New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code : 58-06-01-04-0000 Grade Range :

Name: Shoreham-Wading River Central School District

Superintendent: Robert W. Pellicone

Fall Enrollment

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	185	170	176
First	220	195	180
Second	184	239	202
Third	202	197	242
Fourth	189	208	198
Fifth	192	201	214
Sixth	195	201	204
Ungraded Elementary	4	0	0
Seventh	189	198	199
Eighth	177	192	201
Ninth	192	181	192
Tenth	175	197	229
Eleventh	185	179	197
Twelfth	180	188	179
Ungraded Secondary	14	6	8
Total K-12 Enrollment	2483	2552	2621

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	1999-	-2000	2000–2001		2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	53	2.1%	48	1.9%	52	2.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	20	0.8%	17	0.7%	21	0.8%
Hispanic	30	1.2%	17	0.7%	23	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	2380	95.9%	2470	96.8%	2525	96.3%

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
17	0.7%	15	0.6%	15	0.6%	

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	18	21	22
Common Branch	20	23	22
English Grade 8	22	24	22
Mathematics Grade 8	19	21	22
Science Grade 8	20	21	21
Social Studies Grade 8	22	24	24
English Grade 10	24	21	19
Mathematics Grade 10	20	0	23
Science Grade 10	20	15	13
Social Studies Grade 10	26	24	21

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.8%		94.0%		95.3%
Student Suspensions	98	4.2%	54	2.2%	59	2.3%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	0.9%	0.2%	0.4%
Reduced Lunch	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	201
Total Other Professional Staff	28
Total Paraprofessionals	72
Teaching out of Certification*	10
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	<u> </u>									
	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	139	110	79%	150	107	71%	155	123	79%	
Students with Disabilities	23	7	30%	17	4	24%	14	1	7%	
All Students	162	117	72%	167	111	66%	169	124	73%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	117	43	1	1	0	7
Percent	69%	25%	1%	1%	0%	4%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2001–2002
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
14	1	1	15

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		1999-	-2000	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out					1	0.1%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					1	0.1%
All	Dropped Out	3	0.4%	10	1.3%	1	0.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4	0.5%	10	1.3%	1	0.1%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	39	100%	25	100%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	116	97%	124	98%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	

(Form - D)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
1681	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	4	#	7	100%	
Science	0	0%	3	#	3	#	
Reading	1	#	2	#	0	0%	
Writing	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	7	0%	7	43%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	0%	17	53%	7	86%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	14	100%	4	#	5	60%	
Science	17	76%	8	88%	3	#	
Reading	5	100%	6	100%	6	17%	
Writing	6	83%	2	#	1	#	
Global Studies	7	0%	10	40%	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	9	0%	13	46%	10	90%	

(Form - E)

_	regents	LAumin	nations			
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	176	166	186	18	13	12
Number Scoring 55–100	168	162	177	16	11	10
Number Scoring 65–100	140	154	161	8	6	8
Number Scoring 85–100	24	52	69	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	98%	95%	89%	85%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	93%	87%	44%	46%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	31%	37%	0%	0%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)		
Number Tested	187	50	4	16	15	0
Number Scoring 55–100	168	35	#	7	10	0
Number Scoring 65–100	160	26	#	6	10	0
Number Scoring 85–100	95	2	#	4	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	70%	#	44%	67%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	52%	#	38%	67%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	4%	#	25%	13%	0%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	0	0	188	0	0	10
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	164	0	0	9
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	137	0	0	7
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	49	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	87%	0%	0%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	26%	0%	0%	20%
	al Studies (las	t administere				•
Number Tested	170			24		
Number Scoring 55–100	168			24		
Number Scoring 65–100	153			17		
Number Scoring 85–100	69			3		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%			100%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%			71%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%			12%		
	ry and Geogi	raphy (first ac	dministered J	une 2000)		
Number Tested	0	196	219	0	22	17
Number Scoring 55–100	0	193	212	0	21	14
Number Scoring 65–100	0	182	192	0	18	10
Number Scoring 85–100	0	72	55	0	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	97%	0%	95%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	93%	88%	0%	82%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	37%	25%	0%	5%	12%

(Form - F)

		All Students	<u> </u>	Studer	lents with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a	nd Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	141	16		24	1		
Number Scoring 55–100	128	14		20	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	113	13		14	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	32	2		1	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	88%		83%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	81%		58%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	12%		4%	#		
	and Governi		lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		185	175		15	13	
Number Scoring 55–100		168	164		7	9	
Number Scoring 65–100		156	142		4	6	
Number Scoring 85–100		80	53		2	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		91%	94%		47%	69%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		84%	81%		27%	46%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		43%	30%		13%	8%	
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	001)			
Number Tested		180	182		7	25	
Number Scoring 55–100		180	182		7	25	
Number Scoring 65–100		178	180		6	23	
Number Scoring 85–100		59	83		3	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		99%	99%		86%	92%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		33%	46%		43%	8%	
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		117	98		4	7	
Number Scoring 55–100		106	97		#	7	
Number Scoring 65–100		101	94		#	6	
Number Scoring 85–100		48	29		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		91%	99%		#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		86%	96%		#	86%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		41%	30%		#	0%	

