# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code : 23-03-01-04-0000 Grade Range :

Name: Harrisville Central School District

Superintendent: Richard R. Kahn

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	24	30	36
First	39	27	24
Second	42	37	28
Third	36	40	38
Fourth	39	36	38
Fifth	35	31	33
Sixth	28	32	31
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	27	31	33
Eighth	38	26	29
Ninth	39	39	39
Tenth	41	36	33
Eleventh	37	29	26
Twelfth	34	33	32
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	459	427	420

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Student Nacial/Ethinic Origin							
	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	3	0.7%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	1	0.2%	3	0.7%	4	1.0%	
Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	
White (Not Hispanic)	458	99.8%	423	99.1%	412	98.1%	

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	12	15	18
Common Branch	16	15	16
English Grade 8	34	13	13
Mathematics Grade 8	20	12	15
Science Grade 8	37	12	14
Social Studies Grade 8	19	13	15
English Grade 10	13	13	16
Mathematics Grade 10	10	9	9
Science Grade 10	13	15	17
Social Studies Grade 10	14	12	13

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.7%		96.2%		95.9%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	10	2.1%	5	1.1%	15	3.5%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	28.1%	26.5%	28.1%
Reduced Lunch	12.0%	12.2%	16.0%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	45
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	5
Teaching out of Certification*	0
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

**High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*** 

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1	2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	27	12	44%	32	20	62%	28	23	82%
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%
All Students	29	12	41%	33	20	61%	29	23	79%

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	14	12	0	1	1	1
Percent	48%	41%	0%	3%	3%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2001–2002
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
1	0	6	7

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					1	0.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					1	0.8%
Students	Dropped Out					1	0.8%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					1	0.8%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.7%	4	2.9%	2	1.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	3	2.2%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.7%	7	5.1%	2	1.5%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	20	100%	21	86%	45	62%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	2	#	4	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1 est	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	1	#	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Statement With Disabilities									
Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002				
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	0	0%			
Science	0	0%	0	0%	2	#			
Reading	2	#	0	0%	0	0%			
Writing	2	#	0	0%	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	1	#	0	0%			

(Form - E)

_	Acgents	LAaiiii	nations				
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish				
Number Tested	45	39	37	4	2	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	44	39	37	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	33	38	32	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	9	11	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	97%	86%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	23%	30%	#	#	#	
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)	)	•	
Number Tested	20	3	1	1	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	16	#	#	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	16	#	#	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	#	#	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	#	#	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	#	#	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	#	#	#	#	0%	
	M	athematics A	•			.1.	
Number Tested	0	24	38	0	1	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	22	33	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	19	28	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	9	8	0	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	92%	87%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	79%	74%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	38%	21%	0%	#	#	
	al Studies (las					.1	
Number Tested	2			1			
Number Scoring 55–100	#			#			
Number Scoring 65–100	#			#			
Number Scoring 85–100	#			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#			#			
	ory and Geogr	aphy (first a	dministered J	une 2000)			
Number Tested	36	35	27	2	3	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	35	34	26	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	29	33	23	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	13	11	3	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	97%	96%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	94%	85%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	31%	11%	#	#	#	
	20,0		11,0				

(Form - F)

		All Students	S	Studer	ents with Disabilities			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002		
U.S. History a	nd Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)				
Number Tested	40	2		4	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	36	#		#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	26	#		#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	15	#		#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	#		#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	#		#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	#		#	0%			
U.S. History and Government (first administered June 2001)								
Number Tested		30	31		1	2		
Number Scoring 55–100		29	31		#	#		
Number Scoring 65–100		28	28		#	#		
Number Scoring 85–100		16	13		#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		97%	100%		#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		93%	90%		#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		53%	42%		#	#		
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)				
Number Tested		27	34		1	3		
Number Scoring 55–100		27	34		#	#		
Number Scoring 65–100		27	34		#	#		
Number Scoring 85–100		8	7		#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		100%	100%		#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		30%	21%		#	#		
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)				
Number Tested		35	30		4	5		
Number Scoring 55–100		33	30		#	5		
Number Scoring 65–100		33	25		#	2		
Number Scoring 85–100		12	9		#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		94%	100%		#	100%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		94%	83%		#	40%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		34%	30%		#	0%		

(Form - G)

## **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### **All Students**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	37	43	30	33	36
2001	41	33	36	36	37
2002	40	27	34	36	34

<sup>\*</sup>In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	2	3	3	5	3
2001	7	2	7	4	5
2002	10	2	3	8	6

<sup>\*</sup>In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

-	Tegents	All Students		Stude	nta with Disa	hilition	
	2000			*	Students with Disabilities		
A Cord Errollow A (ACE)	2000	2001	<b>2002</b> 34	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	36	37		3	5	6	
Manufacture Task I		ehensive Fre		0	1 0	1 0	
Number Tested	21	24	21	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	20	22	20	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	20	20	13	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	6	3	3	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	58%	65%	62%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	56%	59%	59%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	56%	54%	38%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	17%	8%	9%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	83%	62%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	rehensive Ita					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Ger			I.	ı	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
1 ordenings of 1 south 2 south g of 100		ehensive Heb		0,0	0,0	0,0	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100  Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - I)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	36	37	34	3	5	6	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	1	0	1	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	#	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	#	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	#	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin	_			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002		
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	36	37	34	3	5	6		
	Sequential M	<b>lathematics</b> ,	Course II					
Number Tested	35	5	3	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	28	5	#	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	27	4	#	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	13	0	#	0	0	0		
Percentage of AGE Tested	97%	14%	#	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	78%	14%	#	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	75%	11%	#	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	36%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	80%	#	0%	0%	0%		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III								
Number Tested	25	26	20	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	23	25	18	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	20	22	16	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	12	10	8	0	0	0		
Percentage of AGE Tested	69%	70%	59%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	64%	68%	53%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	56%	59%	47%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	33%	27%	24%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	85%	80%	0%	0%	0%		
Math	nematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)				
Number Tested		0	0		0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0		
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%		

(Form - K)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	36	37	34	3	5	6
Earth	Science (last	administered	l January 200	01)		
Number Tested	0	1		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2001)			
Number Tested	34	5		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	34	4		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	24	4		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	7	2		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	94%	14%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	94%	11%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	67%	11%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	5%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	80%		0%	0%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	26	21	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	23	20	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	16	18	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	8	4	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	72%	57%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	64%	54%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	44%	49%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	22%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	62%	86%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - L)

	All Students			Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	36	37	34	3	5	6
Ph	ysics (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)			
Number Tested	9	15	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	9	15	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	15	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	8	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	25%	41%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	25%	41%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	25%	41%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	8%	22%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Physical Se	tting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			18			0
Number Scoring 55–100			17			0
Number Scoring 65–100			14			0
Number Scoring 85–100			3			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			53%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			50%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			41%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			9%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			78%			0%
Physical S	Setting/Physic	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		
Number Tested			9			0
Number Scoring 55–100			8			0
Number Scoring 65–100			7			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			26%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			24%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			21%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			3%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			78%			0%

(Form - M)

### **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	16	100%	10	100%	9	100%
Students with Disabilities	4	#	3	#	2	#

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	30	#	#	#	#
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	33	3%	3%	61%	33%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	20	0%	10%	75%	15%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	33%	67%	0%
	All Students	26	0%	15%	73%	12%

(Form - N)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	31	31	3	3	34	34
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	2	2
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	18	12
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	12	17
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	0	0

# 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General-	Students	
	Education	with	All Students
	Students	Disabilities	THE STREET
Cohort Enrollment	25	3	28
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	16
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	12
Approved Alternatives	#	#	0

(Form - O)