# **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code : 59-13-02-04-0000 Grade Range :

Name: Livingston Manor Central School District

Superintendent: Dr. Kenneth F. Gray

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Pre-K	20	18	15
Kindergarten	55	48	39
First	52	57	59
Second	52	57	58
Third	58	54	46
Fourth	53	55	47
Fifth	56	53	55
Sixth	53	54	57
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	58	58	50
Eighth	58	55	52
Ninth	64	68	67
Tenth	50	53	53
Eleventh	43	38	45
Twelfth	31	33	38
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	683	683	666

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Student Racial/Linnic Origin		-2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	10	1.5%	10	1.5%	14	2.1%
Black (Not Hispanic)	45	6.6%	61	8.9%	48	7.2%
Hispanic	68	10.0%	66	9.7%	74	11.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	560	82.0%	546	79.9%	530	79.6%

Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
5	0.7%	7	1.0%	7	1.0%	

Average Class Size

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	18	16	13
Common Branch	18	18	18
English Grade 8	12	19	17
Mathematics Grade 8	12	18	17
Science Grade 8	17	18	20
Social Studies Grade 8	17	20	17
English Grade 10	13	16	19
Mathematics Grade 10	0	1	0
Science Grade 10	15	20	18
Social Studies Grade 10	14	19	20

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
,	district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.2%		93.3%		93.4%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	69	10.2%	68	10.0%	71	10.4%

#### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	35.4%	19.2%	35.6%
Reduced Lunch	10.4%	10.1%	8.3%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	65
Total Other Professional Staff	12
Total Paraprofessionals	22
Teaching out of Certification*	5
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	1

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

			0 0						
	1999–2000				2000-2001	[	2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	25	15	60%	33	13	39%	25	13	52%
Students with Disabilities	6	1	17%	2	0	0%	6	0	0%
All Students	31	16	52%	35	13	37%	31	13	42%

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	13	11	0	2	4	1
Percent	42%	35%	0%	6%	13%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2001–2002
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
6	0	7	13

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	1999-2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					4	2.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*					3	1.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters					7	3.4%
Students	Dropped Out					1	0.5%
with	Entered GED Program*					1	0.5%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					2	1.0%
All	Dropped Out	2	1.1%	7	3.6%	5	2.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	16	8.5%	3	1.6%	4	2.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	18	9.6%	10	5.2%	9	4.4%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	39	85%	42	79%	55	80%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	1	#	5	40%	

(Form - D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1681	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Science	2	#	3	#	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	4	#	2	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	6	67%	3	#	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	6	83%	3	#	
Science	9	44%	6	83%	4	#	
Reading	4	#	7	100%	4	#	
Writing	5	60%	6	100%	5	80%	
Global Studies	8	38%	3	#	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	3	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	37	38	50	5	4	6
Number Scoring 55–100	33	32	45	1	#	2
Number Scoring 65–100	24	25	42	1	#	1
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	19	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	84%	90%	20%	#	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	66%	84%	20%	#	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	18%	38%	0%	#	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)	)	
Number Tested	13	9	6	1	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	11	5	3	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	7	5	3	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	3	1	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	56%	50%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	54%	56%	50%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	33%	17%	#	#	#
<u> </u>	M	athematics A	•			
Number Tested	0	41	64	0	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	33	49	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	23	39	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	9	9	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	80%	77%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	56%	61%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	22%	14%	0%	#	#
	l Studies (las	t administere	d January 20	00)		
Number Tested	40		1	3		
Number Scoring 55–100	33			#		
Number Scoring 65–100	28			#		
Number Scoring 85–100	15			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%			#		
	ry and Geogr	aphy (first a	dministered J	une 2000)		•
Number Tested	0	68	64	0	9	12
Number Scoring 55–100	0	61	61	0	9	9
Number Scoring 65–100	0	39	48	0	1	3
Number Scoring 85–100	0	12	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	90%	95%	0%	100%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	57%	75%	0%	11%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	18%	16%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students	S	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a	nd Governm	ent (last adm	inistered Jan	uary 2001)			
Number Tested	34	2		0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	31	#		0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	23	#		0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	4	#		0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	#		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	#		0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	#		0%	0%		
	and Governi	nent (first ad	ministered Ju	ıne 2001)			
Number Tested		36	49		1	7	
Number Scoring 55–100		32	43		#	6	
Number Scoring 65–100		25	31		#	1	
Number Scoring 85–100		15	11		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		89%	88%		#	86%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		69%	63%		#	14%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		42%	22%		#	0%	
	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	001)			
Number Tested		36	59		1	7	
Number Scoring 55–100		35	55		#	4	
Number Scoring 65–100		33	46		#	1	
Number Scoring 85–100		8	13		#	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		97%	93%		#	57%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		92%	78%		#	14%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		22%	22%		#	14%	
	ing/Earth Sci		lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		25	43		1	2	
Number Scoring 55–100		22	35		#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100		20	24		#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100		10	4		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		88%	81%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		80%	56%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		40%	9%		#	#	

