## New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Principal: Ms	ffalo Traditional School	Grade Range : 5-12		
Fall Enrollment				
Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002	
Pre-K	0	0	0	
Kindergarten	0	0	0	
First	0	0	0	
Second	0	0	0	
Third	0	0	0	
Fourth	0	0	0	
Fifth	40	50	47	
Sixth	65	65	70	
Ungraded Elementary	36	35	0	
Seventh	85	94	105	
Eighth	93	87	87	
Ninth	139	149	180	
Tenth	115	158	147	
Eleventh	103	111	121	
Twelfth	90	101	93	
Ungraded Secondary	56	11	0	
Total K-12 Enrollment	822	861	850	

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	6	0.7%	7	0.8%	6	0.7%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	544	66.2%	627	72.8%	658	77.4%	
Hispanic	32	3.9%	30	3.5%	25	2.9%	
White (Not Hispanic)	240	29.2%	197	22.9%	161	18.9%	

### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	1999–2000		-2001	2001-	-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	21	23	23
English Grade 8	23	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	22	21	0
Science Grade 8	24	21	18
Social Studies Grade 8	24	22	18
English Grade 10	31	23	24
Mathematics Grade 10	27	0	26
Science Grade 10	28	27	17
Social Studies Grade 10	0	25	0

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
2	This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
41	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000-2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		87.9%		90.0%		92.3%
Student Suspensions	55	6.6%	94	11.4%	134	15.6%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	53.0%	53.9%	54.6%
Reduced Lunch	18.9%	13.8%	14.0%
Public Assistance	81-90%	51-60%	51-60%
Student Stability	96%	82%	89%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002
Total Teachers	72
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching out of Certification*	NA
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	NA

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001–2002	
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	62	15	24%	81	12	15%	68	7	10%
Students with Disabilities	5	0	0%	4	0	0%	10	0	0%
All Students	67	15	22%	85	12	14%	78	7	9%

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	23	20	0	2	27	6
Percent	29%	26%	0%	3%	35%	8%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
10	0	0	10

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					25	4.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*					7	1.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters					32	5.9%
Students	Dropped Out					4	0.7%
with	Entered GED Program*					2	0.4%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					6	1.1%
All	Dropped Out	23	4.7%	23	4.4%	29	5.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	23	4.4%	9	1.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	23	4.7%	46	8.7%	38	7.0%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	24	58%	31	58%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	59	59%	126	59%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	5	0%	2	#	

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1051	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	8	50%	2	#	24	75%	
Science	35	80%	4	#	8	0%	
Reading	2	#	0	0%	5	100%	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	6	100%	
Global Studies	9	11%	0	0%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	62	68%	13	69%	1	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	30	17%	15	67%	3	#	
Science	18	11%	3	#	0	0%	
Reading	9	67%	7	14%	1	#	
Writing	13	85%	7	71%	1	#	
Global Studies	17	35%	4	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	16	50%	3	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Acgents			1			
		All Student			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
	Comp	rehensive Eng	glish				
Number Tested	107	100	120	14	13	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	92	95	116	7	10	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	60	81	77	1	5	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	4	8	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	86%	95%	97%	50%	77%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	56%	81%	64%	7%	38%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1%	4%	7%	0%	0%	#	
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ac	Iministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		athematics A					
Number Tested	76	155	220	8	18	9	
Number Scoring 55–100	28	77	80	1	1	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	14	22	37	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	2	4	1	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	37%	50%	36%	12%	6%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	18%	14%	17%	12%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	1%	2%	12%	0%	0%	
			d January 20			• / •	
Number Tested	0			0			
Number Scoring 55–100	0			0			
Number Scoring 65–100	0			0			
Number Scoring 85–100	0			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			0%			
		- ranhy (first a	dministered J				
Number Tested	133	137	111	30	16	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	65	129	91	7	14	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	35	105	61	3	14	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	3	3	2	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	49%	94%	82%	23%	88%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	26%	77%	55%	10%	88%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%	#	

