New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code : 08-20-01-04-0004

Grade Range : 9-12

Name : Principal: Sherburne-Earlville Senior High School Eric Schnabl

Fall Enrollment

| Grade | 1999–2000 | 2000–2001 | 2001–2002 |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Pre-K | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Second | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Third | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fourth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fifth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sixth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ungraded Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seventh | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eighth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ninth | 150 | 184 | 149 |
| Tenth | 137 | 124 | 155 |
| Eleventh | 125 | 113 | 114 |
| Twelfth | 108 | 124 | 119 |
| Ungraded Secondary | 13 | 8 | 11 |
| Total K-12 Enrollment | 533 | 553 | 548 |

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

| | 1999- | -2000 | 2000-2001 | | 2001–2002 | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Race/Ethnicity | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students | % of Enroll. |
| American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander | 1 | 0.2% | 4 | 0.7% | 0 | 0.0% |
| Black (Not Hispanic) | 4 | 0.8% | 5 | 0.9% | 2 | 0.4% |
| Hispanic | 1 | 0.2% | 1 | 0.2% | 0 | 0.0% |
| White (Not Hispanic) | 527 | 98.9% | 543 | 98.2% | 546 | 99.6% |

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

| 1999–2 | 2000 | 2000- | -2001 | 2001- | -2002 |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|--------------|
| No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students % of Enroll. | | No. of Students | % of Enroll. |
| 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% |

Average Class Size

| Grade Level | 1999–2000 | 2000–2001 | 2001–2002 |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Common Branch | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| English Grade 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics Grade 8 | 16 | 21 | 18 |
| Science Grade 8 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| Social Studies Grade 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| English Grade 10 | 14 | 14 | 12 |
| Mathematics Grade 10 | 22 | 21 | 14 |
| Science Grade 10 | 0 | 17 | 6 |
| Social Studies Grade 10 | 18 | 12 | 16 |

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

| N/RC Category | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 4 | This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity. |
| | district resource capacity. |

Similar School Group and Description

| Similar School Group | Description |
|----------------------|---|
| 47 | All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts. |

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Attendance and Suspension

| | 1998–1999 | | 1999- | -2000 | 2000–2001 | |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | No. of | % of | No. of | % of | No. of | % of |
| | Students | Enroll. | Students | Enroll. | Students | Enroll. |
| Annual Attendance Rate | | 94.2% | | 93.8% | | 93.6% |
| Student Suspensions | 32 | 6.0% | 13 | 2.4% | 39 | 7.0% |

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

| | 1999–2000 | 2000-2001 | 2001–2002 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Free Lunch | 28.5% | 5.1% | 21.2% |
| Reduced Lunch | 9.6% | 4.7% | 6.4% |
| Public Assistance | 21-30% | 21-30% | 21-30% |
| Student Stability | 94% | 96% | 92% |

Staff Counts

| Staff | 2001–2002 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Total Teachers | 50 |
| Total Other Professional Staff | 7 |
| Total Paraprofessionals | NA |
| Teaching out of Certification* | 4 |
| Teachers with Temporary Licenses | 0 |

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

| | 1999–2000 | | | | 2000-2001 | 1 | | 2001–2002 | |
|----------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| | Total Grads | Regents Diplomas | % Regents Diplomas | Total Grads | Regents Diplomas | % Regents Diplomas | Total Grads | Regents Diplomas | % Regents Diplomas |
| General Education | 82 | 46 | 56% | 92 | 44 | 48% | 87 | 56 | 64% |
| Students with Disabilities | 6 | 0 | 0% | 19 | 4 | 21% | 13 | 4 | 31% |
| All Students | 88 | 46 | 52% | 111 | 48 | 43% | 100 | 60 | 60% |

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

| | To 4-year College | To 2-year College | To Other Post- Secondary | To the Military | To Employment | Other |
|---------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------|
| Number | 40 | 31 | 4 | 3 | 21 | 1 |
| Percent | 40% | 31% | 4% | 3% | 21% | 1% |

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

| Graduates* (a) | Regents Diplomas (b) | IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c) | All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 13 | 4 | 7 | 20 |

