New York State District Report Card **Comprehensive Information Report**

Grade Range :

BEDS Code :	46-07-	01-04-0000			
Name :	Hannibal Central School District				
Superintendent:	Mr. Joseph L. Caruana				
Fall Enrollment					
Grade		1999–2000			
Pre-K		0			

Grade	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	142	118	132
First	136	155	115
Second	167	132	149
Third	138	163	142
Fourth	128	138	159
Fifth	139	131	139
Sixth	141	137	122
Ungraded Elementary	0	20	17
Seventh	141	153	156
Eighth	151	138	142
Ninth	142	160	144
Tenth	138	128	142
Eleventh	120	98	99
Twelfth	99	114	122
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1782	1785	1780

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	10	0.6%	12	0.7%	8	0.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	6	0.3%	8	0.4%	15	0.8%
Hispanic	8	0.4%	22	1.2%	27	1.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	1758	98.7%	1743	97.6%	1730	97.2%

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	23	20	22
Common Branch	21	21	21
English Grade 8	19	20	20
Mathematics Grade 8	22	22	26
Science Grade 8	25	20	23
Social Studies Grade 8	18	21	23
English Grade 10	19	20	20
Mathematics Grade 10	18	19	21
Science Grade 10	23	18	24
Social Studies Grade 10	20	20	23

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
4	district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of % of		No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.2%		94.6%		94.4%
Student Suspensions	75	4.2%	92	5.2%	62	3.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	30.5%	26.3%	26.7%
Reduced Lunch	14.0%	12.5%	14.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Stuff Counts						
Staff	2001-2002					
Total Teachers	129					
Total Other Professional Staff	20					
Total Paraprofessionals	29					
Teaching out of Certification*	0					
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0					

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	1999–2000			2000-2001			2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	72	32	44%	87	51	59%	86	43	50%
Students with Disabilities	12	1	8%	11	2	18%	8	0	0%
All Students	84	33	39%	98	53	54%	94	43	46%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	37	28	5	2	15	7
Percent	39%	30%	5%	2%	16%	7%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
8	0	9	17

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		1999-2000		2000-	-2001	2001-2002	
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					28	5.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*					12	2.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters					40	7.9%
Students	Dropped Out					7	1.4%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					7	1.4%
All	Dropped Out	10	2.0%	10	2.0%	35	6.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	10	2.0%	3	0.6%	12	2.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	20	4.0%	13	2.6%	47	9.3%

*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	7	57%	3	#	1	#	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	2	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	13	69%	3	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	32	81%	2	#	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	8	100%	4	#	3	#	
Science	4	#	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	7	100%	2	#	2	#	
Writing	3	#	1	#	1	#	
Global Studies	5	20%	4	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	80%	7	43%	1	#	

(Form - E)

	regents			•		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Compr	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	111	106	118	15	13	16
Number Scoring 55–100	104	102	106	13	10	13
Number Scoring 65–100	79	85	85	5	5	5
Number Scoring 85–100	4	19	23	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	94%	96%	90%	87%	77%	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	71%	80%	72%	33%	38%	31%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	4%	18%	19%	0%	0%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Cou	irse I (last ad	lministered J	anuary 2002)		•
Number Tested	69	5	1	6	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	61	5	#	5	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	57	5	#	5	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	27	1	#	3	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	100%	#	83%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	100%	#	83%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	20%	#	50%	0%	0%
5		athematics A				•
Number Tested	65	59	134	11	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	44	31	104	6	3	3
Number Scoring 65–100	18	13	88	3	3	2
Number Scoring 85–100	0	4	23	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	68%	53%	78%	55%	50%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	28%	22%	66%	27%	50%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	7%	17%	0%	17%	0%
	al Studies (las	t administere	d January 20			•
Number Tested	92		•	6		
Number Scoring 55–100	68			2		
Number Scoring 65–100	53			1		
Number Scoring 85–100	17			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	74%			33%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	58%			17%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%			0%		
	ry and Geogr	aphy (first a	dministered J	une 2000)		•
Number Tested	18	119	127	5	13	11
Number Scoring 55–100	18	118	125	5	13	11
Number Scoring 65–100	12	108	115	3	12	10
Number Scoring 85–100	1	32	24	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	91%	91%	60%	92%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	27%	19%	0%	8%	9%

(Form - F)