(Form – F)

		All Students	5	Studen	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History :	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	110	3		13	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	46	#		4	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	20	#		2	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	1	#		0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	42%	#		31%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	18%	#		15%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1%	#		0%	0%		
	and Govern	ment (first ad	Iministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		92	134		9	2	
Number Scoring 55–100		67	117		8	#	
Number Scoring 65–100		44	77		4	#	
Number Scoring 85–100		6	10		1	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		73%	87%		89%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		48%	57%		44%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		7%	7%		11%	#	
	<u>Environment</u>	<u>(first admini</u>		2001)			
Number Tested		250	132		48	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		178	118		27	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		99	89		17	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		1	4		0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		71%	89%		56%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		40%	67%		35%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		0%	3%		0%	0%	
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		110	159		16	3	
Number Scoring 55–100		79	132		12	#	
Number Scoring 65–100		59	83		6	#	
Number Scoring 85–100		2	1		0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		72%	83%		75%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		54%	52%		38%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		2%	1%		0%	#	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	171	117	98	90	119
2001	198	124	92	91	126
2002	164	138	113	88	126

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	18	15	10	5	12
2001	65	23	14	4	27
2002	2	5	2	10	5

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students		St. Ja	nts with Disa	hilitian
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Crede Enrellment (ACE)	119	126	126	12	2001	5
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)		rehensive Fre		12	21	5
Number Tested	25	26	15	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	23	13	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	2	14	11	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	0	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	21%	21%	12%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	5%	18%	10%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	2%	11%	9%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	1%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	8%	54%	73%	#	#	0%
		rehensive Ita				070
Number Tested			0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Het	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students	1	Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	119	126	126	12	27	5	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	51	32	56	2	1	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	50	29	44	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	50	29	43	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	20	19	14	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	43%	25%	44%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	42%	23%	35%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	42%	23%	34%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	17%	15%	11%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	98%	91%	77%	#	#	#	
	Comp	orehensive La	itin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students	5	Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	119	126	126	12	27	5	
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)			
Number Tested	0	0		0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0		0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0		0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0		0	0		
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%		0%	0%		
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)				
Number Tested	290	0		56	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	84	0		16	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	22	0		3	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0		0	0		
Percentage of AGE Tested	244%	0%		467%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	71%	0%		133%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	18%	0%		25%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	8%	0%		5%	0%		
Che	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)			
Number Tested	41	38	0	0	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	23	20	0	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	12	8	0	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	0	0	#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	34%	30%	0%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	19%	16%	0%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	10%	6%	0%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	1%	0%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	29%	21%	0%	0%	#	0%	

(Form – L)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	119	126	126	12	27	5	
Pl	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)				
Number Tested	24	23	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	16	14	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	9	9	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	20%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	13%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	8%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	38%	39%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)			
Number Tested			50			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			35			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			16			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			40%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			28%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			13%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			32%			0%	
Physical	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)			
Number Tested			12			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			5			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			1			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			#	
Percentage of AGE Tested			10%			#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			4%			#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			1%			#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			8%			#	

(Form – M)

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	33	85%	1	#	11	100%
Students with Disabilities	19	47%	0	0%	1	#

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	39	15%	5%	72%	8%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	5	20%	0%	80%	0%
	All Students	44	16%	5%	73%	7%

# Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	69	0%	48%	52%	0%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	7	0%	71%	29%	0%
	All Students	76	0%	50%	50%	0%

(Form - N)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level	ļ						
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	20	0	15	3	2	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	20	0	13	5	2	0			
Social Studies	21	0	8	7	6	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	86	86	9	9	95	95
Number Scoring 55–64	29	22	1	4	30	26
Number Scoring 65–84	45	49	6	1	51	50
Number Scoring 85–100	1	4	0	1	1	5
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	130	3	133
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	36
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	85
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	1
Approved Alternatives	#	#	0

(Form - O)