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

| | | 1999–2000 | | 2000- | -2001 | 2001-2002 | |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | | No. of | % of | No. of | % of | No. of | % of |
| | | Students | Enroll. | Students | Enroll. | Students | Enroll. |
| General- | Dropped Out | | | | | 11 | 2.0% |
| Education | Entered GED Program* | | | | | 9 | 1.6% |
| Students | Total Noncompleters | | | | | 20 | 3.6% |
| Students | Dropped Out | | | | | 3 | 0.5% |
| with | Entered GED Program* | | | | | 0 | 0.0% |
| Disabilities | Total Noncompleters | | | | | 3 | 0.5% |
| All | Dropped Out | 18 | 3.4% | 24 | 4.3% | 14 | 2.6% |
| Students | Entered GED Program* | 6 | 1.1% | 8 | 1.4% | 9 | 1.6% |
| Students | Total Noncompleters | 24 | 4.5% | 32 | 5.8% | 23 | 4.2% |

*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

| Test | 1999–2000 | | 2000- | -2001 | 2001–2002 | | |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| Test | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0% | 4 | # | 0 | 0% | |
| Science | 8 | 88% | 2 | # | 1 | # | |
| Reading | 0 | 0% | 1 | # | 0 | 0% | |
| Writing | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Global Studies | 6 | 83% | 1 | # | 0 | 0% | |
| U.S. Hist & Gov't | 20 | 85% | 3 | # | 0 | 0% | |

Students with Disabilities

| Test | 1999–2000 | | 2000- | -2001 | 2001–2002 | | |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| Test | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | |
| Mathematics | 13 | 69% | 20 | 65% | 16 | 56% | |
| Science | 9 | 67% | 7 | 57% | 16 | 50% | |
| Reading | 9 | 78% | 5 | 100% | 4 | # | |
| Writing | 9 | 67% | 4 | # | 5 | 80% | |
| Global Studies | 8 | 12% | 5 | 60% | 5 | 60% | |
| U.S. Hist & Gov't | 11 | 45% | 17 | 35% | 18 | 39% | |

(Form - E)

| | Augunts | | | • | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|------|
| | | All Students | | | nts with Disa | |
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| | Comp | rehensive Eng | glish | | | |
| Number Tested | 119 | 126 | 124 | 20 | 15 | 21 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 113 | 114 | 112 | 15 | 8 | 12 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 81 | 98 | 97 | 5 | 3 | 6 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 16 | 26 | 37 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100 | 95% | 90% | 90% | 75% | 53% | 57% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 | 68% | 78% | 78% | 25% | 20% | 29% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 13% | 21% | 30% | 5% | 0% | 0% |
| Sequential Mat | hematics, Co | urse I (last ad | lministered J | anuary 2002) | 1 | |
| Number Tested | 147 | 178 | 76 | 32 | 35 | 7 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 114 | 139 | 58 | 20 | 18 | 4 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 89 | 117 | 41 | 15 | 14 | 1 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 20 | 40 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 78% | 78% | 76% | 62% | 51% | 57% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 61% | 66% | 54% | 47% | 40% | 14% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 14% | 22% | 12% | 6% | 9% | 0% |
| <u>0</u> | | athematics A | | | • | • |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | # |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | # |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 17% | 0% | 0% | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | # |
| | al Studies (las | t administere | d January 20 | 00) | • | • |
| Number Tested | 27 | | • | 3 | | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 17 | | | # | | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 8 | | | # | | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | | | # | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 63% | | | # | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 30% | | | # | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | | | # | | |
| | ry and Geog | aphy (first a | dministered J | une 2000) | | |
| Number Tested | 110 | 76 | 102 | 16 | 12 | 21 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 96 | 57 | 94 | 10 | 6 | 16 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 72 | 43 | 70 | 7 | 3 | 9 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 87% | 75% | 92% | 62% | 50% | 76% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 65% | 57% | 69% | 44% | 25% | 43% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 14% | 25% | 20% | 0% | 0% | 5% |

(Form - F)

| | | All Students | | | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | |
| U.S. History | and Governm | ent (last adm | inistered Jan | uary 2001) | | | |
| Number Tested | 131 | 50 | | 23 | 15 | | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 91 | 28 | | 9 | 4 | | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 59 | 14 | | 5 | 2 | | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 14 | 1 | | 2 | 0 | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100 | 69% | 56% | | 39% | 27% | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 | 45% | 28% | | 22% | 13% | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 | 11% | 2% | | 9% | 0% | | |
| | and Govern | | | une 2001) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 179 | 231 | | 24 | 43 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | 135 | 186 | | 8 | 29 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | 106 | 146 | | 7 | 14 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | 37 | 28 | | 1 | 0 | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | | 75% | 81% | | 33% | 67% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 | | 59% | 63% | | 29% | 33% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | | 21% | 12% | | 4% | 0% | |
| | Environment | (first admini | | 2001) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 166 | 131 | | 26 | 20 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | 164 | 121 | | 26 | 17 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | 150 | 109 | | 16 | 15 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | 30 | 42 | | 1 | 2 | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | | 99% | 92% | | 100% | 85% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | | 90% | 83% | | 62% | 75% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | | 18% | 32% | | 4% | 10% | |
| | ting/Earth Sc | ience (first ac | | une 2001) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 64 | 109 | | 3 | 11 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | 63 | 104 | | # | 7 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | 56 | 100 | | # | 6 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | 27 | 42 | | # | 1 | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | | 98% | 95% | | # | 64% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | | 88% | 92% | | # | 55% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 | | 42% | 39% | | # | 9% | |

