## New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Principal: N	28-02-53-07-0006 Wellington C. Mepham High Sc Mr. John Didden	ngton C. Mepham High School				
Fall Enrollment		1				
Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002			
Pre-K	0	0	0			
Kindergarten	0	0	0			
First	0	0	0			
Second	0	0	0			
Third	0	0	0			
Fourth	0	0	0			
Fifth	0	0	0			
Sixth	0	0	0			
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0			
Seventh	0	0	0			
Eighth	0	0	0			
Ninth	319	297	330			
Tenth	311	314	286			
Eleventh	289	307	317			
Twelfth	293	276	317			
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0			
Total K-12 Enrollment	1212	1194	1250			

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	24	2.0%	36	3.0%	43	3.4%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	31	2.6%	34	2.8%	33	2.6%	
Hispanic	31	2.6%	33	2.8%	36	2.9%	
White (Not Hispanic)	1126	92.9%	1091	91.4%	1138	91.0%	

### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

#### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	25	23	23
Mathematics Grade 10	23	23	22
Science Grade 10	24	21	20
Social Studies Grade 10	25	23	25

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
52	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource
52	capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.9%		95.3%		94.9%
Student Suspensions	61	5.1%	72	5.9%	63	5.3%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	0.5%	0.7%	0.5%
Reduced Lunch	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%
Public Assistance	91-100%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	100%	100%	97%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002
Total Teachers	68
Total Other Professional Staff	18
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching out of Certification*	0
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

0	1999–2000				2000-2001			2001–2002	
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	272	208	76%	254	202	80%	281	243	86%
Students with Disabilities	17	4	24%	17	9	53%	25	7	28%
All Students	289	212	73%	271	211	78%	306	250	82%

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	182	96	0	1	26	1
Percent	59%	31%	0%	0%	8%	0%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
25	7	0	25

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001-2002	
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					48	3.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					48	3.8%
Students	Dropped Out					6	0.5%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					6	0.5%
All	Dropped Out	13	1.1%	12	1.0%	54	4.3%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.2%	5	0.4%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	16	1.3%	17	1.4%	54	4.3%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **<u>General-Education</u>** Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	20	0%	
Science	5	80%	3	#	9	11%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	9	78%	0	0%	6	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	19	63%	3	#	3	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001-	-2002
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	100%	4	#	4	#
Science	2	100%	8	75%	7	29%
Reading	1	100%	0	0%	1	#
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	6	33%	3	#	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	25%	7	43%	4	#

(Form - E)

-	Acgents						
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
		rehensive Eng				1	
Number Tested	303	317	303	25	41	25	
Number Scoring 55–100	297	317	301	21	41	23	
Number Scoring 65–100	281	293	295	16	26	21	
Number Scoring 85–100	50	124	172	1	1	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	99%	84%	100%	92%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	92%	97%	64%	63%	84%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	39%	57%	4%	2%	4%	
Sequential Mat	thematics, Co	urse I (last ad	Iministered J	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	246	68	32	37	22	12	
Number Scoring 55–100	225	61	28	32	18	8	
Number Scoring 65–100	205	47	23	20	10	6	
Number Scoring 85–100	94	10	4	7	2	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	90%	88%	86%	82%	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	69%	72%	54%	45%	50%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	15%	12%	19%	9%	17%	
		athematics A					
Number Tested	0	0	306	0	0	21	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	282	0	0	17	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	269	0	0	12	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	113	0	0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	92%	0%	0%	81%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	57%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	37%	0%	0%	5%	
	al Studies (las	t administere					
Number Tested	15		ľ í	6			
Number Scoring 55–100	12			3			
Number Scoring 65–100	12			3			
Number Scoring 85–100	1			1			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%			50%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%			50%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	7%			17%			
	ory and Geog	ranhy (first a	dministered .				
Number Tested	306	313	284	28	28	17	
Number Scoring 55–100	300	309	281	25	26	16	
Number Scoring 65–100	285	305	277	16	23	16	
Number Scoring 85–100	148	151	107	3	0	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	99%	89%	93%	94%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	97%	98%	57%	82%	94%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	48%	38%	11%	0%	12%	

(Form - F)

	All Students			Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)		•	
Number Tested	333	37		25	8		
Number Scoring 55–100	306	35		20	7		
Number Scoring 65–100	270	30		14	4		
Number Scoring 85–100	76	4		0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	92%	95%		80%	88%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	81%	81%		56%	50%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	23%	11%		0%	0%		
U.S. History	and Govern	ment (first ad	Iministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		298	310		20	30	
Number Scoring 55–100		283	305		12	28	
Number Scoring 65–100		269	294		9	25	
Number Scoring 85–100		132	118		0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		95%	98%		60%	93%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		90%	95%		45%	83%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		44%	38%		0%	3%	
Living	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)			
Number Tested		265	278		21	16	
Number Scoring 55–100		264	278		21	16	
Number Scoring 65–100		261	277		20	16	
Number Scoring 85–100		82	111		0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		100%	100%		100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		98%	100%		95%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		31%	40%		0%	6%	
Physical Set	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		226	255		18	31	
Number Scoring 55–100		210	250		13	30	
Number Scoring 65–100		199	241		11	26	
Number Scoring 85–100		61	72		3	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		93%	98%		72%	97%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		88%	95%		61%	84%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		27%	28%		17%	6%	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	314	308	287	280	297
2001	291	315	298	268	293
2002	317	284	303	289	298

