## New York State District Report Card **Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code : 57-06-03-04-0000 Grade Range :

Name :

Superintendent: Fall Enrollmont

Campbell-Savona Central School District Scott E. Layton

Grade	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001-2002
Pre-K	12	0	30
Kindergarten	95	99	87
First	112	87	104
Second	84	103	82
Third	92	90	103
Fourth	89	94	96
Fifth	97	88	86
Sixth	96	101	104
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	95	101	99
Eighth	77	91	100
Ninth	95	84	89
Tenth	95	91	86
Eleventh	94	75	95
Twelfth	82	74	77
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1203	1178	1208

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001-2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	0.7%	10	0.8%	11	0.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	10	0.8%	8	0.7%	11	0.9%
Hispanic	8	0.7%	4	0.3%	1	0.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	1176	97.8%	1156	98.1%	1185	98.1%

### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	1999–2000 2000–2001		-2001	2001–2002	
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	16	17	15
Common Branch	21	22	22
English Grade 8	19	23	18
Mathematics Grade 8	17	17	20
Science Grade 8	19	23	20
Social Studies Grade 8	19	23	20
English Grade 10	23	23	20
Mathematics Grade 10	18	25	17
Science Grade 10	18	18	13
Social Studies Grade 10	24	22	25

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
4	district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	1998–1999		1999-	1999–2000		-2001
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.8%		95.0%		95.6%
Student Suspensions	34	2.8%	23	1.9%	69	5.9%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	26.9%	24.8%	25.6%
Reduced Lunch	8.7%	11.5%	13.1%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002				
Total Teachers	94				
Total Other Professional Staff	15				
Total Paraprofessionals	26				
Teaching out of Certification*	4				
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	66	24	36%	60	34	57%	70	37	53%	
Students with Disabilities	11	1	9%	11	0	0%	3	0	0%	
All Students	77	25	32%	71	34	48%	73	37	51%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	20	31	1	9	7	5
Percent	27%	42%	1%	12%	10%	7%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
3	0	2	5

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					7	2.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*					7	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					14	4.0%
Students	Dropped Out					2	0.6%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					2	0.6%
All	Dropped Out	24	6.6%	14	4.3%	9	2.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.5%	5	1.5%	7	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	26	7.1%	19	5.9%	16	4.6%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	62	79%	50	78%	15	100%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	5	60%	14	50%	0	0%	

(Form - D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **<u>General-Education</u>** Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1050	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	4	#	11	100%	
Science	4	#	1	#	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	5	100%	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	3	#	
Global Studies	3	#	0	0%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	55	87%	3	#	1	#	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001-	-2002
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	12	67%	8	75%	8	75%
Science	8	88%	12	58%	3	#
Reading	15	93%	0	0%	6	100%
Writing	11	100%	11	100%	6	100%
Global Studies	6	33%	2	#	3	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	12	67%	3	#	2	#

(Form - E)

	Aegents			~		
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
		ehensive Eng	-	•	1	1
Number Tested	85	78	107	10	18	6
Number Scoring 55–100	83	71	102	9	12	5
Number Scoring 65–100	71	60	77	7	8	2
Number Scoring 85–100	11	7	18	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	98%	91%	95%	90%	67%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	77%	72%	70%	44%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	9%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	Iministered J	anuary 2002)		
Number Tested	144	41	5	33	17	0
Number Scoring 55–100	117	32	1	23	10	0
Number Scoring 65–100	96	19	1	17	6	0
Number Scoring 85–100	39	1	0	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	78%	20%	70%	59%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	46%	20%	52%	35%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	2%	0%	0%	6%	0%
	M	athematics A		•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	67	0	0	7
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	61	0	0	6
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	49	0	0	3
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	30	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	45%	0%	0%	14%
	al Studies (las	t administere	d January 20	00)	•	
Number Tested	6			2		
Number Scoring 55–100	3			#		
Number Scoring 65–100	1			#		
Number Scoring 85–100	0			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	50%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	17%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			#		
	ry and Geogr	aphy (first a	dministered J	June 2000)		
Number Tested	77	90	80	17	16	9
Number Scoring 55–100	68	86	78	14	14	7
Number Scoring 65–100	60	77	69	9	13	7
Number Scoring 85–100	23	24	26	1	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	96%	97%	82%	88%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	86%	86%	53%	81%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	27%	33%	6%	12%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students	5	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History :	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	80	9		10	1		
Number Scoring 55–100	66	8		7	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	53	4		4	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	20	1		1	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	82%	89%		70%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	66%	44%		40%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	11%		10%	#		
U.S. History	and Govern	ment (first ad	ministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		73	98		17	6	
Number Scoring 55–100		58	91		12	5	
Number Scoring 65–100		49	83		8	4	
Number Scoring 85–100		21	16		2	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		79%	93%		71%	83%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		67%	85%		47%	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		29%	16%		12%	0%	
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)			
Number Tested		67	70		8	8	
Number Scoring 55–100		67	69		8	7	
Number Scoring 65–100		62	68		6	7	
Number Scoring 85–100		20	25		0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	99%		100%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		93%	97%		75%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		30%	36%		0%	0%	
Physical Set	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		66	77		23	9	
Number Scoring 55–100		56	72		15	8	
Number Scoring 65–100		45	65		6	7	
Number Scoring 85–100		9	14		1	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		85%	94%		65%	89%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		68%	84%		26%	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		14%	18%		4%	0%	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	85	88	100	86	90
2001	88	87	75	76	82
2002	91	83	87	72	83

