# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code : 42-06-01-04-0000 Grade Range :

Name: Fabius-Pompey Central School District

Superintendent: Martin L. Swenson

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	70	60	65
First	61	67	65
Second	81	62	65
Third	72	84	59
Fourth	74	67	85
Fifth	75	76	66
Sixth	81	73	78
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	80	75	74
Eighth	88	80	74
Ninth	97	93	86
Tenth	72	76	79
Eleventh	56	73	68
Twelfth	49	50	54
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	956	936	918

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin							
	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	
Hispanic	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	
White (Not Hispanic)	952	99.6%	935	99.9%	913	99.5%	

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	18	15	16
Common Branch	19	19	18
English Grade 8	18	19	19
Mathematics Grade 8	19	15	16
Science Grade 8	21	15	16
Social Studies Grade 8	18	19	25
English Grade 10	13	25	17
Mathematics Grade 10	17	15	17
Science Grade 10	19	20	24
Social Studies Grade 10	19	16	15

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to
_	district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		95.9%		96.0%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	14	1.4%	29	3.0%	30	3.2%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	17.8%	12.9%	9.7%
Reduced Lunch	10.7%	8.8%	8.9%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	86
Total Other Professional Staff	11
Total Paraprofessionals	19
Teaching out of Certification*	3
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1	2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	47	25	53%	42	23	55%	52	31	60%
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	4	0	0%	4	0	0%
All Students	47	25	53%	46	23	50%	56	31	55%

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	27	24	0	1	0	4
Percent	48%	43%	0%	2%	0%	7%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2001–2002
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
4	0	1	5

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	-	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					7	2.4%
Education	Entered GED Program*					1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters					8	2.8%
Students	Dropped Out					2	0.7%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					2	0.7%
All	Dropped Out	3	1.1%	4	1.4%	9	3.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	7	2.6%	9	3.1%	1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	10	3.6%	13	4.5%	10	3.5%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	10	100%	11	100%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	13	100%	9	100%	13	100%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

(Form - D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	80%	0	0%	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	14	93%	9	100%	1	#
Science	13	62%	6	50%	5	80%
Reading	7	100%	9	100%	7	0%
Writing	6	100%	9	100%	7	100%
Global Studies	2	#	4	#	4	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	1	#	0	0%

(Form - E)

<u> </u>	Regents					
		All Students	5	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	51	60	64	5	8	8
Number Scoring 55–100	50	59	57	5	7	2
Number Scoring 65–100	43	53	56	2	2	1
Number Scoring 85–100	9	18	34	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	89%	100%	88%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	88%	88%	40%	25%	12%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	30%	53%	0%	0%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	lministered J	anuary 2002)		•
Number Tested	70	28	3	8	10	0
Number Scoring 55–100	64	18	#	5	5	0
Number Scoring 65–100	58	12	#	3	3	0
Number Scoring 85–100	34	3	#	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	64%	#	62%	50%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	43%	#	38%	30%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	11%	#	0%	10%	0%
		athematics A		, , , ,		
Number Tested	0	0	79	0	0	4
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	71	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	58	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	36	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	90%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	46%	0%	0%	#
	al Studies (las	t administere	d January 20			1
Number Tested	0			0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0			0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0			0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			0%		
<u> </u>	ory and Geogi	raphy (first a	dministered .	June 2000)		
Number Tested	67	74	78	8	9	5
Number Scoring 55–100	62	68	73	7	8	3
Number Scoring 65–100	55	60	62	5	3	1
Number Scoring 85–100	22	29	21	2	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	92%	94%	88%	89%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	81%	79%	62%	33%	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	39%	27%	25%	0%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students	S	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
U.S. History a	nd Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)		
Number Tested	54	1		6	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	51	#		4	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	49	#		3	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	15	#		1	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	#		67%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	#		50%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	#		17%	0%	
	and Govern	ment (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		60	67		7	8
Number Scoring 55–100		58	66		6	8
Number Scoring 65–100		53	61		3	6
Number Scoring 85–100		34	28		2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		97%	99%		86%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		88%	91%		43%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		57%	42%		29%	0%
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested		63	78		7	3
Number Scoring 55–100		62	77		6	#
Number Scoring 65–100		58	76		4	#
Number Scoring 85–100		16	28		0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		98%	99%		86%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		92%	97%		57%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		25%	36%		0%	#
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		68	61		5	1
Number Scoring 55–100		65	59		4	#
Number Scoring 65–100		62	52		4	#
Number Scoring 85–100		21	16		1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		96%	97%		80%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		91%	85%		80%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		31%	26%		20%	#

(Form - G)

## **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### **All Students**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	95	72	48	58	68
2001	89	70	61	48	67
2002	88	87	70	62	77

