New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code : 22-01-01-04-0002 Grade Range : 7-12

Name: South Jefferson Junior-Senior High School

Principal: Ms. Mary-Margaret Zehr

Fall Enrollment

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	178	162	162
Eighth	182	165	169
Ninth	138	191	156
Tenth	173	129	170
Eleventh	158	153	111
Twelfth	144	164	145
Ungraded Secondary	19	64	87
Total K-12 Enrollment	992	1028	1000

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Student Nacial/Ethine Origin							
	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	0.9%	7	0.7%	12	1.2%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	4	0.4%	
Hispanic	4	0.4%	5	0.5%	6	0.6%	
White (Not Hispanic)	978	98.6%	1014	98.6%	978	97.8%	

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	21	20	20
Mathematics Grade 8	22	19	22
Science Grade 8	20	21	21
Social Studies Grade 8	21	21	22
English Grade 10	19	19	17
Mathematics Grade 10	20	0	24
Science Grade 10	19	21	22
Social Studies Grade 10	19	18	23

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
51	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Attendance and Suspension

•	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		97.0%		95.0%		95.0%
Student Suspensions	96	9.3%	91	9.2%	86	8.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	17.0%	16.2%	17.0%
Reduced Lunch	7.6%	8.2%	7.4%
Public Assistance	1-10%	21-30%	21-30%
Student Stability	100%	82%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	73
Total Other Professional Staff	7
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching out of Certification*	5
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	1

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	9 9 1				±					
	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	116	72	62%	125	76	61%	121	78	64%	
Students with Disabilities	7	1	14%	4	1	25%	2	1	50%	
All Students	123	73	59%	129	77	60%	123	79	64%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	40	62	4	2	14	1
Percent	33%	50%	3%	2%	11%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas	IEP Diplomas or Certificates	All 2001–2002 Completers	
(4)	(b)	(c)	(a+c)	
2	1	11	13	

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					22	3.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*					1	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters					23	3.6%
Students	Dropped Out					1	0.2%
with	Entered GED Program*					1	0.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					2	0.3%
All	Dropped Out	19	3.0%	22	3.2%	23	3.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	24	3.8%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	43	6.9%	22	3.2%	25	3.9%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	46	93%	48	100%	51	90%	
German	38	92%	39	95%	37	92%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	63	89%	49	88%	48	77%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	3	#	3	#	2	#	
German	1	#	0	0%	2	#	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	0	0%	1	#	

(Form - D)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	4	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	50	90%	1	#	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001-	-2002
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	5	100%
Science	0	0%	3	#	3	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	100%	0	0%	0	0%

(Form - E)

	regents	Examin					
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish				
Number Tested	139	135	108	8	2	6	
Number Scoring 55–100	137	134	107	8	#	6	
Number Scoring 65–100	120	128	92	3	#	5	
Number Scoring 85–100	16	42	40	0	#	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	100%	#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	95%	85%	38%	#	83%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	31%	37%	0%	#	17%	
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	184	167	11	6	7	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	159	155	10	6	6	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	145	146	8	4	6	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	59	63	1	0	2	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	93%	91%	100%	86%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	87%	73%	67%	86%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	38%	9%	0%	29%	#	
8		athematics A				I	
Number Tested	0	17	38	0	1	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	3	26	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	8	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	18%	68%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	21%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	#	#	
		t administere					
Number Tested	156			3			
Number Scoring 55–100	146			#			
Number Scoring 65–100	131			#			
Number Scoring 85–100	35			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%			#			
<u> </u>		raphy (first ac	dministered .l	une 2000)			
Number Tested	0	111	160	0	7	10	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	111	158	0	7	9	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	102	147	0	7	8	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	47	57	0	3	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	99%	0%	100%	90%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	92%	92%	0%	100%	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	42%	36%	0%	43%	0%	

(Form - F)

		All Students	<u> </u>	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a	nd Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	158	29		6	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	138	27		4	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	93	25		1	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	12	1		0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	93%		67%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	59%	86%		17%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	3%		0%	0%		
U.S. History	and Govern	ment (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		132	117		2	7	
Number Scoring 55–100		129	113		#	7	
Number Scoring 65–100		114	100		#	5	
Number Scoring 85–100		54	29		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		98%	97%		#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		86%	85%		#	71%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		41%	25%		#	0%	
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)			
Number Tested		108	158		7	8	
Number Scoring 55–100		108	158		7	8	
Number Scoring 65–100		106	158		7	8	
Number Scoring 85–100		30	74		0	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		98%	100%		100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		28%	47%		0%	38%	
	ting/Earth Sc		lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		132	123		5	4	
Number Scoring 55–100		131	115		5	#	
Number Scoring 65–100		130	111		5	#	
Number Scoring 85–100		68	63		2	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		99%	93%		100%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		98%	90%		100%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		52%	51%		40%	#	