(Form – G)

Average Grade Enrollment

All Students

| Year | Grade 9 Enrollment (June) | Grade 10 Enrollment (June) | Grade 11 Enrollment (June) | Grade 12 Enrollment (June) | AGE* |
|------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| 2000 | 141 | 125 | 129 | 104 | 125 |
| 2001 | 176 | 124 | 108 | 116 | 131 |
| 2002 | 146 | 149 | 108 | 113 | 129 |

*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

Students with Disabilities

| Year | Grade 9 Enrollment (June) | Grade 10 Enrollment (June) | Grade 11 Enrollment (June) | Grade 12 Enrollment (June) | AGE* |
|------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------|
| 2000 | 23 | 20 | 23 | 11 | 19 |
| 2001 | 34 | 19 | 13 | 18 | 21 |
| 2002 | 27 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 20 |

*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

| | All Students | | | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|------|----------------------------|------|------|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Average Grade Enrollment (AGE) | 125 | 131 | 129 | 19 | 2001 | 2002 |
| Average Grade Enronment (AGE) | | rehensive Fre | | 1) | 21 | 20 |
| Number Tested | 23 | 36 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 23 | 36 | 33 | 0 | # | # |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 23 | 35 | 33 | 0 | # | # |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 0 | # | # |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 18% | 27% | 26% | 0% | # | # |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 18% | 27% | 26% | 0% | # | # |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 18% | 27% | 26% | 0% | # | # |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 12% | 15% | 15% | 0% | # | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 100% | 97% | 100% | 0% | # | # |
| | | rehensive Ita | | 070 | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | | ehensive Ger | | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Compr | ehensive Heb | orew | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

(Form – I)

| | | All Students | 5 | Stude | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|---------------|------|-------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | |
| Average Grade Enrollment (AGE) | 125 | 131 | 129 | 19 | 21 | 20 | |
| | Compr | ehensive Spa | nish | | | | |
| Number Tested | 38 | 25 | 36 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 37 | 25 | 35 | # | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 33 | 25 | 35 | # | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 12 | 17 | 23 | # | 0 | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 30% | 19% | 28% | # | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 30% | 19% | 27% | # | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 26% | 19% | 27% | # | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 10% | 13% | 18% | # | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 87% | 100% | 97% | # | 0% | 0% | |
| | Comp | orehensive La | itin | | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | |

(Form - J)

| | | All Students | | Stude | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | |
| Average Grade Enrollment (AGE) | 125 | 131 | 129 | 19 | 21 | 20 | |
| | Sequential N | Iathematics, | Course II | | | | |
| Number Tested | 108 | 132 | 129 | 7 | 8 | 5 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 74 | 102 | 107 | 2 | 7 | 4 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 54 | 83 | 88 | 1 | 4 | 3 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 20 | 34 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 86% | 101% | 100% | 37% | 38% | 25% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 59% | 78% | 83% | 11% | 33% | 20% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 43% | 63% | 68% | 5% | 19% | 15% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 16% | 26% | 27% | 0% | 0% | 5% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 50% | 63% | 68% | 14% | 50% | 60% | |
| | Sequential M | lathematics, (| Course III | | | | |
| Number Tested | 80 | 78 | 68 | 1 | 2 | 3 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 63 | 61 | 61 | # | # | # | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 49 | 50 | 53 | # | # | # | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 14 | 29 | 30 | # | # | # | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 64% | 60% | 53% | # | # | # | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 50% | 47% | 47% | # | # | # | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 39% | 38% | 41% | # | # | # | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 11% | 22% | 23% | # | # | # | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 61% | 64% | 78% | # | # | # | |
| Matl | nematics B (fi | rst administe | red June 200 | 1) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | | 0% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | |

