New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:01-03-06-06-0000Name:Bethlehem Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Leslie Loomis

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	320	313	316
First	363	370	364
Second	357	396	378
Third	359	376	407
Fourth	401	373	366
Fifth	379	398	387
Sixth	372	375	409
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	437	436	399
Eighth	408	409	442
Ninth	402	426	425
Tenth	365	391	379
Eleventh	414	358	346
Twelfth	384	413	395
Ungraded Secondary	4	0	9
Total K-12 Enrollment	4965	5034	5022

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	143	2.9%	146	2.9%	201	4.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	92	1.9%	89	1.8%	102	2.0%
Hispanic	54	1.1%	58	1.2%	69	1.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	4676	94.2%	4741	94.2%	4650	92.6%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	19	21	21
Common Branch	23	22	21
English Grade 8	23	21	22
Mathematics Grade 8	23	21	22
Science Grade 8	24	22	24
Social Studies Grade 8	24	21	24
English Grade 10	23	22	23
Mathematics Grade 10	20	18	17
Science Grade 10	19	19	20
Social Studies Grade 10	20	19	22

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	38	0.8%	49	1.0%	84	1.7%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	101	2.2%	79	1.7%	78	1.6%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.3%		95.6%		95.5%
Student Suspensions	149	3.1%	184	3.7%	135	2.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.2%	1.6%	1.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	360				
Total Other Professional Staff	43				
Total Paraprofessionals	108				
Teaching Out of Certification*	15				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

8	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	353	239	68%	378	230	61%	334	226	68%	
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	2	0	0%	
All Students	357	239	67%	380	230	61%	336	226	67%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	243	77	1	4	6	5
Percent	72%	23%	0%	1%	2%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
2	0	5	7

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	16		13		3	0.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	6		15		2	0.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	22		28		5	0.3%
Students	Dropped Out	2		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		2	2.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		1		2	2.3%
All	Dropped Out	18	1.1%	13	0.8%	3	0.2%
Students	Entered GED Program*	6	0.4%	16	1.0%	4	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	24	1.5%	29	1.8%	7	0.4%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	27	1482	1490
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	129	106	120
9–12	Number of All Students	156	1588	1610
	Percent of Enrollment	10%	100%	104%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Flogram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	293		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	293	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	293	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	293	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	293		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	293	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	1	5%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	102	100%	96	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	17	47%	274	98%	299	91%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	2	#	1	#	3	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Science	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	4	#
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	3	#
Global Studies	0	0%	5	0%	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	22	82%	1	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	6	83%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	5	80%	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	3	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	5	100%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	7	100%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				1
Number Tested	368	346	377	15	11	20
Number Scoring 55–100	360	334	366	12	8	14
Number Scoring 65–100	336	322	355	6	7	10
Number Scoring 85–100	225	197	258	0	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	97%	80%	73%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	93%	94%	40%	64%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	57%	68%	0%	18%	10%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	324	345	420	3	1	22
Number Scoring 55–100	315	337	414	#	#	18
Number Scoring 65–100	302	318	394	#	#	11
Number Scoring 85–100	198	166	272	#	#	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	99%	#	#	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	92%	94%	#	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	48%	65%	#	#	14%
UU	M	athematics B	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	244	264	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	234	254	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	216	236	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	97	132	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	96%	96%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	89%	89%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	40%	50%	0%	#	0%
		story and Geo		• • •		
Number Tested	344	382	417	8	9	16
Number Scoring 55–100	339	377	413	8	9	14
Number Scoring 65–100	329	372	402	7	9	11
Number Scoring 85–100	189	268	269	0	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	100%	100%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	97%	96%	88%	100%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	70%	65%	0%	11%	19%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	367	331	377	17	6	20
Number Scoring 55–100	364	330	377	15	5	20
Number Scoring 65–100	339	326	365	8	5	16
Number Scoring 85–100	216	232	295	0	1	7
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	88%	83%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	98%	97%	47%	83%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	59%	70%	78%	0%	17%	35%
referringe of rested Scotting 05-100	5770	/0/0	7070	070	1//0	(Form

(Form - F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students	-	Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme				
Number Tested	320	368	402	12	10	19
Number Scoring 55–100	317	365	400	10	9	18
Number Scoring 65–100	316	359	397	10	8	16
Number Scoring 85–100	197	222	251	0	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	100%	83%	90%	95%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	99%	83%	80%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	60%	62%	0%	10%	16%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	319	325	342	5	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	313	318	337	4	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	308	314	329	4	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	194	208	187	1	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	99%	80%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	97%	96%	80%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	64%	55%	20%	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	482	220	240	1	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	478	219	238	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	445	207	220	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	179	74	85	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	92%	94%	92%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	34%	35%	#	#	#
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			132			0
Number Scoring 55–100			130			0
Number Scoring 65–100			125			0
Number Scoring 85–100			63			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			98%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			95%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			48%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents	All Students			nts with Disa	hilities
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
		ehensive Fre		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	57	51	50	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	57	51	50	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	56	51	50	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	29	39	45	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	76%	90%	0%	0%	0%
Tereentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		rehensive Ital		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tercentage of Tested Scotling 85–100		ehensive Ger		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tereentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		ehensive Heb		070	070	070
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Tereentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		ehensive Spa		070	070	070
Number Tested	145	159	153	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	145	159	153	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	145	159	153	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	143	139	133	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	88%	87%	88%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La		070	070	070
Number Tested	13	0	21	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	13	0	21	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	13	0	21	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	0	16	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	85%	0%	76%	0%	0%	#
	0070	070	,0/0	070	070	(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)	
Number Tested	249	25	0	3	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	236	23	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	234	19	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	150	6	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	92%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	94%	76%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	60%	24%	0%	#	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested % Passin		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
39	92%	43	93%	19	100%
3	#	3	#	5	60%
		No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	342	2%	1%	45%	51%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	45	13%	11%	56%	20%
	All Students	387	3%	3%	47%	48%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	351	0%	17%	54%	29%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	42	10%	50%	36%	5%
	All Students	393	1%	21%	52%	27%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	343	343	343	7	7	7	350	350	350
Number Scoring 55–64	8	4	3	0	0	0	8	4	3
Number Scoring 65–84	125	86	97	0	2	2	125	88	99
Number Scoring 85–100	187	231	227	0	0	0	187	231	227
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			14			0
Beginning (0–18)			1			0
Intermediate (19–31)			6			0
Advanced (32–36)			3			0
Proficient (37–39)			4			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)		•
Number Tested			14			0
Beginning (0–14)			2			0
Intermediate (15–24)			9			0
Advanced (25–32)			1			0
Proficient (33–35)			2			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			21			1
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			2			#
Advanced (32–36)			7			#
Proficient (37–39)			12			#
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			21			1
Beginning (0–14)			1			#
Intermediate (15–24)			4			#
Advanced (25–32)			12			#
Proficient (33–35)			4			#
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–0	6)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	8)	I		
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	

37 arly Ctata E 1.1 C лı ۸ al.: 4 **T** AT ANOLOL AT *

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)