New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 08-20-01-04-0000

Name: Sherburne-Earlville Central School District

Superintendent: Steven Szatko

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	129	127	132
First	142	123	129
Second	118	126	101
Third	119	112	117
Fourth	140	117	117
Fifth	142	140	127
Sixth	143	149	149
Ungraded Elementary	9	12	24
Seventh	158	147	142
Eighth	154	154	143
Ninth	149	176	178
Tenth	155	135	124
Eleventh	114	144	120
Twelfth	119	125	131
Ungraded Secondary	11	0	24
Total K-12 Enrollment	1802	1787	1758

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	0.1%	6	0.3%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	17	0.9%	15	0.8%	8	0.5%
Hispanic	4	0.2%	2	0.1%	2	0.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	1779	98.7%	1764	98.7%	1748	99.4%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	TVCI age Class Size							
Grade Level	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	16	16	17					
Common Branch	20	18	18					
English Grade 8	12	14	13					
Mathematics Grade 8	19	18	17					
Science Grade 8	21	21	20					
Social Studies Grade 8	19	18	17					
English Grade 10	12	16	17					
Mathematics Grade 10	14	11	0					
Science Grade 10	6	21	0					
Social Studies Grade 10	16	20	14					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001-02		2-03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	596	33.1%	502	28.1%	583	33.2%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.2%		94.9%		94.4%
Student Suspensions	66	3.6%	34	1.9%	34	1.9%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	12.3%	11.5%	15.0%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Start Counts						
Staff	2003-04					
Total Teachers	171					
Total Other Professional Staff	24					
Total Paraprofessionals	49					
Teaching Out of Certification*	1					

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	87	56	64%	87	50	57%	111	74	67%	
Students with Disabilities	13	4	31%	14	2	14%	11	3	27%	
All Students	100	60	60%	101	52	51%	122	77	63%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	47	41	0	9	15	10
Percent	39%	34%	0%	7%	12%	8%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
11	3	4	15

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	11		17		23	4.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	9		4		2	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	20		21		25	5.1%
Students	Dropped Out	3		5		6	5.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		2		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	3		7		6	5.1%
All	Dropped Out	14	2.6%	22	3.8%	29	4.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	9	1.6%	6	1.0%	2	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	23	4.2%	28	4.8%	31	5.1%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	52	87%	52	88%	44	82%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	59	64%	49	94%	51	82%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	3	#	5	60%	3	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	4	#	7	57%	4	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	5	100%	
Science	1	#	2	#	5	80%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	3	#	

Students with Disabilities

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003	3–04			
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	16	56%	11	82%	26	73%			
Science	16	50%	20	45%	19	58%			
Reading	4	#	4	#	4	#			
Writing	5	80%	4	#	4	#			
Global Studies	5	60%	5	20%	6	0%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	18	39%	26	15%	24	21%			

(Form - E)

	regents	Linuin				
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	124	139	124	21	15	15
Number Scoring 55–100	112	129	114	12	12	10
Number Scoring 65–100	97	113	106	6	5	8
Number Scoring 85–100	37	56	51	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	93%	92%	57%	80%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	81%	85%	29%	33%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	40%	41%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	46	175	97	2	14	15
Number Scoring 55–100	41	159	93	#	9	12
Number Scoring 65–100	35	133	88	#	7	12
Number Scoring 85–100	5	29	19	#	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	91%	96%	#	64%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	76%	91%	#	50%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	17%	20%	#	0%	13%
8		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	65	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	65	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	65	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	21	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	32%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	102	162	155	21	23	23
Number Scoring 55–100	94	154	137	16	21	16
Number Scoring 65–100	70	137	117	9	19	8
Number Scoring 85–100	20	54	54	1	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	95%	88%	76%	91%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	85%	75%	43%	83%	35%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	33%	35%	5%	9%	9%
5		ry and Gover			I.	
Number Tested	231	199	150	43	38	39
Number Scoring 55–100	186	172	111	29	19	20
Number Scoring 65–100	146	153	93	14	14	10
Number Scoring 85–100	28	35	35	0	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	86%	74%	67%	50%	51%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	63%	77%	62%	33%	37%	26%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	18%	23%	0%	5%	0%

(Form - F)

		All Students	3	Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Liyin	g Environme	nt				
Number Tested	154	127	146	20	8	34	
Number Scoring 55–100	144	125	137	17	7	29	
Number Scoring 65–100	131	117	123	15	6	22	
Number Scoring 85–100	60	30	31	2	0	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	98%	94%	85%	88%	85%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	92%	84%	75%	75%	65%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	24%	21%	10%	0%	9%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	109	105	112	11	12	7	
Number Scoring 55–100	104	101	107	7	10	7	
Number Scoring 65–100	100	90	99	6	7	7	
Number Scoring 85–100	42	46	32	1	2	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	96%	96%	64%	83%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	86%	88%	55%	58%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	44%	29%	9%	17%	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	38	61	46	0	0	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	38	60	46	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	36	53	44	0	0	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	4	15	13	0	0	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	100%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	87%	96%	0%	0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	25%	28%	0%	0%	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			35			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			35			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			34			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			10			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			29%			#	

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents						
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		rehensive Fre			•		
Number Tested	33	43	48	1	1	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	33	43	47	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	33	42	46	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	19	18	28	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	98%	96%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	42%	58%	#	#	#	
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			l	
Number Tested	36	48	31	0	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	35	48	31	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	35	47	31	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	23	26	20	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	98%	100%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	64%	54%	65%	0%	#	0%	
		rehensive La				l	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	68	86	13	3	1	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	61	69	12	#	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	53	57	9	#	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	30	21	0	#	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	80%	92%	#	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	66%	69%	#	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	24%	0%	#	#	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	35	97%	27	85%	16	100%	
Students with Disabilities	11	82%	9	78%	5	80%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	109	6%	11%	59%	25%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	22	36%	14%	50%	0%
	All Students	131	11%	11%	57%	21%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	102	0%	51%	39%	10%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	32	19%	72%	9%	0%
	All Students	134	4%	56%	32%	7%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4						
Elementary Level												
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Middle Level												
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#						
		Secondary l	Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0						

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	112	112	112	15	15	15	127	127	127
Number Scoring 55–64	5	1	6	2	4	1	7	5	7
Number Scoring 65–84	60	81	61	7	5	7	67	86	68
Number Scoring 85–100	39	24	41	0	0	2	39	24	43
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)