Economically Disadvantaged19320%Not Economically Disadvantaged48742%Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District20%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged86938%Economically Disadvantaged26245%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3636%Economically Disadvantaged60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged6238%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged6263%Economically Disadvantaged6263%Economically Disadvantaged6263%Not Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economicall	Northport Senior High School / Northport-East Northport U	Jnion Free Sch	ool District
Economically Disadvantaged 26 31% Not Economically Disadvantaged 461 68% Parchague-Medford High School / Patchogue-Medford Unon Free School District 206 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 680 36% Economically Disadvantaged 193 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 497 42% Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District 67% All Students 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 107 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 262 45% Doot Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 240 38% Economically Disadvantaged 260 38% Economically Disadvantaged 263 36% Mot Economically Disadvantaged 264 36% Mot Economically Disadvantaged 264 36% Mot Economically Disadvantaged	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged 461 68% Patchogue-Medford High School / Patchogue-Medford Union Free School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 680 36% Economically Disadvantaged 193 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 487 42% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 20% 20% All Students 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District 20% 20% 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 369 38% Economically Disadvantaged 262 45% Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District 20% 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 21% 21% All Students 280 38% 260 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 214 41% All Students 603 55% 25%	All Students	487	66%
Patchogue-Mediod High School / Patchogue-Mediod Union Free School District 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 680 36% Economically Disadvantaged 487 42% Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District 2006 704 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 87 67% All Students 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 107 22% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 280 38% Economically Disadvantaged 107 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 280 38% Economically Disadvantaged 280 38% Economically Disadvantaged 244 41% Sachem High School District 206 55% Economically Disadvantaged 542 58% Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District 206 55% Economically Disadvantaged 542 58%	Economically Disadvantaged	26	31%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 680 36% Economically Disadvantaged 193 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 487 42% Earl L vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 487 67% All Students 87 67% 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Oto Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 418 Students 369 38% Economically Disadvantaged 107 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 262 45% Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 280 38% Economically Disadvantaged 244 41% Sachem High School Zeat / Sachem Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 36% All Students 603 55% 55% Economically Disadvantaged 542 58% Not Economically Disadvantaged 542 53% Economically Disadvantaged 643	Not Economically Disadvantaged	461	68%
All Students 680 36% Economically Disadvantaged 193 20% Not Economically Disadvantaged 487 42% Earl L Vardermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District 20% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District 2006 67% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2007 22% All Students 369 38% Economically Disadvantaged 262 45% Not Economically Disadvantaged 262 45% Not Economically Disadvantaged 36 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 603 55% Economically Disadvantaged 61 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 52 58% Economically Disadvantaged 69<	Patchogue-Medford High School / Patchogue-Medford Uni	on Free Schoo	I District
Economically Disadvantaged19320%Not Economically Disadvantaged48742%Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome8767%All Students8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36938%Economically Disadvantaged26245%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rock Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3636%Economically Disadvantaged3655%Economically Disadvantaged3655%Sacher High School East / Sachem Central School District30%Vot Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged52753%Sacher High School North / Sachem Central School District55%Zotor Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36%All Students52753%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierzer Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District55%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged69 <td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td> <td></td> <td></td>	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged48742%Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students36938%Economically Disadvantaged10722%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Vot Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3655%Economically Disadvantaged60355%Economically Disadvantaged52753%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District20062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome130%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Economically Disadvantaged45856%Piersen Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District206Zotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2158%	All Students	680	36%
Earl L Vandermeulien High School / Port Jefferson Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 87 67% Not Economically Disadvantaged 87 67% Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 369 38% Economically Disadvantaged 107 22% Not Economically Disadvantaged 262 45% Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 38% All Students 280 38% 280 38% Economically Disadvantaged 244 41% 36 17% Not Economically Disadvantaged 603 55% 55% Economically Disadvantaged 61 30%	Economically Disadvantaged	193	20%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District58%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36938%Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District22%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3617%All Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District38%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3617%All Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District30%55%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41856%All Students52753%56%Rotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District58%Conomically Disadvantaged45856%<	Not Economically Disadvantaged	487	42%
All Students8767%Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students36938%Economically Disadvantaged10722%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students52753%School School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District58%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District20062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome48856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District20062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2158%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District20	Earl L Vandermeulen High School / Port Jefferson Union F	ree School Dis	trict
