## New York State District Report Card **Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code : 2	4-11-01-04-0000 Grade Range :					
Name : I	Dalton-Nunda Central School D	istrict (Keshequa)				
Superintendent: N	Ar. Neil Rochelle					
Fall Enrollment						
Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002			
Pre-K	0	0	0			
Kindergarten	79	72	76			
First	76	68	71			
Second	61	67	68			
Third	67	66	72			
Fourth	79	63	66			
Fifth	77	78	62			
Sixth	77	83	77			
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0			
Seventh	84	71	83			
Eighth	94	89	70			
Ninth	83	89	90			
Tenth	97	83	88			
Eleventh	76	81	71			
Twelfth	83	79	79			
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0			
Total K-12 Enrollment	1033	989	973			

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

8	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.4%	8	0.8%	11	1.1%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	7	0.7%	
Hispanic	6	0.6%	3	0.3%	7	0.7%	
White (Not Hispanic)	1021	98.8%	976	98.7%	948	97.4%	

## Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-2001		2001-	-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	16	14	14
Common Branch	18	17	19
English Grade 8	22	22	16
Mathematics Grade 8	22	20	17
Science Grade 8	22	21	16
Social Studies Grade 8	21	21	0
English Grade 10	22	19	27
Mathematics Grade 10	20	16	16
Science Grade 10	18	12	21
Social Studies Grade 10	27	16	0

(Form - A)

Grade Range :

24-11-01-04-0000 BEDS Code :

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000-2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.4%		94.0%		94.7%
Student Suspensions	94	9.2%	92	8.9%	83	8.4%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

## (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	21.4%	25.4%	20.9%
Reduced Lunch	8.9%	13.3%	10.3%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002				
Total Teachers	94				
Total Other Professional Staff	15				
Total Paraprofessionals	26				
Teaching out of Certification*	8				
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	1				
1					

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001-2002	2001-2002	
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	74	44	59%	53	29	55%	67	67	100%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	4	4	100%	
All Students	76	44	58%	53	29	55%	71	71	100%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	21	21	0	8	13	8
Percent	30%	30%	0%	11%	18%	11%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
4	4	4	8

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-2002	
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					7	2.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*					1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters					8	2.4%
Students	Dropped Out					0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	25	7.4%	14	4.2%	7	2.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.9%	4	1.2%	1	0.3%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	28	8.3%	18	5.4%	8	2.4%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	21	71%	0	0%	17	65%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	51	75%	60	77%	38	79%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
1 est	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1050	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	6	50%	13	92%	0	0%	
Science	6	100%	7	43%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	4	#	2	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

<b>I</b>	<u>ugents</u>	Exami				
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
		rehensive Eng	/			-
Number Tested	58	70	59	2	3	5
Number Scoring 55–100	56	70	58	#	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	38	67	57	#	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	3	20	28	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	98%	#	#	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	96%	97%	#	#	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	29%	47%	#	#	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered J	anuary 2002)		
Number Tested	75	93	14	4	21	0
Number Scoring 55–100	56	73	12	#	10	0
Number Scoring 65–100	50	60	10	#	10	0
Number Scoring 85–100	22	27	1	#	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	75%	78%	86%	#	48%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	65%	71%	#	48%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	29%	7%	#	10%	0%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	0	0	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
		t administere			0,0	070
Number Tested	<u>69</u>			7		
Number Scoring 55–100	58			4		
Number Scoring 65–100	49			1		
Number Scoring 85–100	19			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	84%			57%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%			14%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%			0%		
		raphy (first a	l dministered 1			
Number Tested		72	79	0	8	15
Number Scoring 55–100	0	72	64	0	7	11
Number Scoring 65–100	0	63	51	0	5	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	10	16	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	99%	81%	0%	88%	73%
	0%	88%	65%	0%	<u>88%</u> 62%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%		20%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	14%	20%	0%	0%	7%

(Form - F)