(Form - G)

Average Grade Enrollment

All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	137	161	149	141	147
2001	196	124	147	146	153
2002	163	172	116	139	148

^{*}In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

Students with Disabilities

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	14	18	12	22	17
2001	23	14	13	11	15
2002	24	18	15	12	17

^{*}In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

	Regents					
		All Student		*	nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	147	153	148	17	15	17
		rehensive Fre				
Number Tested	29	27	28	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	29	27	28	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	29	27	27	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	16	13	12	0	#	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	20%	18%	19%	0%	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	20%	18%	19%	0%	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	20%	18%	18%	0%	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	11%	8%	8%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	96%	0%	#	#
-	Comp	rehensive Ita	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	•	•	•
Number Tested	24	26	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	26	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	26	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	19	16	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	16%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	16%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	16%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	7%	12%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Hel	orew			III
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	147	153	148	17	15	17	
	Compi	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	37	28	27	0	0	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	37	28	27	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	35	28	27	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	19	15	21	0	0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	25%	18%	18%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	25%	18%	18%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	24%	18%	18%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	13%	10%	14%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	147	153	148	17	15	17	
	Sequential M	Iathematics ,	Course II				
Number Tested	132	136	156	3	5	8	
Number Scoring 55–100	101	116	131	#	4	8	
Number Scoring 65–100	86	95	114	#	3	5	
Number Scoring 85–100	35	33	30	#	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	90%	89%	105%	#	33%	47%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	69%	76%	89%	#	27%	47%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	59%	62%	77%	#	20%	29%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	24%	22%	20%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	70%	73%	#	60%	62%	
	Sequential M	athematics, (Course III				
Number Tested	103	99	78	0	2	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	95	94	76	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	85	89	71	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	35	49	40	0	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	70%	65%	53%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	65%	61%	51%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	58%	58%	48%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	24%	32%	27%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	90%	91%	0%	#	#	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form - K)

		All Students	}	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	147	153	148	17	15	17
	Science (last	administered	l January 20	01)		
Number Tested	104	10		4	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	102	10		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	91	5		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	35	2		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	71%	7%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	69%	7%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	62%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	24%	1%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	50%		#	0%	
Bi	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2001)			
Number Tested	149	5		4	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	144	5		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	131	3		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	44	0		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	101%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	98%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	89%	2%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	30%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	60%		#	0%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	91	93	3	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	82	91	#	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	59	82	#	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	34	#	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	62%	61%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	56%	59%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	40%	54%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	12%	22%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	88%	#	0%	#	0%

(Form - L)

	All Students			Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	147	153	148	17	15	17
	ysics (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)			
Number Tested	57	52	0	2	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	57	52	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	57	51	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	32	29	0	#	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	39%	34%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	39%	34%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	39%	33%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	22%	19%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	98%	0%	#	0%	0%
Physical Se	etting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			59			2
Number Scoring 55–100			59			#
Number Scoring 65–100			57			#
Number Scoring 85–100			22			#
Percentage of AGE Tested			40%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			40%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			39%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			15%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			#
Physical :	Setting/Physic	cs (first admi	nistered June	2002)		
Number Tested			44			0
Number Scoring 55–100			43			0
Number Scoring 65–100			40			0
Number Scoring 85–100			14			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			30%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			29%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			27%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			9%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			91%			0%

(Form - M)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	65	97%	27	100%	36	100%
Students with Disabilities	2	#	0	0%	4	#

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	135	1%	19%	61%	20%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	19	0%	47%	47%	5%
	All Students	154	1%	22%	59%	18%

(Form - N)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	1	0	#	#	#	#			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level							
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	1	0	#	#	#	#			
The Arts (optional)	2	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	123	123	10	10	133	133
Number Scoring 55–64	5	6	1	1	6	7
Number Scoring 65–84	77	57	1	1	78	58
Number Scoring 85–100	37	57	0	0	37	57
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General-	Students	
	Education	with	All Students
	Students	Disabilities	An Students
Cohort Enrollment	109	14	123
Number Scoring 55–64	1	0	1
Number Scoring 65–84	57	3	60
Number Scoring 85–100	41	3	44
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)