New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 06-17-00-01-0000

Name: Jamestown City School District

Superintendent: Raymond J. Fashano

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	62	63	91
Kindergarten	383	400	428
First	393	379	399
Second	372	414	385
Third	414	362	409
Fourth	401	412	366
Fifth	416	403	417
Sixth	403	421	396
Ungraded Elementary	63	39	55
Seventh	378	397	415
Eighth	388	404	373
Ninth	459	419	425
Tenth	390	382	392
Eleventh	392	337	347
Twelfth	330	361	322
Ungraded Secondary	13	32	69
Total K-12 Enrollment	5195	5162	5198

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	97	1.9%	92	1.8%	88	1.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	343	6.6%	356	6.9%	395	7.6%
Hispanic	436	8.4%	450	8.7%	468	9.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	4319	83.1%	4264	82.6%	4247	81.7%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	16	16	19						
Common Branch	17	17	18						
English Grade 8	16	17	17						
Mathematics Grade 8	14	18	17						
Science Grade 8	16	17	17						
Social Studies Grade 8	16	17	17						
English Grade 10	17	16	21						
Mathematics Grade 10	18	19	14						
Science Grade 10	19	14	20						
Social Studies Grade 10	17	18	19						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	90	1.7%	103	2.0%	122	2.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	2041	39.3%	2120	41.1%	2398	46.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.1%		95.4%		94.7%
Student Suspensions	346	6.6%	296	5.7%	426	8.3%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.8%	9.5%	9.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

> *** *********************************	•
Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	491
Total Other Professional Staff	106
Total Paraprofessionals	144
Teaching Out of Certification*	18

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	260	254	98%	275	203	74%	286	212	74%	
Students with Disabilities	18	2	11%	15	2	13%	7	1	14%	
All Students	278	256	92%	290	205	71%	293	213	73%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	74	145	0	10	22	42
Percent	25%	49%	0%	3%	8%	14%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
7	1	5	12

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002–03		2003	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	84		42		89	6.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	8		9		20	1.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	92		51		109	8.0%
Students	Dropped Out	41		22		17	8.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		8		3	1.4%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	43		30		20	9.5%
All	Dropped Out	125	8.0%	64	4.2%	106	6.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	10	0.6%	17	1.1%	23	1.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	135	8.6%	81	5.3%	129	8.2%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	18%	0%
2–3	0%	17%	10%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	85	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	3	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	88	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	11%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	120	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	30	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	150	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	13%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	172		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	151	88%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	150	87%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	156	91%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	164		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	158	96%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	129	41%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	24	28%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	55	91%	40	98%	55	95%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	193	92%	102	94%	245	86%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	12	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	11	45%	5	60%	8	25%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	12	100%	1	#	6	83%
Science	4	#	0	0%	7	71%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	6	83%
Writing	2	#	1	#	5	100%
Global Studies	4	#	1	#	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	41	83%	17	71%	29	79%
Science	23	83%	10	40%	36	42%
Reading	0	0%	4	#	23	61%
Writing	15	73%	7	86%	26	96%
Global Studies	15	67%	15	13%	22	41%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	6	67%	16	69%	0	0%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	Negents	LAaiiii	mations	,		
		All Students	}	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	327	146	312	21	11	22
Number Scoring 55–100	296	124	276	13	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	260	105	253	11	2	2
Number Scoring 85–100	119	31	98	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	85%	88%	62%	45%	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	72%	81%	52%	18%	9%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	21%	31%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics A				-1
Number Tested	409	388	355	23	14	23
Number Scoring 55–100	269	324	345	7	7	19
Number Scoring 65–100	210	260	319	3	4	15
Number Scoring 85–100	69	64	103	1	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	66%	84%	97%	30%	50%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	51%	67%	90%	13%	29%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	16%	29%	4%	0%	9%
1 orderings of 1 october 5 oct 100		athematics B		.,,	0,70	
Number Tested	0	33	97	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	28	95	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	23	91	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	3	35	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	85%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	70%	94%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	9%	36%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	362	78	363	31	17	38
Number Scoring 55–100	335	56	311	21	7	20
Number Scoring 65–100	276	46	265	9	4	13
Number Scoring 85–100	41	9	103	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	72%	86%	68%	41%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	59%	73%	29%	24%	34%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	12%	28%	0%	0%	0%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	334	156	286	22	14	22
Number Scoring 55–100	314	142	271	17	7	16
Number Scoring 65–100	275	127	240	12	5	9
Number Scoring 85–100	130	34	115	2	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	91%	95%	77%	50%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	81%	84%	55%	36%	41%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	22%	40%	9%	14%	9%
	5770			<i>,</i> , o	11/0	

