### New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Grade Range :

Name : East Is	slip Union Free School Dis	strict	
	el J. Capozzi		
Fall Enrollment	-		
Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	104	113	134
Kindergarten	363	355	396
First	366	415	408
Second	447	378	412
Third	404	467	397
Fourth	419	409	460
Fifth	403	419	424
Sixth	401	413	419
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	382	416	429
Eighth	365	387	428
Ninth	361	386	406
Tenth	352	361	358
Eleventh	337	337	348
Twelfth	322	309	342
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	4922	5052	5227

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

BEDS Code :

58-05-03-03-0000

	1999-	-2000	-2000 2000–2001		2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	100	2.0%	94	1.9%	104	2.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	27	0.5%	30	0.6%	40	0.8%
Hispanic	179	3.6%	193	3.8%	168	3.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	4616	93.8%	4735	93.7%	4915	94.0%

### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
62	1.2%	80	1.5%	62	1.2%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	19	18	18
Common Branch	25	25	25
English Grade 8	20	22	22
Mathematics Grade 8	21	23	23
Science Grade 8	21	22	23
Social Studies Grade 8	21	23	23
English Grade 10	23	24	22
Mathematics Grade 10	24	21	23
Science Grade 10	24	23	22
Social Studies Grade 10	22	24	24

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to
	district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	1998–1999		1999-	1999-2000		-2001
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.2%		95.1%		95.5%
Student Suspensions	198	4.2%	143	2.9%	224	4.4%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	7.6%	5.4%	5.0%
Reduced Lunch	3.6%	3.3%	3.4%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002				
Total Teachers	381				
Total Other Professional Staff	50				
Total Paraprofessionals	82				
Teaching out of Certification*	9				
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	4				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	283	216	76%	246	199	81%	279	237	85%	
Students with Disabilities	14	3	21%	20	5	25%	21	7	33%	
All Students	297	219	74%	266	204	77%	300	244	81%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	169	106	0	4	18	3
Percent	56%	35%	0%	1%	6%	1%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
21	7	2	23

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					40	2.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					40	2.8%
Students	Dropped Out					3	0.2%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					3	0.2%
All	Dropped Out	104	7.6%	34	2.4%	43	3.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	104	7.6%	34	2.4%	43	3.0%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	51	98%	40	100%	50	96%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	86	97%	58	98%	105	99%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	169	98%	226	99%	237	91%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	2	#	4	#	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	7	86%	3	#	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	9	89%	20	75%	0	0%	

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	20	90%	0	0%	1	#	
Science	36	69%	3	#	1	#	
Reading	4	#	0	0%	3	#	
Writing	7	71%	1	#	2	#	
Global Studies	16	25%	6	67%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	66	73%	10	70%	2	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001-	-2002
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	23	78%	17	65%	12	50%
Science	27	81%	10	50%	5	60%
Reading	14	64%	1	#	6	100%
Writing	10	100%	0	0%	6	100%
Global Studies	7	29%	0	0%	10	70%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	8	62%	1	#	9	78%

(Form - E)

	Acgents			8		
		All Students			its with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Сотр	<u>ehensive</u> Eng				1
Number Tested	309	251	372	22	24	27
Number Scoring 55–100	298	245	358	19	20	20
Number Scoring 65–100	265	226	334	12	17	16
Number Scoring 85–100	29	105	173	0	0	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	96%	98%	96%	86%	83%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	86%	90%	90%	55%	71%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	42%	47%	0%	0%	19%
Sequential Mat	thematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered J	anuary 2002)		
Number Tested	439	146	53	34	13	10
Number Scoring 55–100	363	97	23	22	5	1
Number Scoring 65–100	331	67	16	13	3	0
Number Scoring 85–100	189	7	2	6	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	66%	43%	65%	38%	10%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	46%	30%	38%	23%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	5%	4%	18%	0%	0%
		athematics A	•			
Number Tested	0	0	356	0	0	14
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	310	0	0	9
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	277	0	0	8
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	143	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	87%	0%	0%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	78%	0%	0%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	40%	0%	0%	14%
	al Studies (las	t administere				
Number Tested	19			0		
Number Scoring 55–100	15			0		
Number Scoring 65–100	12			0		
Number Scoring 85–100	1			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	63%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%			0%		
	ory and Geogr	aphy (first a	dministered J	une 2000)		
Number Tested	329	363	361	22	25	22
Number Scoring 55–100	313	343	339	19	20	15
Number Scoring 65–100	295	322	315	16	17	10
Number Scoring 85–100	111	139	94	3	5	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	94%	86%	80%	68%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	89%	87%	73%	68%	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	38%	26%	14%	20%	9%

(Form - F)

		All Students	5	Studen	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a	and Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	329	24		23	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	305	17		17	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	269	13		15	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	72	0		3	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	93%	71%		74%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	82%	54%		65%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	22%	0%		13%	0%		
	and Govern		ministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		325	345		22	23	
Number Scoring 55–100		303	322		15	18	
Number Scoring 65–100		275	290		12	18	
Number Scoring 85–100		108	62		6	4	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		93%	93%		68%	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		85%	84%		55%	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		33%	18%		27%	17%	
	<u>Environment</u>	(first admini		2001)			
Number Tested		366	393		37	24	
Number Scoring 55–100		348	377		26	22	
Number Scoring 65–100		329	365		19	15	
Number Scoring 85–100		72	132		2	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		95%	96%		70%	92%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		90%	93%		51%	62%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		20%	34%		5%	8%	
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		344	386		11	15	
Number Scoring 55–100		333	321		8	9	
Number Scoring 65–100		317	295		8	6	
Number Scoring 85–100		134	138		4	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		97%	83%		73%	60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		92%	76%		73%	40%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		39%	36%		36%	13%	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	360	347	310	301	330
2001	382	356	330	297	341
2002	398	359	337	327	355

