## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Grade Range :

<b>DED</b> 0 <b>Coue</b> . 10	Grude Runge .					
Name : Ch	nateaugay Central School Dist	rict				
Superintendent: Mr	r. Patrick J. Calnon					
Fall Enrollment						
Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002			
Pre-K	0	0	0			
Kindergarten	37	36	45			
First	60	41	35			
Second	50	53	37			
Third	59	49	57			
Fourth	50	52	49			
Fifth	45	48	51			
Sixth	54	55	53			
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0			
Seventh	49	60	57			
Eighth	47	47	56			
Ninth	57	41	43			
Tenth	55	48	35			
Eleventh	40	48	49			
Twelfth	64	40	44			
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0			
Total K-12 Enrollment	667	618	611			

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

BEDS Code :

16-08-01-04-0000

	1999-	-2000	2000-2001		2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	5	0.7%	4	0.6%	3	0.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.3%	2	0.3%	2	0.3%
Hispanic	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	659	98.8%	612	99.0%	606	99.2%

### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	1999–2000		-2001	2001-	-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

#### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	19	18	23
Common Branch	21	20	19
English Grade 8	21	18	17
Mathematics Grade 8	19	19	17
Science Grade 8	23	24	18
Social Studies Grade 8	11	20	17
English Grade 10	18	15	17
Mathematics Grade 10	17	15	20
Science Grade 10	18	12	21
Social Studies Grade 10	17	14	20

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
4	district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
<b>Annual Attendance Rate</b>		95.8%		95.7%		95.9%
Student Suspensions	6	0.9%	7	1.1%	2	0.3%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	28.2%	26.2%	24.1%
Reduced Lunch	11.4%	9.6%	13.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002				
Total Teachers	54				
Total Other Professional Staff	5				
Total Paraprofessionals	12				
Teaching out of Certification*	1				
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0				
1					

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001-2002	
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	52	32	62%	35	20	57%	41	21	51%
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	1	0	0%
All Students	56	32	57%	37	20	54%	42	21	50%

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	8	16	0	5	13	0
Percent	19%	38%	0%	12%	31%	0%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
1	0	3	4

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					7	4.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					7	4.1%
Students	Dropped Out					0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	7	3.2%	8	4.5%	7	4.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	1.4%	4	2.3%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	10	4.6%	12	6.8%	7	4.1%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	24	100%	16	100%	21	90%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	11	73%	15	87%	28	93%	

#### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	4	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	2	#	3	#	0	0%	

(Form - D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1051	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	14	86%	0	0%	1	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
I est	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	5	100%	4	#	6	50%	
Science	8	75%	4	#	10	100%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	4	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	4	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	4	#	3	#	

(Form - E)

	Acgents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Compr	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	44	50	44	4	11	6
Number Scoring 55–100	43	50	41	#	11	5
Number Scoring 65–100	36	45	34	#	7	1
Number Scoring 85–100	16	11	14	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	98%	100%	93%	#	100%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	82%	90%	77%	#	64%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	36%	22%	32%	#	0%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)		
Number Tested	36	19	0	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	31	16	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	29	14	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	14	2	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	84%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	74%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	11%	0%	#	0%	0%
U	Ma	athematics A	•			
Number Tested	64	59	47	7	11	6
Number Scoring 55–100	60	48	24	6	6	1
Number Scoring 65–100	45	31	21	3	3	1
Number Scoring 85–100	7	8	8	1	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	81%	51%	86%	55%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	53%	45%	43%	27%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	14%	17%	14%	0%	17%
	al Studies (las	t administere	d January 20	00)	L	
Number Tested	7		•	2		
Number Scoring 55–100	5			#		
Number Scoring 65–100	0			#		
Number Scoring 85–100	0			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			#		
<u> </u>	ry and Geogr	aphy (first a	dministered J	une 2000)		
Number Tested	49	42	40	9	5	4
Number Scoring 55–100	43	38	34	7	3	#
Number Scoring 65–100	37	31	28	6	2	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	7	4	1	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	90%	85%	78%	60%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	74%	70%	67%	40%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	17%	10%	11%	0%	#