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	22	18	20	16	19
2001	33	17	17	14	20
2002	12	14	5	6	9

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	90	82	83	19	20	9	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	15	28	18	0	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	15	28	18	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	14	28	17	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	8	23	13	0	#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	17%	34%	22%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	17%	34%	22%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	16%	34%	20%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	9%	28%	16%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	100%	94%	0%	#	0%	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form – J)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	90	82	83	19	20	9	
	Sequential N	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	67	92	18	7	9	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	61	66	13	6	4	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	54	58	10	4	3	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	20	22	1	1	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	74%	112%	22%	37%	45%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	68%	80%	16%	32%	20%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	60%	71%	12%	21%	15%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	22%	27%	1%	5%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	63%	56%	57%	33%	0%	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	45	41	51	1	3	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	36	35	33	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	34	31	28	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	7	8	10	#	#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	50%	50%	61%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	40%	43%	40%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	38%	34%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	8%	10%	12%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	76%	55%	#	#	0%	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form – K)

		All Students	\$	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	90	82	83	19	20	9
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	3	6		1	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	#	3		#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	#	1		#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	#	7%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	#	4%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	#	1%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	#	0%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	17%		#	#	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2001)			
Number Tested	77	12		13	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	73	11		9	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	56	6		3	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	21	0		0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	86%	15%		68%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	81%	13%		47%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	62%	7%		16%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	23%	0%		0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	50%		23%	#	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	22	13	2	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	21	13	#	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	19	11	#	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	2	#	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	24%	16%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	23%	16%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	21%	13%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	1%	2%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	85%	#	0%	#	0%

(Form - L)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	90	82	83	19	20	9	
P	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)				
Number Tested	8	7	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	8	7	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	8	7	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	2	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	9%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	9%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	9%	9%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Physical S	etting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Jur	ne 2002)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	
Physical	Setting/Physic	cs (first admi	nistered June	2002)			
Number Tested			40			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			33			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			23			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			48%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			40%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			28%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			1%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			57%			0%	

(Form – M)

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001-2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	109	88%	61	100%	5	100%
Students with Disabilities	21	90%	24	96%	2	#

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999-2000, 2000-2001, and 2001-2002 school years.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

	•	Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	77	0%	0%	60%	40%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	19	5%	0%	79%	16%
	All Students	96	1%	0%	64%	35%

whate-Level Social Studies							
		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4	
	General-Education Students	82	0%	21%	74%	5%	
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	16	6%	50%	44%	0%	

1%

26%

69%

98

## Middle I aval Second Studies

(Form - N)

4%

All Students

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Elementary Level								
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Middle Level	ļ					
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Secondary Lev	el					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

## **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	lucation Students Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	
Cohort Enrollment	72	72	3	3	75	75	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	12	6	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	32	41	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	26	20	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	0	0	

## 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	79	5	84
Number Scoring 55–64	5	0	5
Number Scoring 65–84	42	2	44
Number Scoring 85–100	24	0	24
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)