(Form - G)

Average Grade Enrollment

All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	197	179	183	179	185
2001	183	198	179	182	186
2002	229	246	215	188	220

^{*}In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

Students with Disabilities

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	30	30	33	29	31
2001	25	25	21	23	24
2002	36	22	23	15	24

^{*}In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

	Regents					
		All Student		*	nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	185	186	220	31	24	24
		ehensive Fre	ench			
Number Tested	39	43	43	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	39	42	43	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	39	41	42	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	19	18	18	0	#	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	21%	23%	20%	0%	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	21%	23%	20%	0%	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	21%	22%	19%	0%	#	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	10%	10%	8%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	95%	98%	0%	#	#
	Comp	rehensive Ita	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Hel	orew	-		•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

		All Students	}	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	185	186	220	31	24	24	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	70	84	68	2	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	70	84	68	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	70	84	68	#	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	43	63	45	#	0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	38%	45%	31%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	38%	45%	31%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	45%	31%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	23%	34%	20%	#	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	#	
	Comp	rehensive La	ıtin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	185	186	220	31	24	24	
	Sequential N	Tathematics ,	Course II				
Number Tested	173	193	15	14	7	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	148	162	8	9	6	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	126	145	6	4	5	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	55	49	0	0	3	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	94%	104%	7%	45%	29%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	80%	87%	4%	29%	25%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	68%	78%	3%	13%	21%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	30%	26%	0%	0%	12%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	75%	40%	29%	71%	#	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (Course III				
Number Tested	111	127	123	3	1	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	99	120	116	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	92	112	108	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	56	67	58	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	60%	68%	56%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	54%	65%	53%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	50%	60%	49%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	30%	36%	26%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	88%	88%	#	#	#	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form - K)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	185	186	220	31	24	24
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	87	14		6	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	85	14		6	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	72	9		5	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	1		0	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	47%	8%		19%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	46%	8%		19%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	39%	5%		16%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	3%	1%		0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	64%		83%	#	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	160	10		13	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	151	8		9	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	142	5		8	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	58	0		3	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	86%	5%		42%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	82%	4%		29%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	77%	3%		26%	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	31%	0%		10%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	50%		62%	#	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	137	138	6	2	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	131	129	3	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	120	108	2	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	43	45	0	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	74%	74%	3%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	71%	69%	1%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	65%	58%	1%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	23%	24%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	78%	33%	#	#	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students		Studer	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002			
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	185	186	220	31	24	24			
Physics (last administered January 2002)									
Number Tested	51	91	7	0	2	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	49	90	5	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	47	74	4	0	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	16	17	0	0	#	0			
Percentage of AGE Tested	28%	49%	3%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	26%	48%	2%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	25%	40%	2%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	9%	9%	0%	0%	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	81%	57%	0%	#	0%			
	etting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)					
Number Tested			137			2			
Number Scoring 55–100			134			#			
Number Scoring 65–100			105			#			
Number Scoring 85–100			14			#			
Percentage of AGE Tested			62%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			61%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			48%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			6%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			77%			#			
	Setting/Physic	es (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)					
Number Tested			67			3			
Number Scoring 55–100			55			#			
Number Scoring 65–100			40			#			
Number Scoring 85–100			8			#			
Percentage of AGE Tested			30%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			25%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			18%			#			
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			4%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			60%			#			

(Form - M)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	18	11%	32	100%	45	84%
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	9	100%	16	88%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	191	0%	0%	70%	30%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	22	0%	5%	77%	18%
	All Students	213	0%	0%	71%	29%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	182	0%	7%	80%	14%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	17	6%	35%	59%	0%
	All Students	199	1%	9%	78%	13%

(Form - N)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Elementary Level								
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Middle Level								
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	2	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	2	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
		Secondary Lev	/el					
English Language Arts	3	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	3	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	3	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	3	0	#	#	#	#		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	170	170	17	17	187	187
Number Scoring 55–64	7	7	7	3	14	10
Number Scoring 65–84	74	63	5	1	79	64
Number Scoring 85–100	68	75	1	2	69	77
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	190	23	213
Number Scoring 55–64	2	0	2
Number Scoring 65–84	98	10	108
Number Scoring 85–100	74	4	78
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)