(Form - G)

## **Average Grade Enrollment**

#### **All Students**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	64	50	36	40	48
2001	66	54	44	38	51
2002	59	53	45	40	49

<sup>\*</sup>In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	9	15	5	15	11
2001	8	7	14	3	8
2002	6	8	7	13	9

<sup>\*</sup>In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

		All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	48	51	49	11	8	9
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	22	52	35	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	22	52	35	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	22	49	35	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	25	22	0	0	#
Percentage of AGE Tested	46%	102%	71%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	46%	102%	71%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	46%	96%	71%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	17%	49%	45%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	94%	100%	0%	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - J)

		All Students		Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	48	51	49	11	8	9	
	Sequential M	<b>Iathematics</b> ,	Course II				
Number Tested	29	3	1	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	29	#	#	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	25	#	#	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	13	#	#	#	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	60%	#	#	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	60%	#	#	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	52%	#	#	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	27%	#	#	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	#	#	#	0%	0%	
	Sequential M	athematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	22	21	24	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	20	16	8	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	18	15	6	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	2	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	46%	41%	49%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	42%	31%	16%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	38%	29%	12%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	8%	14%	4%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	71%	25%	0%	0%	0%	
Mat	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form - K)

		All Students	<u> </u>	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	48	51	49	11	8	9
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	47	35		3	2	
Number Scoring 55–100	36	24		#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	26	17		#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	8	8		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	98%	69%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	75%	47%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	54%	33%		#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	17%	16%		#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	55%	49%		#	#	
Bio	logy (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	31	8		2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	29	6		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	24	5		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	2		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	65%	16%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	60%	12%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	50%	10%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	10%	4%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	62%		#	0%	
Chei	nistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	15	21	2	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	14	20	#	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	14	17	#	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	5	#	#	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	31%	41%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	29%	39%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	29%	33%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	10%	10%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	81%	#	#	0%	0%

(Form - L)

	All Students			Studer	nts with Disa	bilities	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	48	51	49	11	8	9	
Physics (last administered January 2002)							
Number Tested	11	11	14	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	10	9	14	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	9	9	14	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	1	4	#	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	23%	22%	29%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	21%	18%	29%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	19%	18%	29%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	4%	2%	8%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	82%	100%	#	0%	0%	
Physical S	etting/Chemis	try (first adı	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)			
Number Tested			24			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			21			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			17			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			49%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			43%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			35%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			4%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			71%			0%	
Physical	Setting/Physic	es (first admi	inistered June	2002)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of AGE Tested			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	

(Form - M)

### **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	37	97%	49	94%	63	98%
Students with Disabilities	4	#	7	86%	11	82%

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	51	#	#	#	#
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	54	0%	4%	61%	35%

#### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	46	0%	20%	65%	15%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	7	14%	43%	43%	0%
	All Students	53	2%	23%	62%	13%

(Form - N)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4		
Elementary Level								
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Middle Level							
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Secondary Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0		

1998 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	
Cohort Enrollment	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–84	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)