Not Economically Disadvantaged8767%Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36938%Economically Disadvantaged10722%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome38%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome28038%All Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District3617%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District55%55%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome54255%All Students60355%56%Not Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged6430%Not Economically Disadvantaged6456% <td< td=""><td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students School / Rocky Point Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students Conomically Disadvantaged Conomically Disad	All Students	87	67%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students369Bochy Point Ligh School / Bocky Point Union Free School District22%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District45%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome38%Economically Disadvantaged36All Students280Schem High School Zast / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students603Schem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students603Economically Disadvantaged542Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students603Economically Disadvantaged527Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Sachem High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students5Kotel Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students5Kotel Cohort - 4 Year Outcome <td>Not Economically Disadvantaged</td> <td>87</td> <td>67%</td>	Not Economically Disadvantaged	87	67%
All Students36938%Economically Disadvantaged10722%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome28038%All Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4130%All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District58%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%Mid Lohnts52753%Sachem High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District58%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5158%All Students7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	Riverhead Senior High School / Riverhead Central School	District	
Economically Disadvantaged10722%Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome24441%All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District55%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%Potomically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41858%All Students5753%Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41858%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged26245%Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome38%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome411%All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41856%All Students52753%56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District58%56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41856%All Students52753%56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome45856%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	All Students	369	38%
Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome60355%All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41856%Mit Students52753%Schom High School North / Sachem Central School District30%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome527All Students527Same High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome45856%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	Economically Disadvantaged	107	22%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students280Economically Disadvantaged36Not Economically Disadvantaged244Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students603Feconomically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged542Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students603Economically Disadvantaged542Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Sachem High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Sachem High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71Sachem School StateAll Students5406406406505050505050505050505050 </td <td>Not Economically Disadvantaged</td> <td>262</td> <td>45%</td>	Not Economically Disadvantaged	262	45%
All Students28038%Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome60355%All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%All Students6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District52%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5158%All Students7158%All Students5140%	Rocky Point High School / Rocky Point Union Free School	District	
Economically Disadvantaged3617%Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District24441%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome60355%All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District52%58%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome24758%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged24441%Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District52753%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students56%56%56%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District58%56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome558%All Students7158%All Students540%	All Students	280	38%
Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 603 55% Economically Disadvantaged 61 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 542 58% Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 527 53% Economically Disadvantaged 69 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 69 30% Not Economically Disadvantaged 458 56% Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 58% Economically Disadvantaged 5 40%	Economically Disadvantaged	36	17%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students603Economically Disadvantaged61Not Economically Disadvantaged542Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Satter High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527Some High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomePierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71All Students58%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	244	41%
All Students60355%Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District58%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	Sachem High School East / Sachem Central School Distric	t	
Economically Disadvantaged6130%Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District58%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged54258%Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District54258%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome52753%All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	All Students	603	55%
Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527S3%Economically Disadvantaged69Not Economically Disadvantaged458Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71All Students540%	Economically Disadvantaged	61	30%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students527S3%Economically Disadvantaged69Not Economically Disadvantaged458Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students71All Students5Yeonomically Disadvantaged5	Not Economically Disadvantaged	542	58%
All Students52753%Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	Sachem High School North / Sachem Central School Distri	ict	
Economically Disadvantaged6930%Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged45856%Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7158%All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	All Students	527	53%
Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 Economically Disadvantaged 5	Economically Disadvantaged	69	30%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 71 58% Economically Disadvantaged 5 40%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	458	56%
All Students7158%Economically Disadvantaged540%	Pierson Middle/High School / Sag Harbor Union Free Scho	ol District	
