## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Grade Range :

Name : She	Shelter Island Union Free School District					
Superintendent: Mr.	Kenneth A. Lanier Sr.					
Fall Enrollment						
Grade	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002			
Pre-K	0	0	0			
Kindergarten	20	24	31			
First	24	17	25			
Second	26	22	16			
Third	22	25	24			
Fourth	22	21	26			
Fifth	18	21	22			
Sixth	18	21	19			
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0			
Seventh	27	17	21			
Eighth	19	28	17			
Ninth	10	21	27			
Tenth	21	9	22			
Eleventh	19	23	10			
Twelfth	23	20	25			
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0			
Total K-12 Enrollment	269	269	285			

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

BEDS Code :

58-07-01-02-0000

	1999-	-2000	2000–2001		2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	1.1%
Hispanic	3	1.1%	4	1.5%	2	0.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	266	98.9%	265	98.5%	280	98.2%

## Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	2000–2001		-2002
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.
6	2.2%	6	2.2%	7	2.5%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	0	24	16
Common Branch	22	21	22
English Grade 8	18	28	17
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	19	28	17
Social Studies Grade 8	19	28	17
English Grade 10	14	4	0
Mathematics Grade 10	18	0	7
Science Grade 10	18	7	21
Social Studies Grade 10	20	0	21

(Form - A)

### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.7%		93.1%		93.8%
Student Suspensions	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	3	1.1%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

## (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	7.8%	2.6%	2.8%
Reduced Lunch	3.0%	5.9%	6.7%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001-2002				
Total Teachers	33				
Total Other Professional Staff	4				
Total Paraprofessionals	8				
Teaching out of Certification*	1				
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0				
1					

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1		2001–2002	
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	21	16	76%	17	12	71%	17	13	76%
Students with Disabilities	2	1	50%	3	2	67%	3	0	0%
All Students	23	17	74%	20	14	70%	20	13	65%

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

### Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	17	2	0	0	1	0
Percent	85%	10%	0%	0%	5%	0%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
3	0	0	3

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					1	1.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					1	1.2%
Students	Dropped Out					0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*					0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.2%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	1.2%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	16	94%	23	96%	18	94%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	2	#	

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **<u>General-Education</u>** Students

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1051	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Science	6	100%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	1	#	1	#	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001-	-2002
I est	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Science	2	#	0	0%	2	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	0	0%	1	#

(Form - E)

	Regents						
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
	Сотри	ehensive Eng	glish		r	T	
Number Tested	10	25	11	1	4	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	10	25	11	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	10	24	8	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	7	4	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	100%	96%	73%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	28%	36%	#	#	#	
Sequential Ma	thematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	nuary 2002)		-	
Number Tested	15	21	6	2	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	15	21	5	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	15	21	4	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	10	13	2	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	83%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	67%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	62%	33%	#	#	0%	
		athematics A	5570			0,0	
Number Tested	0	5	2	0	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	5	#	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	4	#	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	#	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	#	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	80%	#	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	20%	#	0%	0%	#	
	al Studies (las				070	11	
Number Tested	18			2			
Number Scoring 55–100	18			#			
Number Scoring 65–100	17			#			
Number Scoring 85–100	9			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%			#			
	ory and Geogr		Iministered I				
Number Tested		13	25	0	3	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	13	23	0	#	4	
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	13	19	0	#	3	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	13	8	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	8 96%	0%	#	80%	
	0%	100%	96% 76%	0%	#	<u>80%</u> 60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100					#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	8%	32%	0%	#	0%	

(Form - F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
U.S. History a		ient (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)		
Number Tested	17	2		2	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	15	#		#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	12	#		#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	#		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	88%	#		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	71%	#		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	#		#	#	
	v and Govern	ment (first ad	ministered Ju	une 2001)		
Number Tested		21	15		3	2
Number Scoring 55–100		20	13		#	#
Number Scoring 65–100		20	11		#	#
Number Scoring 85–100		11	2		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100		95%	87%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		95%	73%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		52%	13%		#	#
Living	Environment	t (first admini	stered June 2	.001)		
Number Tested		20	25		4	2
Number Scoring 55–100		20	25		#	#
Number Scoring 65–100		20	25		#	#
Number Scoring 85–100		5	6		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		100%	100%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		25%	24%		#	#
Physical Set	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	Iministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested		8	24		2	4
Number Scoring 55–100		8	24		#	#
Number Scoring 65–100		4	21		#	#
Number Scoring 85–100		1	8		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	100%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		50%	88%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100		12%	33%		#	#

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	13	25	18	23	20
2001	24	16	25	24	22
2002	27	20	10	23	20

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	3	3	3	2	3
2001	4	4	3	3	4
2002	2	4	1	4	3

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students	;	Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	20	22	20	3	4	3	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	16	9	13	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	15	9	13	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	15	9	13	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	8	11	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	80%	41%	65%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	75%	41%	65%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	75%	41%	65%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	25%	36%	55%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	orehensive La	itin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	20	22	20	3	4	3	
	Sequential N	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	16	13	16	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	14	13	14	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	14	13	11	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	7	6	6	#	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	80%	59%	80%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	70%	59%	70%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	70%	59%	55%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	35%	27%	30%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	88%	100%	69%	#	0%	0%	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	11	13	10	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	10	13	9	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	8	12	9	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	4	4	5	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	55%	59%	50%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	50%	59%	45%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	40%	55%	45%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	20%	18%	25%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	92%	90%	0%	0%	0%	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form – K)

04/09/2003

		All Students	8	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	20	22	20	3	4	3
Eart	h Science (last	administere	d January 200	01)		
Number Tested	0	2		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#		0%	0%	
B	iology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	25	4		1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	22	#		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	19	#		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	3	#		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	125%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	110%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	95%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	15%	#		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	#		#	0%	
	emistry (last a	dministered	January 2002			
Number Tested	9	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	9	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	45%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	20	22	20	3	4	3	
P	hysics (last ad	lministered Ja	nuary 2002)				
Number Tested	0	11	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	11	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	11	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Physical S	etting/Chemi	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)			
Number Tested			6			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			6			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			4			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			30%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			30%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			20%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			67%			0%	
Physical	Setting/Physi	ics (first admi	nistered June	e 2002)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	

(Form – M)

04/09/2003

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-2001		2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	0	0%	10	100%	13	100%
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	2	#	0	0%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

	•	Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	18	#	#	#	#
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	4	#	#	#	#
	All Students	22	0%	0%	50%	50%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	16	#	#	#	#
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	1	#	#	#	#
	All Students	17	0%	6%	82%	12%

(Form - N)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students						
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	
		Elementary Lev	vel				
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Middle Level	ļ				
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Secondary Lev	el				
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students	
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't
Cohort Enrollment	19	19	3	3	22	22
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	2	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	12	11
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	6	9
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	0	0

## 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	9	1	10
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	3
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0
Approved Alternatives	#	#	0

(Form - O)