		All Students	5	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
U.S. History a	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)		
Number Tested	81	47		7	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	75	40		7	2	
Number Scoring 65–100	62	35		4	2	
Number Scoring 85–100	7	11		0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	93%	85%		100%	40%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	77%	74%		57%	40%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	9%	23%		0%	0%	
U.S. History	and Govern	ment (first ad	ministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		62	121		7	12
Number Scoring 55–100		55	111		5	12
Number Scoring 65–100		48	98		5	11
Number Scoring 85–100		20	32		0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		89%	92%		71%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		77%	81%		71%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		32%	26%		0%	17%
	Environment	t (first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested		23	96		2	2
Number Scoring 55–100		23	95		#	#
Number Scoring 65–100		20	91		#	#
Number Scoring 85–100		2	34		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		100%	99%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		87%	95%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		9%	35%		#	#
Physical Set	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		68	83		5	2
Number Scoring 55–100		67	70		5	#
Number Scoring 65–100		56	69		5	#
Number Scoring 85–100		21	38		0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		99%	84%		100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		82%	83%		100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		31%	46%		0%	#

(Form – G)

Average Grade Enrollment

All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	126	128	118	91	116
2001	152	125	97	112	122
2002	152	144	97	112	126

*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

Students with Disabilities

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	18	22	28	21	22
2001	22	17	20	23	21
2002	26	22	14	16	20

*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

-	<u>tegenes</u>	L'Ann				
	• • • • •	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	116	122	126	22	21	20
N. 1. (7) - 1		rehensive Fre		0	0	
Number Tested	13	17	11	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	12	17	11	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	12	17	11	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	10	4	0	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	11%	14%	9%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	10%	14%	9%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	10%	14%	9%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	5%	8%	3%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ita	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
C	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew		•	L
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	116	122	126	22	21	20
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	31	22	30	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	31	22	30	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	30	22	28	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	15	16	19	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	27%	18%	24%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	27%	18%	24%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	26%	18%	22%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	13%	13%	15%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	100%	93%	#	#	0%
	Comp	orehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	116	122	126	22	21	20
· · ·	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II			
Number Tested	65	57	2	2	4	0
Number Scoring 55–100	65	57	#	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	64	55	#	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	47	26	#	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	56%	47%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	56%	47%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	55%	45%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	41%	21%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	96%	#	#	#	0%
	Sequential M	lathematics, (Course III			
Number Tested	50	54	50	1	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	48	54	48	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	46	51	47	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	23	26	29	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	43%	44%	40%	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	41%	44%	38%	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	40%	42%	37%	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	20%	21%	23%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	94%	94%	#	#	#
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)		
Number Tested		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%

(Form – K)

		All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	116	122	126	22	21	20
Earth	Science (last	administere	d January 200	01)		
Number Tested	114	53		16	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	91	48		11	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	65	43		7	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	20	18		1	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	98%	43%		73%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	78%	39%		50%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	56%	35%		32%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	17%	15%		5%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	57%	81%		44%	0%	
Bi	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	65	61		1	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	62	60		#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	53	54		#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	15	11		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	56%	50%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	53%	49%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	46%	44%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	13%	9%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	89%		#	#	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered	January 2002			
Number Tested	51	40	48	1	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	50	39	45	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	46	33	38	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	12	7	#	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	44%	33%	38%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	43%	32%	36%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	40%	27%	30%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	10%	10%	6%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	82%	79%	#	0%	#

(Form - L)

04/09/2003

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	116	122	126	22	21	20
Р	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)			
Number Tested	12	17	22	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	12	17	21	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	12	17	18	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	10	11	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	10%	14%	17%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	10%	14%	17%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	10%	14%	14%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	5%	8%	9%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	82%	#	#	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			1			0
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			#			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			#			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			#			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%
Physical	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered Jun	e 2002)		
Number Tested			5			0
Number Scoring 55–100			1			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			4%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			1%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%

(Form – M)

04/09/2003

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	6	100%	34	100%	40	100%
Students with Disabilities	1	#	5	100%	7	100%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	123	3%	5%	77%	15%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	19	11%	11%	79%	0%
	All Students	142	4%	6%	77%	13%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	113	0%	25%	70%	5%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	19	0%	74%	26%	0%
	All Students	132	0%	32%	64%	5%

(Form - N)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Elementary Level								
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Middle Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Secondary Lev	el					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	98	98	18	18	116	116
Number Scoring 55–64	13	5	1	0	14	5
Number Scoring 65–84	61	47	6	7	67	54
Number Scoring 85–100	14	29	0	0	14	29
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	96	14	110
Number Scoring 55–64	9	4	13
Number Scoring 65–84	53	7	60
Number Scoring 85–100	24	1	25
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)