
# The New York State School Report Card for Howard L. Goff School in East Greenbush Central School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2000



The University of the State of New York
The State Education Department

March 6, 2000

# THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, Chancellor, A.B., J.D.	. Elmira
DIANE O'NEILL McGIVERN, Vice Chancellor, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	. Staten Island
J. EDWARD MEYER, B.A., LL.B.	. Chappaqua
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	. Hollis
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	. New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	. Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	. Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	. Lloyd Harbor
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	. Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	. New York
HAROLD O. LEVY, B.S., M.A. (Oxon.), J.D.	. New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	. Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	. Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	. Bronx
ELEANOR P. BARTLETT, B.A., M.A.	. Albany
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	. Buffalo

## President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

# **Chief Operating Officer**

RICHARD H. CATE

**Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education** James A. Kadamus

# Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

### Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including Braille, large print or audiotape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, N.Y.


e-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

# Grade 8

# **English Language Arts**



### Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

English language learners (ELL), formerly referred to as limited English proficient (LEP), are students for whom English is a second language. Schools assist these students in learning English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 1999	0	0

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the School Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html</a>.

<sup>1</sup> These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment. Other grade 8 ELL students must take this test.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# Grade 8

# **Mathematics**



	Performance				Counts	s of Studer	nts			Maan
		Not Tested		Tested				Mean Score		
at This School		IEP <sup>1</sup>	ELL <sup>2</sup>	Absent <sup>3</sup>	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	Score
	General Education		0	2	49	129	160	36	374	720
June 1999	Special Education	0	0	0	24	10	3	1	38	672
1999	All Students	0	0	2	73	139	163	37	412	715

# Grade 8 Mathematics Levels - Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem Solving Standards

or duc o	Wattieflatios Levels Rhowledge, Redsolling, and Froblem Solving Standards				
Level 4 (760-882)  These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Rege examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students, and profici commencement-level skills. They consistently demonstrate proficiency and accuracy in processes and solution. They clearly communicate mathematical insights, use a wide range of mathematical problem-solving strategy and identify the most efficient means to a solution.					
<b>Level 3</b> (716-759)	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. They show knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students, and some commencement-level skills. They use prime numbers, factors, rational numbers; apply formulas; visualize three dimensional shapes; understand and use the Pythagorean theorem and trigonometric functions.				
<b>Level 2</b> (681-715)	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each key idea for intermediate students. They use basic reasoning, understand percentages, visualize two-dimensional shapes, understand properties of polygons and use patterns.				
<b>Level 1</b> (517-680)	These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of proficiency in one or more of the key ideas for intermediate students and incomplete proficiency in all seven key ideas. They can use variables and make and use simple measurements. They may use simple operations and understand simple graphical displays. They can identify some patterns and functions.				

<sup>\*</sup> Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School** Profile page of this report. Further explanation is available at <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2000/similar.html</a>

490301-06-0008 March 06, 2000 2

<sup>1</sup> These students with disabilities are exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

<sup>2</sup> These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# **School Profile**

Principal:	e: (518)477-2731				
Organization 1998-99		School Staff <sup>1</sup> (both full- and part-time)			
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals	Count of Paraprofessionals	
6-8	1,146	77	9	17	

1997-98 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$8,549
--	---------

Student Enrollment							
Grade Level	October 1998	Grade Level	October 1998				
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	374				
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	413				
Grade 1	0	Grade 9	0				
Grade 2	0	Grade 10	0				
Grade 3	0	Grade 11	0				
Grade 4	0	Grade 12	0				
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0				
Grade 6	359	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0				

Student Demographics Used To	1996-97		1997-98		1998-99	
Determine Similar School Groups	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	2	0.3%	3	0.4%	3	0.3%
Eligible For Free Lunch	57	7.9%	53	7.1%	65	5.7%

Similar Schools Group	This school is in Similar Schools Group 31. All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

# School Accountability Measures

The Regents expect schools to meet or exceed 90 percent on each benchmark shown here. Regulations require school districts to develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. These plans must include activities to improve the performance of all students, including students with disabilities. LAP for district public schools are available for public review at the district office.

All students continuously enrolled from October until the date of State testing at this school are included in these measures. Students with disabilities are included<sup>1</sup>. Also, schools with fewer than 20 students in a grade may combine results for three consecutive years to reduce the impact of small-population variability. Local school officials have this information.

### English Language Arts Performance of Students in Grades 4 and 8 for 1998-99

	Continuously Enrolled Students Required To Take State ELA Tests		English Language I Reported Using Alternativ	Danahmark	
	Tested	At Or Above Level 2 In English Language Arts	English Language Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Satisfactory Progress	Benchmark Percentage
	(a) (b)		(c)	(d)	(b+d)/(a+c)
Grade 4					NA
Grade 8	Grade 8 401 382		0	0	95%

### Mathematics Performance of Students in Grades 4 and 8 for 1998-99

	Continuous	Benchmark		
	Tested	At Or Above Level 2 In Math	Percentage (b/a)	
	(a)	(b)		
Grade 4			NA	
Grade 8	404	332	82%	

### Demonstrated Competency of Students in Grade 11 for 1998-99

Competency Area	Grade 11 Enrollment June 1999	Regents Level (higher)	RCT Level (lower)	Benchmark Percentage <sup>2</sup>
Area	(a)	(b)	(c)	(b+c)/a
Reading				NA
Writing				NA
Mathematics				NA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All students with disabilities who are enrolled in this school are included in these measures along with general education students, regardless of classroom environment and level of special education services. These calculations match those used to identify the schools farthest from State standards [potential schools under registration review (SURR)] and Title I schools that are making adequate yearly progress.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The State data system does not separate these Grade 11 results based on duration of enrollment. Some schools with high mobility grade 11 populations may not have their LAP status correctly identified in this report. These numbers are, however, indicative of performance in all schools.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a grade tested or enrolled. If fewer than five English language learners were reported (English Language Arts Performance table), the counts are replaced by the pound character (#).