Economically Disadvantaged 5 40%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	71	58%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 66 59%	Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	66	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sayville High School / Sayville Union Free School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	293	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	282	70%
Shelter Island School / Shelter Island Union Free School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	25	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	44%
Shoreham-Wading River High School / Shoreham-Wadir	ng River Central S	chool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	206	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	201	58%
Smithtown High School East / Smithtown Central School	I District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	389	72%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	376	74%
Smithtown High School-West / Smithtown Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	370	74%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	359	75%
Bellport Senior High School / South Country Central Sch	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	367	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	116	10%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	251	35%
Walt Whitman High School / South Huntington Union Free	ee School District	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	486	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	153	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	333	63%
Southampton High School / Southampton Union Free So	chool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	151	61%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	120	68%
-		

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County		ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Southold Junior-Senior High School / Southold U	nion Free School Distric	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	97	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	92	49%
Ward Melville Senior High School / Three Village	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	633	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	605	72%
West Babylon Senior High School / West Babylor	n Union Free School Dist	trict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	392	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	79	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	313	45%
West Islip Senior High School / West Islip Union I	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	457	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	436	55%
Westhampton Beach Senior High School / Westh	ampton Beach Union Fre	ee School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	234	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	216	61%
William Floyd High School / William Floyd Union	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	790	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	250	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	540	34%
Wyandanch Memorial High School / Wyandanch	Union Free School Distri	ict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	135	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	121	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	14	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: SULLIVAN		
Eldred Junior-Senior High School / Eldred Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	11	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	53	49%
Fallsburg Junior Senior High School / Fallsburg Ce	ntral School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	93	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	52	23%
Liberty High School / Liberty Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	124	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	63	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	54%
Livingston Manor High School / Livingston Manor O	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	52	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	36	47%
Monticello High School / Monticello Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	257	20%
Economically Disadvantaged	99	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	158	28%
Roscoe Central School / Roscoe Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	21	29%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	9	22%
Sullivan West High School At Lake Huntington / Su	llivan West Central Sc	hool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	59%
Economically Disadvantaged	17	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	61%
Tri-Valley Secondary School / Tri-Valley Central School /	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	48%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: TIOGA	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Candor Junior-Senior High School / Candor Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	63	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	23%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	50	44%
Newark Valley Senior High School / Newark Valley	Central School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	44%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	70	56%
Owego Free Academy / Owego-Apalachin Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	163	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	39	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	124	73%
Spencer-Van Etten High School / Spencer-Van Ett	en Central School Distri	ct
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	80	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	26	35%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	37%
Tioga Senior High School / Tioga Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	87	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	34%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	55	47%
Waverly High School / Waverly Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	143	27%
Economically Disadvantaged	41	15%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	102	31%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: TOMPKINS		
Dryden High School / Dryden Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	166	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	121	66%
George Junior Republic School / George Junior Republi	ic Union Free Sch	ool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Groton High School / Groton Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	89	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	29	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	42%
Ithaca Senior High School / Ithaca City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	390	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	67	40%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	323	68%
Lansing High School / Lansing Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	120	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	15	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	105	76%
New Roots Charter School / New Roots Charter School		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Newfield Senior High School / Newfield Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	82	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	42%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	58	64%
Charles O Dickerson High School / Trumansburg Centra	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	108	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: ULSTER	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Ellenville High School / Ellenville Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	139	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	64	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	21%