(Form – F)

		All Students	5	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	42	3		3	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	38	#		#	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	23	#		#	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	10	#		#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	90%	#		#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	55%	#		#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	24%	#		#	0%		
	v and Govern	ment (first ad	ministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		49	54		10	5	
Number Scoring 55–100		36	48		6	4	
Number Scoring 65–100		33	36		6	3	
Number Scoring 85–100		12	5		0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		73%	89%		60%	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		67%	67%		60%	60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		24%	9%		0%	0%	
	<u>Environment</u>	<u>(first admini</u>	stered June 2	2001)			
Number Tested		30	22		1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		30	22		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		29	22		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		5	4		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		97%	100%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		17%	18%		#	0%	
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		15	36		6	5	
Number Scoring 55–100		15	35		6	5	
Number Scoring 65–100		10	30		3	3	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	14		0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	97%		100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		67%	83%		50%	60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		0%	39%		0%	20%	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	57	52	38	62	52
2001	40	49	49	38	44
2002	43	37	49	49	45

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	9	12	2	5	7
2001	8	8	13	2	8
2002	13	6	8	5	8

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

-				C4	.4	h:1:4:
	3000	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	52	44	45	7	8	8
Newley Tested		rehensive Fre		0	0	1
Number Tested	20	13	16	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	20	13	16	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	18	13	16	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	7	4	3	0	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	38%	30%	36%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	38%	30%	36%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	35%	30%	36%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	13%	9%	7%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
		rehensive Ita			r	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	52	44	45	7	8	8	
	Compi	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	11	13	10	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	10	13	10	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	8	13	7	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	3	3	5	#	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	21%	30%	22%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	19%	30%	22%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	15%	30%	16%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	6%	7%	11%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	73%	100%	70%	#	0%	0%	
	Com	orehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form – J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	52	44	45	7	8	8	
	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	6	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Sequential M	athematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	19	24	20	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	16	19	18	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	16	15	16	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	10	3	5	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	37%	55%	44%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	31%	43%	40%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	31%	34%	36%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	7%	11%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	62%	80%	0%	0%	0%	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form - K)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	52	44	45	7	8	8
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	29	8		5	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	26	4		4	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	20	1		3	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	56%	18%		71%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	50%	9%		57%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	2%		43%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	10%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	12%		60%	0%	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)	-		
Number Tested	38	0		3	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	38	0		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	35	0		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	7	0		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	73%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	73%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	67%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	13%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	0%		#	0%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	19	23	0	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	18	23	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	18	23	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	6	0	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	37%	52%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	35%	52%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	35%	52%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	12%	14%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	0%	0%	#	0%

(Form - L)

04/09/2003

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	52	44	45	7	8	8
P	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	17	9	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	17	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	17	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	33%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	33%	18%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	89%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			17			0
Number Scoring 55–100			11			0
Number Scoring 65–100			10			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			38%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			24%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			22%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			59%			0%
Physical	Setting/Physic	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		
Number Tested			4			0
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			#			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			#			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			#			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%

(Form - M)

04/09/2003

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001-2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	30	80%	18	89%	20	90%
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	3	#	11	100%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	44	0%	0%	25%	75%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	8	0%	0%	50%	50%
	All Students	52	0%	0%	29%	71%

Winduic-Level Social Studies								
		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4		
	General-Education Students	52	0%	19%	75%	6%		
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	67%	33%	0%		
	All Students	58	0%	24%	71%	5%		

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

(Form - N)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level							
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	
Cohort Enrollment	48	48	4	4	52	52	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	10	7	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	25	24	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	9	11	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	0	0	

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	41	7	48
Number Scoring 55–64	5	0	5
Number Scoring 65–84	27	5	32
Number Scoring 85–100	7	0	7
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)