


662300-01-0044

The New York State School Report Card
for
Mark Twain Middle School
in
Yonkers City School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



March 2002



The University of the State of New York
The State Education Department

March 28, 2002

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
Regents of The University

CARL T. HAYDEN, <i>Chancellor</i> , A.B., J.D.	Elmira
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, <i>Vice Chancellor</i> , B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
DIANE O’NEILL MCGIVERN, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.....	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Huntington
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	North Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.....	Buffalo
CHARLOTTE K. FRANK, B.B.A., M.S.Ed., Ph.D.....	New York
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3rd, B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale
JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, JR., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D	Albany
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A.	Bronx

President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

Chief Operating Officer

RICHARD H. CATE

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education

JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER


The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department’s Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. **Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made by contacting the Publications Sales Desk, Room 309, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.**

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

***School Report Card Coordinator
Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team
Room 863 Education Building Annex
New York State Education Department
Albany, NY 12234***

e-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Middle Level English Language Arts



Performance at This School		Counts of Students							Mean Score	
		Not Tested			Tested					
		AA ¹	ELL ²	Absent	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		Total
June 1999	General Education		25	38	51	137	36	1	225	679
	Students with Disabilities	0	3	24	36	14	0	0	50	647
	All Students	0	28	62	87	151	36	1	275	673
May 2000	General Education		3	37	86	133	34	3	256	673
	Students with Disabilities	17	0	16	44	11	0	0	55	644
	All Students	17	3	53	130	144	34	3	311	668
May 2001	General Education		3	46	89	138	31	5	263	674
	Students with Disabilities	24	0	5	36	8	0	0	44	643
	All Students	24	3	51	125	146	31	5	307	669

Grade 8 English Language Arts Levels - Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards

Level 4	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. All students scoring from 739 to 830 are in this level.
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. All students scoring from 701 to 738 are in this level.
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. All students scoring from 662 to 700 are in this level.
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies . All students scoring from 527 to 661 are in this level.

Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)


ELL are students for whom English is a second language. Schools teach these students English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 8 ELA test. Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress
June 2001	3	#

* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. Further explanation is available at <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002/similar.html>.

- 1 For 2001, these students were eligible for the Alternate Assessment (AA). For 2000 and 1999, these students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program.
- 2 These students were not required to take the grade 8 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30th percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment.
- 3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.
- # To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

Middle Level Mathematics



Performance at This School		Counts of Students							Mean Score	
		Not Tested			Tested					
		AA ¹	ELL ²	Absent ³	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		Total
June 1999	General Education		0	73	149	59	12	1	221	666
	Students with Disabilities	11	0	16	50	3	0	0	53	635
	All Students	11	0	89	199	62	12	1	274	660
May 2000	General Education		0	42	154	82	18	0	254	671
	Students with Disabilities	17	0	13	44	14	0	0	58	655
	All Students	17	0	55	198	96	18	0	312	668
May 2001	General Education		1	50	158	82	21	0	261	671
	Students with Disabilities	24	0	6	37	5	1	0	43	647
	All Students	24	1	56	195	87	22	0	304	668

Grade 8 Mathematics Levels - Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards

Level 4	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. All students scoring from 760 to 882 are in this level.
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. All students scoring from 716 to 759 are in this level.
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. All students scoring from 681 to 715 are in this level.
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies . All students scoring from 517 to 680 are in this level.

* Similar Schools are schools grouped by district and student demographic characteristics. More information is on the **School Profile** page of this report. Further explanation is available at <http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/reprcd2002/similar.html>

1 For 2001, these students were eligible for the Alternate Assessment (AA). For 2000 and 1999, these students were exempt from this test because of disability as stated in their Individualized Educational Program.

2 These students are not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30th percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there is no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

School Profile

Principal: Ms. Carol David		Phone: (914)376-8540	
Organization 2000-01		School Staff ¹ (both full- and part-time)	
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals
6-8	1,289	100	10

1999-00 School District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$14,319
---	----------

Student Enrollment			
Grade Level	October 2000	Grade Level	October 2000
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	378
Kindergarten	0	Grade 8	341
Grade 1	0	Grade 9	0
Grade 2	0	Grade 10	0
Grade 3	0	Grade 11	0
Grade 4	0	Grade 12	0
Grade 5	0	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0
Grade 6	401	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	169

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar School Groups	1998-99		1999-2000		2000-01	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
English Language Learners	133	10.5%	102	8.1%	90	7.0%
Eligible For Free Lunch	1,006	79.7%	1,028	82.0%	980	76.0%

Similar Schools Group	This school is in Similar Schools Group 23. All schools in this group are middle level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.
------------------------------	--

¹ Some district-employed staff serve in more than one school. These shared people are not reported here.