Highland High School / Highland Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	175	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	45	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	130	58%
Kingston High School / Kingston City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	592	28%
Economically Disadvantaged	181	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	411	33%
Marlboro Central High School / Marlboro Central School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	173	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	47	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	126	37%
New Paltz Senior High School / New Paltz Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	198	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	177	58%
Onteora High School / Onteora Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	160	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	111	59%
Rondout Valley High School / Rondout Valley Central School	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	225	40%
Economically Disadvantaged	52	27%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	173	44%
Saugerties Senior High School / Saugerties Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	274	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	60	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	214	39%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Wallkill Senior High School / Wallkill Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	291	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	58	31%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	233	46%
West Park School / West Park Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	6	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: WARREN	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Bolton Central School / Bolton Central School Distri	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	45%
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	27	#
Glens Falls Senior High School / Glens Falls City School / Glens Falls	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	223	46%
Economically Disadvantaged	6	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	217	47%
Hadley-Luzerne High School / Hadley-Luzerne Centra	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	70	30%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	16%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	51	35%
Johnsburg Central School / Johnsburg Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	28	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	10	30%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	18	33%
Lake George Junior-Senior High School / Lake Georg	ge Central School Dis	strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	69%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	44%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	81	72%
North Warren Central School / North Warren Central	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	48	25%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	25	28%
Queensbury Senior High School / Queensbury Unior	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	325	55%
Economically Disadvantaged	38	18%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	287	60%
Warrensburg Junior-Senior High School / Warrensburg	urg Central School Di	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	64	56%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	48	63%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

Argyle Junior/Senior High School / Argyle Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1421%All Students1421%Not Economically Disadvantaged4033%Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Central School District2062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2129%Not Economically Disadvantaged2129%Not Economically Disadvantaged3352%Fort Ann Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3053%Economically Disadvantaged933%Not Economically Disadvantaged3053%Fort Ann Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3053%Fort Economically Disadvantaged933%Not Economically Disadvantaged3130%Economically Disadvantaged1118%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2238%Conomically Disadvantaged122006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3131%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged34Conomically Disadvantaged13Conomically Disadvantaged13Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2">Colspan="2"Colspan="2"31% <tr< th=""><th>County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: WASHINGTON</th><th>Count of Cohort Members</th><th>ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year</th></tr<>	County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: WASHINGTON	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 30% All Students 54 30% Economically Disadvantaged 40 33% Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Central School District 2006 7041 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41 47% All Students 104 47% Economically Disadvantaged 21 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 33 52% Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District 2005 7041 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 39 49% All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 30 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 2006 7041 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41 11 18% All Students 33 30% 600 Economically Disadvantaged 22 36% Cotal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 41 18% All Students 132 99% 6000000000000000000000000000000000000		ol District	
Economically Disadvantaged 14 21% Not Economically Disadvantaged 40 33% Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Central School District 2006 7041 47% Zoon Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 21 29% 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 52% 507 47% 2006 7041 600 52% 507 49% 2006 503 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
Not Economically Disadvantaged 40 33% Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Central School District 2006 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 104 47% Economically Disadvantaged 21 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 83 52% Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District 2006 7041 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33 33% Mot Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Fort Edward Union Free School District 2006 7012 706 Cambridge Justovantaged 11 18% 707 707 Not Economically Disadvantaged 12 36% 707 707 707 Cambridge Justovantaged 13 38% 2006 7012 706 7012 706 7012 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 707 707	All Students	54	30%
Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 104 47% Economically Disadvantaged 21 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 83 52% Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District 206 701 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 39 49% All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 30 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 200 703 2005 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33 30% All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 22 36% Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District 200 706 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 31 15% All Students 132 39% 6conomically Disadvantaged 34 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% 47% 6conomically Disadvantaged 71 46% N	Economically Disadvantaged	14	21%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 104 47% Economically Disadvantaged 21 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 63 52% Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 33% All Students 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 30 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 206 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 11 18% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 36% Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 132 39% Kot Economically Disadvantaged 36 48% Granville Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 47% Kot Economically Disadvantaged 8 50% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% Haridor Centra	Not Economically Disadvantaged	40	33%