<sup>\*</sup>In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	13	11	5	8	9
2001	9	8	13	5	9
2002	5	6	9	5	6

<sup>\*</sup>In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

	All Students	3	Stude	ata with Dica	1. 21242
			Students with Disabilities		
2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
			9	9	6
		nch			T
	14	14		0	0
				0	0
				0	0
				0	0
					0%
					0%
13%	21%	18%	0%	0%	0%
6%		9%	0%	0%	0%
90%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Comp	rehensive Ita	lian			
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			I
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
	0%		0%		0%
					0%
					0%
					0%
					0%
	68 Compr 10 10 10 9 4 15% 15% 13% 6% 90% Compr 0 0 0 0 0 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	68 67  Comprehensive Free  10 14  10 14  9 14  4 12  15% 21%  15% 21%  15% 21%  6% 18%  90% 100%  Comprehensive Ita  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0 0  0	Comprehensive French           10         14         14           10         14         14           10         14         14           10         14         14           14         14         14           4         12         7           15%         21%         18%           13%         21%         18%           6%         18%         9%           90%         100%         100%           Comprehensive Italian         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0         0         0           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0%           0%         0%         0% <td>  Comprehensive French   10</td> <td>  Comprehensive French</td>	Comprehensive French   10	Comprehensive French

(Form - I)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	68	67	77	9	9	6
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	21	50	26	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	21	50	26	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	20	50	26	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	28	16	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	31%	75%	34%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	31%	75%	34%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	29%	75%	34%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	13%	42%	21%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	udents with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	68	67	77	9	9	6	
	Sequential M	<b>Iathematics</b> ,	Course II				
Number Tested	67	55	13	3	4	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	57	50	10	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	51	42	9	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	24	26	2	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	99%	82%	17%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	84%	75%	13%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	75%	63%	12%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	35%	39%	3%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	76%	69%	#	#	#	
•	Sequential M	athematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	40	56	53	0	1	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	24	40	41	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	16	38	40	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	16	23	0	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	59%	84%	69%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	35%	60%	53%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	24%	57%	52%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	7%	24%	30%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	40%	68%	75%	0%	#	#	
Matl	nematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form - K)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002		
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	68	67	77	9	9	6		
Earth Science (last administered January 2001)								
Number Tested	47	0		0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	42	0		0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	36	0		0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	18	0		0	0			
Percentage of AGE Tested	69%	0%		0%	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	62%	0%		0%	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	53%	0%		0%	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	26%	0%		0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	0%		0%	0%			
Bio	logy (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)					
Number Tested	57	1		2	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	57	#		#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	52	#		#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	11	#		#	0			
Percentage of AGE Tested	84%	#		#	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	84%	#		#	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	76%	#		#	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	16%	#		#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	#		#	0%			
Chei	nistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)				
Number Tested	37	51	6	0	1	1		
Number Scoring 55–100	33	49	6	0	#	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	26	37	3	0	#	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	4	14	0	0	#	#		
Percentage of AGE Tested	54%	76%	8%	0%	#	#		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	49%	73%	8%	0%	#	#		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	55%	4%	0%	#	#		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	6%	21%	0%	0%	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	73%	50%	0%	#	#		

(Form - L)

		All Students		Studer	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002			
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	68	67	77	9	9	6			
Physics (last administered January 2002)									
Number Tested	29	37	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	29	35	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	26	31	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	13	5	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of AGE Tested	43%	55%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	43%	52%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	46%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	84%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Physical So	etting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)					
Number Tested			45			1			
Number Scoring 55–100			40			#			
Number Scoring 65–100			28			#			
Number Scoring 85–100			5			#			
Percentage of AGE Tested			58%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			52%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			36%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			6%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			62%			#			
Physical	Setting/Physic	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)					
Number Tested			43			1			
Number Scoring 55–100			32			#			
Number Scoring 65–100			28			#			
Number Scoring 85–100			9			#			
Percentage of AGE Tested			56%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			42%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			36%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			12%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			65%			#			

(Form - M)

### **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	4	#	8	100%	9	100%
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	8	100%	4	#

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	58	0%	0%	43%	57%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	7	0%	14%	57%	29%
	All Students	65	0%	2%	45%	54%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	70	0%	21%	71%	7%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	9	0%	56%	44%	0%
	All Students	79	0%	25%	68%	6%

(Form - N)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
	Elementary Level								
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	53	53	6	6	59	59
Number Scoring 55–64	1	1	2	0	3	1
Number Scoring 65–84	28	18	2	5	30	23
Number Scoring 85–100	19	31	1	0	20	31
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

# 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	64	8	72
Number Scoring 55–64	3	2	5
Number Scoring 65–84	29	5	34
Number Scoring 85–100	26	0	26
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)