System of Accountability for Student Success (SASS)

This section presents this school's results relative to Commissioner's standards for school performance. To raise performance, the Commissioner has established State standards for schools for each school year through 2002-03.

Schools are expected to meet or exceed the Commissioner's standards. School districts must develop and implement local assistance plans (LAP) to bring every public school up to these levels. Schools that fall below a standard are assigned adequate yearly progress (AYP) targets. This school-specific program of attainable goals is intended to allow schools below standard to "compete against themselves" by showing adequate progress towards closing the gap between their baseline performance and the State standard.

A Performance Index is used to assess school performance relative to elementary- and middle-level State standards for English language arts and for mathematics. The Performance Index credits each school for the students demonstrating some of the Learning Standards (Level 2) and for the students demonstrating all of the assessed Learning Standards (Level 3 and Level 4). Students at the higher levels contribute more to the Performance Index than do Level 2 students. Level 1 performance earns no credit. As a guide to understanding, the Performance Index may be understood as the sum of the percentage of students performing at or above Level 2 plus the percentage of students performing at or above Level 3.

More information about this school accountability program is available from the State Education Department Web site (<http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/repcrd2002>). There you can find the rules for which students' results are included in the Performance Index, for how the performance of English language learners is included, for how the baseline numbers are calculated, for accountability for very small schools, and for when and how adequate yearly progress targets are assigned. Please note that Performance Index is only presented for schools that reported 20 or more continuously enrolled students' results. For this purpose continuously enrolled is defined as being enrolled in the school from October through the date of the State assessment.

The Commissioner has decided that until there is a State plan to meet the requirements of the Federal "No Child Left Behind" legislation he will not establish a State SASS standard for the 2003-04 school year for the elementary- and middle-level State assessments for English language arts and for mathematics. Therefore, no AYP targets are computed for the 2003-04 school year at this time. Schools that met a State standard in 1999-00 but performed below the State standard in 2000-01 are assigned AYP targets for the 2001-02 and 2002-03 school years. These AYP targets are determined using the 2002-03 State SASS standard Performance Index value of 150. Schools that performed below a State standard in 1999-00 were previously assigned AYP targets for 2001-02 and 2002-03, while schools that met State standards in 2000-01 are not assigned AYP targets.

Because this is a SURR school, SASS targets have been superceded by SURR targets.

School Under Registration Review (SURR)

This school is presently identified as a School Under Registration Review (SURR). Commissioner's Regulations require the Commissioner to annually place under Registration Review those schools that are determined to be farthest from State standards and most in need of improvement. The Commissioner establishes a timeline by which each SURR must meet performance targets in its area(s) of identification. A school that meets these targets may be removed from registration review, except that in the school's first year of identification the school must not only achieve its performance targets, but also meet all State standards in its area(s) of identification to be removed from registration review. The Commissioner may recommend that the Board of Regents revoke the registration of the school. Revocation of registration will prevent the school from further operation as a public school. When circumstances warrant, the Commissioner may extend the time frame for a school to make the required progress.

When a school is identified for registration review, a team of experts visits the school and prepares a report. Each district develops a Corrective Action Plan and each school develops a Comprehensive Education Plan to address the recommendations contained in the report. Both the State Education Department and the local school district provide additional support and resources to assist the school to improve.

For each area of identification, the required SURR performance program supercedes the SASS targets that otherwise apply to schools that perform below State standards. The following charts display all performance areas of SURR identification for this school for the period from 1998-1999 to the end of the target timeline, including the year for which the school was identified, the subsequent history of measured performance, and the minimum and target levels established for the school.

English Language Arts Performance

Middle-Level ELA Measured by the Grade 8 ELA Performance Index (PI)					
School Year	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Target PI	NA	NA	110	110	110
Measured PI	76	69	73	-	-
Minimum PI	NA	NA	89	89	89
This school was identified for SURR during the 1999-2000 school year. This school was given SURR performance targets on this criteria based upon its 1999-2000 school year performance. Target performance must be met by 2002-2003. * To be considered for removal for registration in the year of a school's SURR identification, the school must achieve the State standard on the criteria for which it was identified.					

Mathematics Performance

Middle-Level Mathematics Measured by the Grade 8 Math Performance Index (PI)					
School Year	1998-1999	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003
Target PI	NA	89*	89	89	89
Measured PI	33	42	44	-	-
Minimum PI	NA	61	61	61	61
This school was identified for SURR during the 1999-2000 school year. This school was given SURR performance targets on this criteria based upon its 1998-1999 school year performance. Target performance must be met by 2002-2003. * To be considered for removal for registration in the year of a school's SURR identification, the school must achieve the State standard on the criteria for which it was identified.					