All Students 104 47% Economically Disadvantaged 21 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 33 52% Eort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District 39 49% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 30 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 90 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 30 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 200 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 11 18% Economically Disadvantaged 12 36% Economically Disadvantaged 34 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 34 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 38 36% Economically Disadvantaged 38 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 38 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 36 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 36 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 36 36% Not Economically Disadv	Cambridge Junior-Senior High School / Cambridge Cer	tral School Distric	t
Economically Disadvantaged 21 29% Not Economically Disadvantaged 83 52% Fort Arn Central School / Fort Ann Central School District 20% 52% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 39 49% Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Not Economically Disadvantaged 9 33% Total Economically Disadvantaged 10 53% Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 33 30% 30% Economically Disadvantaged 22 36% Ortal Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 132 39% 36% Not Economically Disadvantaged 98 48% Orterwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 47% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% Vate Economically Disadvantaged 14 35%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged8352%Fort Ann Central School Jistrict2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3933%All Students3053%Economically Disadvantaged933%Not Economically Disadvantaged3053%Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District206Conomically Disadvantaged222006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged3415%Vot Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged3660%Corenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%43%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudsuchts4935%43%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Economically Disadvanta	All Students	104	47%
Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3949%All Students3949%Economically Disadvantaged933%Not Economically Disadvantaged3053%Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1118%Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District2002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome13239%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1146%All Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4144%All Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudraford Central School / Hartford Central School District2002006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4144%All Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudsuchs4935%Economically Disadvantaged35	Economically Disadvantaged	21	29%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students39All Students39Reconomically Disadvantaged93053%Fort Edward Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students33Not Economically Disadvantaged11Not Economically Disadvantaged222056 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome36%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome342006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome39%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome342006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome39%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome382006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome48%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%All Students79All Students60%Not Economically Disadvantaged82006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome50%All Students49All Students49All Students43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome44%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%200	Not Economically Disadvantaged	83	52%
All Students3949%Economically Disadvantaged933%Not Economically Disadvantaged3053%Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4118%All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1118%Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%43%Mote Schoon Falls Gentral School District3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District206352006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District <t< td=""><td>Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School Dist</td><td>rict</td><td></td></t<>	Fort Ann Central School / Fort Ann Central School Dist	rict	
Economically Disadvantaged933%Not Economically Disadvantaged3053%Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District53%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%All Students3330%Not Economically Disadvantaged1118%Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3415%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1146%All Students7947%Not Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District56%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome1414%All Students4935%Istuents4935%Istuents43%43%Hudsorn Falls High School / Hartford Central School District43%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Istuents4935%Istuents43%43%Hudsorn Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Istuents43%43%Istuents43%43%Istuents43%43% <td>2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome</td> <td></td> <td></td>	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged3053%Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3330%Economically Disadvantaged1118%Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome13239%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Not Economically Disadvantaged3643%Hutford Central School / Hartford Central School District2062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hutdoor Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2062006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hutdoor Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District206206 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%43%All Students4935% <td>All Students</td> <td>39</td> <td>49%</td>	All Students	39	49%
Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 33 30% Economically Disadvantaged 11 18% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 36% Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District 39% 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome 34 15% All Students 132 39% Economically Disadvantaged 98 48% Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 47% Economically Disadvantaged 8 50% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 49 35% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 35 43% Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District 20% 35% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 35	Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1118%Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Grarville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School >istrict36%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School >istrict2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hall Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged7146%HartFort Central School / Hartford Central School District35%35%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudsons Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District35%35%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3543%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3543%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3643%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3643%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3543%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3643%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3643%Mot Economically Disadvantaged3643% <trr>Mot Economically Disadvanta</trr>	Not Economically Disadvantaged	30	53%
