### New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

	51-21-01-04-0001 Morristown Central School Sam Lamacchia	Grade Range : PK-12		
Fall Enrollment	Sam Lamacenia			
Grade	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002	
Pre-K	0	0	23	
Kindergarten	36	36	26	
First	40	35	37	
Second	29	37	31	
Third	35	27	36	
Fourth	35	33	32	
Fifth	35	34	34	
Sixth	29	36	33	
Ungraded Elementary	22	0	0	
Seventh	30	32	42	
Eighth	38	33	28	
Ninth	30	37	31	
Tenth	20	27	36	
Eleventh	29	17	27	
Twelfth	24	26	21	
Ungraded Secondary	15	0	6	
Total K-12 Enrollmen	t 447	410	420	

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	1999-	-2000	2000–2001 2001-			-2002
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	4	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	6	1.3%	5	1.2%	8	1.9%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	1	0.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	441	98.7%	402	98.0%	407	96.9%

#### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000–2001		2001-	-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	18	18	13
Common Branch	17	17	16
English Grade 8	13	13	9
Mathematics Grade 8	13	13	9
Science Grade 8	17	13	12
Social Studies Grade 8	12	9	6
English Grade 10	6	14	11
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	7
Science Grade 10	11	15	16
Social Studies Grade 10	10	14	17

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group are elementary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
<b>Annual Attendance Rate</b>		95.3%		96.0%		96.0%
Student Suspensions	16	3.9%	18	4.0%	22	5.4%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	43.2%	38.3%	42.1%
Reduced Lunch	13.7%	14.6%	19.1%
Public Assistance	51-60%	51-60%	41-50%
Student Stability	100%	92%	95%

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002
Total Teachers	38
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching out of Certification*	3
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1	2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	20	11	55%	26	13	50%	16	9	56%
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	0	0%
All Students	24	11	46%	26	13	50%	17	9	53%

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	5	10	1	0	1	0
Percent	29%	59%	6%	0%	6%	0%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
1	0	0	1

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001-2002	
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					2	1.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*					1	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters					3	2.5%
Students	Dropped Out					1	0.8%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					1	0.8%
All	Dropped Out	3	2.7%	7	6.5%	3	2.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	9	8.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	12	10.7%	7	6.5%	4	3.4%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	18	72%	7	86%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	19	89%	20	100%	0	0%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	2	#	0	0%	

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1050	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001–2002		
I est	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Acgents						
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
	Comp	<u>rehensive Eng</u>	glish			•	
Number Tested	28	16	28	0	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	26	16	28	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	19	15	27	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	12	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	93%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	94%	96%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	56%	43%	0%	#	0%	
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	lministered Ja	anuary 2002)	1		
Number Tested	32	31	3	0	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	29	27	#	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	28	19	#	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	16	8	#	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	87%	#	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	61%	#	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	26%	#	0%	#	0%	
		athematics A					
Number Tested	0	0	23	0	0	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	8	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	3	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	35%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	13%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	4%	0%	0%	#	
	al Studies (las	t administere	d January 20			•	
Number Tested	0			0			
Number Scoring 55–100	0			0			
Number Scoring 65–100	0			0			
Number Scoring 85–100	0			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			0%			
		ranhy (first a	dministered J				
Number Tested	21	27	28	1	0	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	20	27	26	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	17	22	24	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	5	6	#	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	100%	93%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	81%	86%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	19%	21%	#	0%	#	

(Form – F)

		All Students	1	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	27	1		0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	25	#		0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	21	#		0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	13	#		0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	93%	#		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	78%	#		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	48%	#		0%	0%		
	and Govern	<u>ment (first ad</u>		une 2001)			
Number Tested		17	31		1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		17	29		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		14	25		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		6	12		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		100%	94%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		82%	81%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		35%	39%		#	0%	
	<u>Environment</u>	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)			
Number Tested		33	25		2	2	
Number Scoring 55–100		32	24		#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100		28	23		#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100		4	2		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		97%	96%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		85%	92%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		12%	8%		#	#	
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		31	25		0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100		31	25		0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100		25	24		0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100		5	10		0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		81%	96%		0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		16%	40%		0%	#	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	29	21	28	25	26
2001	37	28	19	26	28
2002	34	31	31	22	30

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	0	1	0	5	2
2001	2	0	1	0	1
2002	3	6	0	3	3

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	hilitics
	2000	1	2002			
America Crista Ennellini ent (ACE)	2000	<b>2001</b> 28	30	<b>2000</b> 2	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)		28 rehensive Fre		2	1	3
Number Tested	6	6	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	6	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	6	5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	1	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	23%	21%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	23%	21%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	19%	21%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	100%	83%	0%	0%	0%
refeelinge of rested Scoring 05 100		rehensive Ital		070	070	070
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew	-	•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students	5	Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	26	28	30	2	1	3	
· · · ·	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	-			
Number Tested	6	14	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	6	14	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	5	14	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	7	6	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	23%	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	23%	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	19%	50%	40%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	25%	20%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	orehensive La	tin	-			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	26	28	30	2	1	3	
	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	20	30	26	1	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	14	22	19	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	12	19	16	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	4	4	2	#	0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	77%	107%	87%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	54%	79%	63%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	46%	68%	53%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	15%	14%	7%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	60%	63%	62%	#	0%	#	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	12	9	23	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	9	7	16	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	7	3	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	2	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	46%	32%	77%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	35%	25%	53%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	27%	11%	40%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	4%	7%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	58%	33%	52%	0%	0%	0%	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form – K)

		All Students	5	Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	26	28	30	2	1	3
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	20	2		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	18	#		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	15	#		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	#		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	77%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	69%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	58%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	8%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	#		0%	0%	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	27	5		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	24	4		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	17	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	104%	18%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	92%	14%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	65%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	63%	0%		0%	0%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	13	9	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	12	8	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	10	7	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	2	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	50%	32%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	46%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	7%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	78%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	26	28	30	2	1	3
P	hysics (last ad	lministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	3	7	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	7	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	7	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	3	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	#	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	#	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	#	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	#	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			16			0
Number Scoring 55–100			11			0
Number Scoring 65–100			5			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			53%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			37%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			17%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			31%			0%
	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)		
Number Tested			7			0
Number Scoring 55–100			5			0
Number Scoring 65–100			4			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			23%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			17%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			13%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			3%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			57%			0%

(Form - M)

### **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000No. Tested% Passing		2000-2001		2001–2002	
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	15	100%	13	100%	7	86%
Students with Disabilities	1	#	2	#	0	0%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	16	#	#	#	#
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	1	#	#	#	#
	All Students	17	0%	6%	59%	35%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	16	0%	6%	81%	13%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	5	0%	100%	0%	0%
	All Students	21	0%	29%	62%	10%

(Form - N)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level	ļ						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All St	udents
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	16	16	1	1	17	17
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	3	3
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	10	7
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	4	6
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	0	0

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	30	0	30
Number Scoring 55–64	2	0	2
Number Scoring 65–84	21	0	21
Number Scoring 85–100	5	0	5
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)