		All Students	5	Studen	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	53	0		2	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	49	0		#	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	43	0		#	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	12	0		#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	0%		#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	0%		#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	0%		#	0%		
	and Govern	ment (first ad	ministered Ju	une 2001)			
Number Tested		63	70		3	5	
Number Scoring 55–100		59	66		#	4	
Number Scoring 65–100		55	59		#	4	
Number Scoring 85–100		31	13		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		94%	94%		#	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		87%	84%		#	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		49%	19%		#	0%	
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	(001)			
Number Tested		70	70		6	11	
Number Scoring 55–100		70	68		6	10	
Number Scoring 65–100		69	66		6	8	
Number Scoring 85–100		15	23		0	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	97%		100%	91%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		99%	94%		100%	73%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		21%	33%		0%	18%	
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	Iministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		77	73		15	6	
Number Scoring 55–100		65	68		7	4	
Number Scoring 65–100		54	67		2	4	
Number Scoring 85–100		19	20		0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		84%	93%		47%	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		70%	92%		13%	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		25%	27%		0%	0%	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	84	90	70	80	81
2001	91	74	77	68	78
2002	89	88	67	78	81

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	22	16	10	6	14
2001	25	18	12	9	16
2002	24	24	10	8	17

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	hilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	81	78	81	14	16	17
Average Grade Enronment (AGE)		rehensive Fre		14	10	17
Number Tested	8	9	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	8	9	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	9	9	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	2	3	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	10%	12%	15%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	10%	12%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	10%	12%	11%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	2%	3%	4%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	75%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>		rehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb				r
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students		Stude	udents with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	81	78	81	14	16	17	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	20	19	27	0	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	20	18	26	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	18	17	26	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	6	3	13	0	#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	25%	24%	33%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	25%	23%	32%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	22%	22%	32%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	7%	4%	16%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	90%	89%	96%	0%	#	0%	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin	-			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	81	78	81	14	16	17	
······································	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	47	45	56	1	2	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	41	41	47	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	33	33	40	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	19	10	16	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	58%	58%	69%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	51%	53%	58%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	41%	42%	49%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	23%	13%	20%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	70%	73%	71%	#	#	#	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	29	31	16	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	27	28	14	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	26	26	14	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	15	13	5	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	36%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	33%	36%	17%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	32%	33%	17%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	17%	6%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	84%	88%	0%	0%	0%	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form – K)

		All Students		Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	81	78	81	14	16	17
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	72	0		10	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	59	0		5	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	47	0		3	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	11	0		1	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	89%	0%		71%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	73%	0%		36%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	58%	0%		21%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	14%	0%		7%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	65%	0%		30%	0%	
Bi	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	61	0		6	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	55	0		2	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	47	0		1	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	26	0		1	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	75%	0%		43%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	68%	0%		14%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	58%	0%		7%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	32%	0%		7%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	0%		17%	0%	
Che	emistry (last a	dministered	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	35	28	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	33	27	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	26	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	11	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	43%	36%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	41%	35%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	32%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	12%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	93%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students		Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	81	78	81	14	16	17
P	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	15	13	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	15	13	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	13	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	7	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	19%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	19%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	19%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	2%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			27			0
Number Scoring 55–100			23			0
Number Scoring 65–100			14			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			33%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			28%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			17%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			52%			0%
	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		•
Number Tested			6			0
Number Scoring 55–100			5			0
Number Scoring 65–100			3			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			7%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			6%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			4%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			1%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			50%			0%

(Form - M)

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000No. Tested% Passing		2000-2001		2001-2002	
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	60	98%	42	100%	0	0%
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	14	100%	0	0%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

			Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
		General-Education Students	52	2%	0%	56%	42%
N	ov 2001	Students with Disabilities	8	0%	0%	25%	75%
		All Students	60	2%	0%	52%	47%

Middle-Level Social Studies								
	Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3				
General-Education Students	52	0%	15%	77%				

11

63

0%

0%

36%

19%

64%

75%

(Form - N)

% at Level 4

8%

0%

6%

June 2002

Students with Disabilities

All Students

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Elementary Level								
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Middle Level						
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Secondary Lev	el					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	72	72	7	7	79	79
Number Scoring 55–64	8	7	2	0	10	7
Number Scoring 65–84	34	26	1	3	35	29
Number Scoring 85–100	19	30	0	0	19	30
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

## 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	58	9	67
Number Scoring 55–64	0	1	1
Number Scoring 65–84	39	3	42
Number Scoring 85–100	16	0	16
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)