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	29	29	21	17	24
2001	14	26	26	19	21
2002	29	16	25	25	24

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2000	2001	2002		<b>2000 2001 2002</b>		
Average Crede Enrellment (ACE)	2000	2001	298	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)		rehensive Fre		24	21	24	
Number Tested	16	27	9	0	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	16	27	9	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	16	27	8	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	11	15	6	0	#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	5%	9%	3%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	5%	9%	3%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	5%	9%	3%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	5%	2%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	89%	0%	#	0%	
		rehensive Ita		070		0,0	
Number Tested	37	39	40	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	36	39	40	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	36	39	38	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	19	35	22	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	12%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	12%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	12%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	6%	12%	7%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	100%	95%	0%	0%	0%	
<u> </u>	Compr	ehensive Ger	man				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form – I)

	All Students			Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	297	293	298	24	21	24	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	189	173	184	2	4	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	184	173	183	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	183	173	182	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	95	151	141	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	64%	59%	62%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	62%	59%	61%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	62%	59%	61%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	32%	52%	47%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	100%	99%	#	#	#	
	Comp	orehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	297	293	298	24	21	24	
······	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	317	343	47	24	34	11	
Number Scoring 55–100	257	286	27	11	20	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	233	266	19	8	12	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	119	126	0	2	4	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	107%	117%	16%	100%	162%	46%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	87%	98%	9%	46%	95%	21%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	78%	91%	6%	33%	57%	17%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	40%	43%	0%	8%	19%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	78%	40%	33%	35%	36%	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	242	270	223	7	12	11	
Number Scoring 55–100	222	241	211	6	9	10	
Number Scoring 65–100	211	225	202	5	9	9	
Number Scoring 85–100	102	115	107	0	0	3	
Percentage of AGE Tested	81%	92%	75%	29%	57%	46%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	75%	82%	71%	25%	43%	42%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	71%	77%	68%	21%	43%	38%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	34%	39%	36%	0%	0%	12%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	83%	91%	71%	75%	82%	
Math	nematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form - K)

		All Students	5	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	297	293	298	24	21	24
Earth	Science (last	administere	d January 200	01)		
Number Tested	0	38		0	15	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	24		0	10	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	8		0	5	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	13%		0%	71%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	8%		0%	48%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	3%		0%	24%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	21%		0%	33%	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)		•	
Number Tested	324	29		35	8	
Number Scoring 55–100	301	24		22	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	263	16		10	3	
Number Scoring 85–100	118	0		3	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	109%	10%		146%	38%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	101%	8%		92%	24%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	89%	5%		42%	14%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	40%	0%		12%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	55%		29%	38%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered	January 2002	2)	•	
Number Tested	249	261	0	6	7	0
Number Scoring 55–100	241	232	0	5	6	0
Number Scoring 65–100	219	204	0	5	5	0
Number Scoring 85–100	73	90	0	0	1	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	84%	89%	0%	25%	33%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	81%	79%	0%	21%	29%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	74%	70%	0%	21%	24%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	25%	31%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	78%	0%	83%	71%	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students		Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	297	293	298	24	21	24	
P	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)				
Number Tested	103	82	0	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	103	80	0	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	95	78	0	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	44	36	0	#	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	35%	28%	0%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	35%	27%	0%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	32%	27%	0%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	15%	12%	0%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	95%	0%	#	0%	0%	
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)			
Number Tested			213			6	
Number Scoring 55–100			210			6	
Number Scoring 65–100			180			4	
Number Scoring 85–100			28			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			71%			25%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			70%			25%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			60%			17%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			9%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			85%			67%	
Physical	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		-	
Number Tested			79			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			72			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			65			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			25			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			27%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			24%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			22%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			8%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			82%			0%	

(Form - M)

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001-2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	11	91%	22	100%	25	92%
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	10	100%	10	100%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999-2000, 2000-2001, and 2001-2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

<b>Middle-Level Social Studies</b>					
	Number	0/2 of	0/ at	Т	

• • ~

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - N)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	280	280	25	25	305	305
Number Scoring 55–64	2	7	7	4	9	11
Number Scoring 65–84	124	129	14	16	138	145
Number Scoring 85–100	145	131	3	1	148	132
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	283	25	308
Number Scoring 55–64	3	0	3
Number Scoring 65–84	145	21	166
Number Scoring 85–100	131	1	132
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)