All Students3330%Economically Disadvantaged1118%Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District36%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome13239%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School J Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hurtford Central School District35%43%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome26%26%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 T	Fort Edward School / Fort Edward Union Free School D	District	
Economically Disadvantaged 11 18% Not Economically Disadvantaged 22 36% Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 132 39% Economically Disadvantaged 34 15% Not Economically Disadvantaged 98 48% Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 47% Economically Disadvantaged 8 50% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 43% School / Hartford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 43% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 99 35% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 35 43% Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 95 43%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged2236%Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome13239%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%All Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%43%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3543%Hudson Falls Light School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome26%22%	All Students	33	30%
Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome31239%All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged1446%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District20%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome20%All Students18126%Economically Disadvantaged5822%	Economically Disadvantaged	11	18%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students132Economically Disadvantaged34Not Economically Disadvantaged98Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students79All Students79Kot Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students49School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students49All Students35%Economically Disadvantaged14Not Economically Disadvantaged35All Students43%Partford Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students35All Students43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students35All Students43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students1812006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students26%Economically Disadvantaged582006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	Not Economically Disadvantaged	22	36%
All Students13239%Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District46%Wartford Central School / Hartford Central School District35%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%All Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5822%	Granville Junior-Senior High School / Granville Central	School District	
Economically Disadvantaged3415%Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%All Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%All Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1444%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Mutson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome43%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged9848%Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome418146%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%All Students18126%Economically Disadvantaged5822%	All Students	132	39%
Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome7947%All Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome41%43%Mutson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome18126%All Students18122%	Economically Disadvantaged	34	15%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 79 47% Economically Disadvantaged 8 50% Not Economically Disadvantaged 71 46% Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 49 35% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 35 43% Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 9 26% All Students 18 16 26%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	48%
All Students7947%Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5826%	Greenwich Junior-Senior High School / Greenwich Cen	tral School Distric	t
Economically Disadvantaged850%Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls Chool / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District26%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5822%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged7146%Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome35%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome4935%All Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students18126%Economically Disadvantaged5822%	All Students	79	47%
Hartford Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students49All Students14Conomically Disadvantaged14Not Economically Disadvantaged35Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year OutcomeAll Students181All Students26%Economically Disadvantaged58	Economically Disadvantaged	8	50%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 49 35% Economically Disadvantaged 14 14% Not Economically Disadvantaged 35 43% Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 181 26% Economically Disadvantaged 58 22%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	71	46%
All Students4935%Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls Achool / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome18126%All Students5822%	Hartford Central School / Hartford Central School Distri	ict	
Economically Disadvantaged1414%Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District43%2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome5826%All Students5822%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
Not Economically Disadvantaged3543%Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome18126%All Students5822%	All Students	49	35%
Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School District 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students 181 Economically Disadvantaged 58	Economically Disadvantaged	14	14%
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome18126%All Students18126%Economically Disadvantaged5822%	Not Economically Disadvantaged	35	43%
All Students18126%Economically Disadvantaged5822%	Hudson Falls High School / Hudson Falls Central School	ol District	
Economically Disadvantaged 58 22%	2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	All Students	181	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged 123 28%	Economically Disadvantaged	58	22%
	Not Economically Disadvantaged	123	28%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Salem High School / Salem Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	61	54%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	43	65%
Whitehall Junior-Senior High School / Whitehall Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	68	35%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	54	37%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: WAYNE	ah Cantual Cahaal Distric	
Clyde Junior-Senior High School / Clyde-Savann 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	ian Central School Distric	
All Students	69	260/
	68 26	26% 12%
Economically Disadvantaged	42	36%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		30%
Ruben A Cirillo High School / Gananda Central S 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	90	52%