(Form – K)

| | | All Students | | | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------|------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | |
| Average Grade Enrollment (AGE) | 125 | 131 | 129 | 19 | 21 | 20 | |
| Earth | n Science (last | administere | d January 20 | 01) | | | |
| Number Tested | 40 | 5 | | 1 | 0 | | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 34 | 3 | | # | 0 | | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 29 | 2 | | # | 0 | | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 16 | 0 | | # | 0 | | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 32% | 4% | | # | 0% | | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 27% | 2% | | # | 0% | | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 23% | 2% | | # | 0% | | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 13% | 0% | | # | 0% | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 72% | 40% | | # | 0% | | |
| Bi | ology (last ad | ministered Ja | anuary 2001) | | | | |
| Number Tested | 170 | 62 | | 13 | 14 | | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 139 | 36 | | 7 | 7 | | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 107 | 11 | | 3 | 1 | | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 16 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 136% | 47% | | 68% | 67% | | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 111% | 27% | | 37% | 33% | | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 86% | 8% | | 16% | 5% | | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 13% | 0% | | 0% | 0% | | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 63% | 18% | | 23% | 7% | | |
| Che | emistry (last a | dministered | January 2002 | 2) | | | |
| Number Tested | 56 | 58 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 54 | 56 | # | # | # | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 43 | 47 | # | # | # | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 12 | 9 | # | # | # | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 45% | 44% | # | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 43% | 43% | # | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 34% | 36% | # | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 10% | 7% | # | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 77% | 81% | # | # | # | 0% | |

(Form - L)

| | | All Students | 5 | Studer | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|----------|----------------------------|------|--|
| | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | |
| Average Grade Enrollment (AGE) | 125 | 131 | 129 | 19 | 21 | 20 | |
| Р | hysics (last ad | lministered Ja | anuary 2002) | | | | |
| Number Tested | 31 | 34 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 30 | 33 | 0 | # | # | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 28 | 30 | 0 | # | # | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 10 | 8 | 0 | # | # | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | 25% | 26% | 0% | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | 24% | 25% | 0% | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | 22% | 23% | 0% | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | 8% | 6% | 0% | # | # | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 90% | 88% | 0% | # | # | 0% | |
| Physical S | etting/Chemis | stry (first adn | ninistered Ju | ne 2002) | | | |
| Number Tested | | | 38 | | | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | | 38 | | | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | | 36 | | | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | | 4 | | | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | | | 29% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | | | 29% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | | | 28% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | | | 3% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | | | 95% | | | 0% | |
| Physical | Setting/Physi | cs (first admi | nistered June | e 2002) | | • | |
| Number Tested | | | 34 | | | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | | 31 | | | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | | 17 | | | 0 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | | 2 | | | 0 | |
| Percentage of AGE Tested | | | 26% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100 | | | 24% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100 | | | 13% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100 | | | 2% | | | 0% | |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | | | 50% | | | 0% | |

(Form – M)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

| | 1999–2000 | | 2000-2001 | | 2001-2002 | |
|----------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing |
| General-Education Students | 37 | 95% | 47 | 96% | 35 | 97% |
| Students with Disabilities | 13 | 85% | 11 | 91% | 11 | 82% |

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

| | | Number Tested | % at Level 1 | % at Level 2 | % at Level 3 | % at Level 4 |
|----------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | General-Education Students | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Nov 2001 | Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | All Students | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

Middle-Level Social Studies

| | | Number Tested | % at Level 1 | % at Level 2 | % at Level 3 | % at Level 4 |
|-----------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | General-Education Students | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| June 2002 | Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | All Students | 0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

(Form - N)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

| | Count of Students | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Test | Tested | Not Tested | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
| | | Elementary Lev | vel | | | |
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics, Science, & Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Arts (optional) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Middle Level | ļ | | | |
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics, Science, & Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Arts (optional) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Secondary Lev | el | | | |
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics, Science, & Technology | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| The Arts (optional) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

| | General-Educ | ation Students | Students wit | h Disabilities | All Students | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Global History & Geography | U.S. History & Gov't | Global History & Geography | U.S. History & Gov't | Global History & Geography | U.S. History & Gov't | |
| Cohort Enrollment | 99 | 99 | 24 | 24 | 123 | 123 | |
| Number Scoring 55–64 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 4 | 20 | 17 | |
| Number Scoring 65–84 | 59 | 44 | 9 | 5 | 68 | 49 | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 17 | 32 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 34 | |
| Approved Alternatives | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

| | General- Education Students | Students with Disabilities | All Students |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Cohort Enrollment | 94 | 20 | 114 |
| Number Scoring 55–64 | 8 | 5 | 13 |
| Number Scoring 65–84 | 50 | 7 | 57 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 30 | 2 | 32 |
| Approved Alternatives | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(Form - O)