# New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:04-23-02-04-0000Name:Cattaraugus-Little Valley Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Louis C. Mcintosh

### Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	100	59	85
First	84	91	82
Second	86	85	82
Third	83	87	83
Fourth	95	77	91
Fifth	77	86	77
Sixth	107	80	91
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	94	106	84
Eighth	91	98	99
Ninth	110	98	96
Tenth	112	104	91
Eleventh	110	109	91
Twelfth	93	115	91
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1242	1195	1143

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2002–03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	14	1.1%	11	0.9%	11	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	8	0.6%	9	0.8%	10	0.9%
Hispanic	6	0.5%	11	0.9%	7	0.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	1214	97.7%	1164	97.4%	1115	97.6%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	16	17	18
Common Branch	17	16	19
English Grade 8	17	15	19
Mathematics Grade 8	20	19	17
Science Grade 8	18	18	20
Social Studies Grade 8	18	18	20
English Grade 10	19	16	18
Mathematics Grade 10	25	13	18
Science Grade 10	19	20	16
Social Studies Grade 10	19	24	21

(Form - A)

### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	264	21.3%	249	20.8%	241	21.1%	

### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.9%		95.7%		95.5%
Student Suspensions	27	2.2%	37	3.0%	38	3.2%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	14.3%	9.0%	11.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts				
Staff	2003-04			
Total Teachers	97			
Total Other Professional Staff	16			
Total Paraprofessionals	42			
Teaching Out of Certification*	3			

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

8	2001–02			<b>#</b>	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	75	37	49%	94	42	45%	74	32	43%	
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	3	0	0%	4	0	0%	
All Students	79	37	47%	97	42	43%	78	32	41%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	20	42	1	5	3	7
Percent	26%	54%	1%	6%	4%	9%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
4	0	4	8

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	10		12		2	0.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		14		11	3.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	13		26		13	4.0%
Students	Dropped Out	5		2		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		4		7	15.6%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	5		6		7	15.6%
All	Dropped Out	15	3.5%	14	3.3%	2	0.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.7%	18	4.2%	18	4.9%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	18	4.2%	32	7.5%	20	5.4%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	49%
2–3	0%	14%	36%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	153
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	15
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	168
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	46	226
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	2	47
0-0	Number of All Students	0	48	273
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	17%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	185
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	40
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	225
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	61%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	78	81%	76	93%	89	80%	

## Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	6	67%	6	33%	2	#

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	1	#	0	0%
Science	1	#	1	#	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Global Studies	2	#	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	1	#

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	5	20%	7	86%	1	#	
Science	5	60%	11	55%	5	60%	
Reading	4	#	4	#	2	#	
Writing	6	83%	3	#	4	#	
Global Studies	4	#	7	29%	5	40%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	3	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				T
Number Tested	103	90	91	4	4	7
Number Scoring 55–100	100	86	86	#	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	94	83	80	#	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	50	33	34	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	95%	#	#	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	92%	88%	#	#	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	37%	37%	#	#	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	96	102	98	6	3	7
Number Scoring 55–100	52	84	97	2	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	37	67	93	0	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	14	13	23	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	54%	82%	99%	33%	#	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	39%	66%	95%	0%	#	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	13%	23%	0%	#	0%
	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>		-		
Number Tested	0	0	46	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	76%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	63%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	106	134	110	5	11	7
Number Scoring 55–100	89	111	91	4	6	4
Number Scoring 65–100	61	84	71	0	4	1
Number Scoring 85–100	16	20	21	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	84%	83%	83%	80%	55%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	58%	63%	65%	0%	36%	14%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	15%	19%	0%	9%	0%
<u> </u>	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment		•	
Number Tested	110	99	101	3	4	7
Number Scoring 55–100	98	90	91	#	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	83	69	81	#	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	20	25	39	#	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	91%	90%	#	#	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	70%	80%	#	#	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	25%	39%	#	#	14%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0	· · · · · · · · ·	
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		-		
Number Tested	91	94	79	5	7	4
Number Scoring 55–100	91	86	77	5	5	#
Number Scoring 65–100	84	80	71	2	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	31	25	15	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	91%	97%	100%	71%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	85%	90%	40%	43%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	27%	19%	0%	0%	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	156	114	105	11	9	8
Number Scoring 55–100	139	90	80	7	6	4
Number Scoring 65–100	108	67	51	3	2	3
Number Scoring 85–100	19	15	14	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	79%	76%	64%	67%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	59%	49%	27%	22%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	13%	13%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	44	36	44	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	38	31	43	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	30	25	38	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	86%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	69%	86%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	19%	14%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			28			0
Number Scoring 55–100			26			0
Number Scoring 65–100			18			0
Number Scoring 85–100			6			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			93%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			64%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			21%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents						
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
		rehensive Fre			<u> </u>		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		rehensive Ita			-	-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Ger		1	1		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Heb		1	1	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Spa	nish		•	•	
Number Tested	47	46	40	0	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	47	45	39	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	45	44	38	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	16	15	19	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	97%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	96%	95%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	33%	47%	0%	0%	#	
	Comp	orehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
						(Form –	

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04			
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	65	43	2	1	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	46	28	#	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	44	23	#	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	15	17	#	#	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	65%	#	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	53%	#	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	40%	#	#	0%	0%			

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
107	100%	32	84%	47	89%
12	100%	5	80%	13	54%
	No. Tested	107 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   107 100% 32	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   107 100% 32 84%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   107 100% 32 84% 47

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	64	2%	5%	63%	31%
	Students with Disabilities	10	20%	30%	50%	0%
	All Students	74	4%	8%	61%	27%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	89	3%	54%	38%	4%
	Students with Disabilities	8	13%	75%	13%	0%
	All Students	97	4%	56%	36%	4%

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	Not Tested Level 1 Leve		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	1	1	#	#	#	#				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	82	82	82	6	6	6	88	88	88
Number Scoring 55–64	9	13	4	3	1	3	12	14	7
Number Scoring 65–84	57	39	49	0	1	1	57	40	50
Number Scoring 85–100	15	27	29	0	0	0	15	27	29
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)