	90 21	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	43 <i>%</i> 55%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		55%
Lyons Senior High School / Lyons Central School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	District	
All Students	90	33%
		20%
Economically Disadvantaged Not Economically Disadvantaged	44 46	46%
, .	-	40%
Marion Junior-Senior High School / Marion Cent	rai School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	05	400/
All Students	85	42%
Economically Disadvantaged	31 54	45%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	-	41%
Newark Senior High School / Newark Central School / Ne	noor District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome All Students	201	37%
	201 52	15%
Economically Disadvantaged		
Not Economically Disadvantaged	149 Valaatt Cantral Cabaal Dia	44%
North Rose-Wolcott High School / North Rose-W 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	loicott Central School Dis	arict
All Students	123	16%
Economically Disadvantaged	55	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68 Maaadan Cantral Sahaal	19%
Palmyra-Macedon Senior High School / Palmyra- 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-macedon Central School	District
	106	52%
All Students Economically Disadvantaged	186 53	28%
	133	28% 61%
Not Economically Disadvantaged		0170
Red Creek High School / Red Creek Central Scho 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
	00	A70/
All Students	88	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	56	52%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Sodus High School / Sodus Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	118	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	50	24%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	47%
Sodus Middle School / Sodus Central School Distrie	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	1	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Wayne Senior High School / Wayne Central School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	211	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	28	43%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	183	61%
Williamson Senior High School / Williamson Centra	I School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	96	58%
Economically Disadvantaged	21	57%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	75	59%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
COUNTY: WESTCHESTER	Mombolo	
Ardsley High School / Ardsley Union Free School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	172	76%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	171	#
Fox Lane High School / Bedford Central School Distr		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	322	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	37%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	273	76%
Blind Brook High School / Blind Brook-Rye Union Fr	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	85	82%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	85	82%
Briarcliff High School / Briarcliff Manor Union Free S		0270
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	158	91%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	25%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	150	94%
Bronxville High School / Bronxville Union Free School		3470
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	of District	
All Students	112	85%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	112	85%
		83%
Byram Hills High School / Byram Hills Central School 2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	207	91%
	207 207	91%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	-	9176
Horace Greeley High School / Chappaqua Central Sc	nool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	220	000/
All Students	330	88%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	329	#
Croton-Harmon High School / Croton-Harmon Union	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	100	000/
All Students	123	69%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	123	69%
Dobbs Ferry High School / Dobbs Ferry Union Free S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		6 -77
All Students	122	65%
Economically Disadvantaged	7	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	67%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	-	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Eastchester Senior High School / Eastchester Unio	on Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	241	59%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	241	59%
Edgemont Junior-Senior High School / Edgemont	Union Free School Dist	rict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	180	89%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	180	89%
Alexander Hamilton High School / Elmsford Union	Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	84	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	22	50%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	62	35%
Woodlands Senior High School / Greenburgh Cent	-	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	109	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	32	28%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	77	44%
Greenburgh Eleven High School / Greenburgh Elev		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	14	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Martin Luther King Jr High School / Greenburgh-G		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	4	#
Economically Disadvantaged	4	#
Harrison High School / Harrison Central School Di		π
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	SILICI	
All Students	227	57%
Economically Disadvantaged	33	30%
		61%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	-	01%
Hastings High School / Hastings-On-Hudson Union	n Free School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	100	660/
All Students	136	66% #
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	134 Coder Krolle Uni	#
Hawthorne Cedar Knolls Sr/Jr High School / Hawth	norne-Cedar Knolls Uni	on Free School District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome	-	22/
All Students	5	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District	Count	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	of Cohort Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Linden Hill School / Hawthorne-Cedar Knolls Union Free		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	8	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	0%
Hendrick Hudson High School / Hendrick Hudson Centr	al School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	230	64%
Economically Disadvantaged	18	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	212	69%
Irvington High School / Irvington Union Free School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	135	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	130	82%
John Jay High School / Katonah-Lewisboro Union Free	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	302	79%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	297	81%
Lakeland Alternative High School / Lakeland Central School / Lakeland	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	11	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	8	#
Lakeland High School / Lakeland Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	284	71%
Economically Disadvantaged	13	46%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	271	72%
Walter Panas High School / Lakeland Central School Dis	strict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	215	60%
Economically Disadvantaged	24	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	191	63%
Mamaroneck High School / Mamaroneck Union Free Sch	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	320	68%
Economically Disadvantaged	34	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	286	74%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort	Count of Cohort	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Westlake High School / Mount Pleasant Central School I	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	142	66%
Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	140	#
Blythedale School / Mount Pleasant-Blythedale Union Fr	ee School Distric	t
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Edenwald School / Mount Pleasant-Cottage Union Free S	School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	12	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Mount Pleasant Cottage School / Mount Pleasant-Cottag	e Union Free Sch	nool District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	29	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	10	0%
Mount Vernon High School / Mount Vernon School Distr	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	425	19%
Economically Disadvantaged	87	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	338	23%
Nelson Mandela Community Hs At Columbus Bldg / Mou	Int Vernon Schoo	I District
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	69	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	49	0%
Thornton High School / Mount Vernon School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	122	9%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	0%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	10%
New Rochelle High School / New Rochelle City School D	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	793	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	319	19%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	474	47%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County	_	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure
School / District	Count of Cohort	(percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater
Cohort Student Group	Members	on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
North Salem Middle School/High School / North Salem Co		strict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	104	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	103	#
Ossining High School / Ossining Union Free School Dist	rict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	322	41%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	227	50%
Peekskill High School / Peekskill City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	213	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	95	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	118	26%
Pelham Memorial High School / Pelham Union Free Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	181	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	23	13%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	158	58%
Pleasantville High School / Pleasantville Union Free Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	167	80%
Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	164	#
Port Chester Senior High School / Port Chester-Rye Unio	n Free School Di	istrict
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	248	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	111	21%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	137	39%
Rye High School / Rye City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	204	73%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	204	73%
Rye Neck Senior High School / Rye Neck Union Free Sch	ool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	111	52%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	20%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	106	54%
Scarsdale Senior High School / Scarsdale Union Free Scl	hool District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	353	89%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	353	89%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Somers Senior High School / Somers Central School Distric	ct	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	253	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	9	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	244	64%
Tuckahoe High School / Tuckahoe Union Free School Distri	ict	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	68	63%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	63%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	60	63%
Sleepy Hollow High School / Union Free School District Of	The Tarrytown	IS
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	215	32%
Economically Disadvantaged	100	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	115	45%
Valhalla High School / Valhalla Union Free School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	103	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	5	60%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	70%
New York Hospital Annex / White Plains City School District	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	3	#
White Plains Senior High School / White Plains City School	District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	521	39%
Economically Disadvantaged	171	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	45%
Gorton High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	394	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	337	8%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	57	5%
Lincoln High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	390	7%
Economically Disadvantaged	308	7%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	82	7%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Riverside High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	3	#
Economically Disadvantaged	1	#
Not Economically Disadvantaged	2	#
Roosevelt High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	279	2%
Economically Disadvantaged	215	3%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	64	0%
Saunders Trades & Technical High School / Yonkers City	y School District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	288	23%
Economically Disadvantaged	229	22%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	29%
Yonkers High School / Yonkers City School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	217	51%
Economically Disadvantaged	158	47%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	59	59%
Yorktown High School / Yorktown Central School Distric	t	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	358	70%
Economically Disadvantaged	8	38%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	350	71%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

County School / District Cohort Student Group COUNTY: WYOMING	Count of Cohort Members	ELA/Math Aspirational Performance Measure (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
Attica Senior High School / Attica Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	152	47%
Economically Disadvantaged	54	33%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	98	55%
Letchworth Senior High School / Letchworth Central School	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	99	37%
Economically Disadvantaged	31	26%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	68	43%
Perry High School / Perry Central School District		
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	77	43%
Economically Disadvantaged	14	29%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	63	46%
Warsaw Middle/Senior High School / Warsaw Central Scho	ol District	
2006 Total Cohort - 4 Year Outcome		
All Students	73	34%
Economically Disadvantaged	12	17%
Not Economically Disadvantaged	61	38%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation



2006 Total Cohort as of June of the 4th year of school.

nt (percentage of the cohort who graduated and earned 75 hort or greater on the ELA Regents and earned 80 or greater on a math Regents) as of June of the 4th year
t
34%
25%
9 44%
3 35%
3 21%
5 41%

Data presented in this report are based on data submitted by school districts to the SIRS for the 2009-10 school year. Students are reported based on their date of entry in grade 9 and their last enrollment status as reported in the SIRS on October 1, 2010. Students who are enrolled in portfolio variance schools are excluded from this calculation