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	26	21	26	18	23
2001 2002	37 26	<u>22</u> 25	23	<u>30</u> 25	28 25

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

-	regents			<u><u> </u></u>	( )(I ])	
		All Students	1		nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	330	341	355	23	28	25
		rehensive Fre			<u>^</u>	
Number Tested	62	35	37	1	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	60	34	37	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	56	33	37	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	18	16	20	#	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	19%	10%	10%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	18%	10%	10%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	17%	10%	10%	#	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	5%	5%	6%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	94%	100%	#	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	46	53	89	2	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	46	53	83	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	43	51	78	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	20	21	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	14%	16%	25%	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	14%	16%	23%	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	13%	15%	22%	#	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	3%	6%	6%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	96%	88%	#	#	#
U	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
U	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew		L	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

04/09/2003

		All Students	5	Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	330	341	355	23	28	25	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	278	191	174	9	11	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	274	187	172	9	11	4	
Number Scoring 65–100	252	182	164	5	10	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	79	105	81	0	1	1	
Percentage of AGE Tested	84%	56%	49%	39%	39%	20%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	83%	55%	48%	39%	39%	16%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	76%	53%	46%	22%	36%	16%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	24%	31%	23%	0%	4%	4%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	95%	94%	56%	91%	80%	
	Comp	rehensive La	itin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	330	341	355	23	28	25	
	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	379	392	62	22	15	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	316	302	41	12	9	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	289	277	27	11	8	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	134	127	1	4	1	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	115%	115%	17%	96%	54%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	96%	89%	12%	52%	32%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	88%	81%	8%	48%	29%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	41%	37%	0%	17%	4%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	71%	44%	50%	53%	#	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	213	234	275	4	5	4	
Number Scoring 55–100	192	194	246	#	3	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	181	177	229	#	3	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	64	74	112	#	2	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	65%	69%	77%	#	18%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	58%	57%	69%	#	11%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	55%	52%	65%	#	11%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	19%	22%	32%	#	7%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	76%	83%	#	60%	#	
Matl	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)	•	•	
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form – K)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	330	341	355	23	28	25	
Earth	Science (last	administere	d January 20	01)			
Number Tested	0	47		0	1		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	40		0	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	24		0	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	3		0	#		
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	14%		0%	#		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	12%		0%	#		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	7%		0%	#		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	1%		0%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	51%		0%	#		
Bi	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)		•		
Number Tested	419	94		29	9		
Number Scoring 55–100	366	54		20	2		
Number Scoring 65–100	311	28		13	2		
Number Scoring 85–100	84	1		4	0		
Percentage of AGE Tested	127%	28%		126%	32%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	111%	16%		87%	7%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	94%	8%		57%	7%		
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	25%	0%		17%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	30%		45%	22%		
Che	mistry (last a	dministered	January 2002	2)			
Number Tested	187	225	27	1	5	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	185	212	26	#	4	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	158	190	21	#	3	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	52	58	0	#	0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	57%	66%	8%	#	18%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	56%	62%	7%	#	14%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	48%	56%	6%	#	11%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	16%	17%	0%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	84%	78%	#	60%	#	

(Form - L)

04/09/2003

	All Students			Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	330	341	355	23	28	25
P	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)			
Number Tested	78	57	0	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	77	57	0	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	72	55	0	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	36	19	0	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	24%	17%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	23%	17%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	22%	16%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	11%	6%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	92%	96%	0%	#	#	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Jui	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			196			2
Number Scoring 55–100			185			#
Number Scoring 65–100			152			#
Number Scoring 85–100			13			#
Percentage of AGE Tested			55%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			52%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			43%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			4%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			78%			#
Physical	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	2002)		
Number Tested			61			0
Number Scoring 55–100			54			0
Number Scoring 65–100			45			0
Number Scoring 85–100			9			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			17%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			15%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			13%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			3%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			74%			0%

(Form – M)

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001-2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	28	89%	54	100%	64	100%
Students with Disabilities	7	86%	5	100%	8	100%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	391	1%	1%	60%	38%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	29	3%	0%	66%	31%
	All Students	420	1%	1%	60%	38%

# Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	413	0%	13%	76%	11%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	413	0%	13%	76%	11%

(Form - N)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level	l						
English Language Arts	6	0	0	2	4	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	6	0	1	1	4	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	6	0	1	2	3	0			
Social Studies	6	0	1	3	2	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	1	0	#	#	#	#			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	rel						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	
Cohort Enrollment	297	297	25	25	322	322	
Number Scoring 55–64	3	4	4	3	7	7	
Number Scoring 65–84	186	175	15	11	201	186	
Number Scoring 85–100	104	106	4	5	108	111	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	306	28	334
Number Scoring 55–64	5	2	7
Number Scoring 65–84	189	13	202
Number Scoring 85–100	102	2	104
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)