(Form - F)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	370	54	339	18	13	25
Number Scoring 55–100	356	44	310	14	9	15
Number Scoring 65–100	336	35	259	10	4	9
Number Scoring 85–100	69	7	54	2	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	81%	91%	78%	69%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	65%	76%	56%	31%	36%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	13%	16%	11%	0%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	368	51	316	20	2	22
Number Scoring 55–100	339	43	286	12	#	13
Number Scoring 65–100	290	34	260	8	#	10
Number Scoring 85–100	92	6	85	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	84%	91%	60%	#	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	67%	82%	40%	#	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	12%	27%	0%	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	150	74	135	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	145	65	133	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	122	47	119	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	16	8	24	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	88%	99%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	64%	88%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	11%	18%	#	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			11			0
Number Scoring 55–100			10			0
Number Scoring 65–100			9			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			91%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			82%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			9%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	44	5	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	43	5	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	41	5	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	3	20	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	60%	65%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	•	•	•
Number Tested	7	0	0	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	0	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	174	28	166	2	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	168	28	164	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	163	25	157	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	96	7	91	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	89%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	25%	55%	#	#	#
1 ordinage of 1 obtom 5 ording of 100		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	133	1	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	132	#	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	124	#	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	80	#	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	167	100%	67	97%	308	92%
Students with Disabilities	25	100%	13	69%	47	62%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	358	25%	12%	55%	8%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	57	68%	7%	25%	0%
	All Students	415	31%	11%	51%	7%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	325	4%	43%	46%	7%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	59	39%	53%	8%	0%
	All Students	384	9%	44%	40%	6%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

			Count of Students						
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	294	294	294	26	26	26	320	320	320
Number Scoring 55–64	19	9	18	3	2	6	22	11	24
Number Scoring 65–84	218	144	176	3	3	4	221	147	180
Number Scoring 85–100	37	98	81	0	1	0	37	99	81
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	nts with Disab	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade K–	1)		I.	
Number Tested			42			1	
Beginning (0–18)			1			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			8			#	
Advanced (32–36)			17			#	
Proficient (37–39)			16			#	
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)				
Number Tested			42			1	
Beginning (0–14)			10			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			8			#	
Advanced (25–32)			17			#	
Proficient (33–35)			7			#	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	ł)			
Number Tested			41			5	
Beginning (0–18)			1			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			5			0	
Advanced (32–36)			11			4	
Proficient (37–39)			24			1	
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)				
Number Tested			41			5	
Beginning (0–14)			15			4	
Intermediate (15–24)			14			1	
Advanced (25–32)			6			0	
Proficient (33–35)			6			0	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>)</u>			
Number Tested			20			2	
Beginning (0–18)			2			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#	
Advanced (32–36)			9			#	
Proficient (37–39)			8			#	
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)				
Number Tested			21			3	
Beginning (0–14)			4			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			11			#	
Advanced (25–32)			6			#	
Proficient (33–35)			0			#	

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I	
Number Tested			16			2
Beginning (0–18)			2			#
Intermediate (19–31)			3			#
Advanced (32–36)			7			#
Proficient (37–39)			4			#
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8	<u> </u>		
Number Tested			17			2
Beginning (0–14)			1			#
Intermediate (15–24)			10			#
Advanced (25–32)			2			#
Proficient (33–35)			4			#
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			28			2
Beginning (0–18)			2			#
Intermediate (19–31)			8			#
Advanced (32–36)			10			#
Proficient (37–39)			8			#
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			28			2
Beginning (0–14)			3			#
Intermediate (15–24)			13			#
Advanced (25–32)			11			#
Proficient (33–35)			1			#

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)