# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:09-03-01-06-0006Name:Beekmantown High SchoolPrincipal:Garth J. Frechette

Grade Range : 9-12

### Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	183	157	198
Tenth	171	173	147
Eleventh	125	143	165
Twelfth	132	117	135
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	611	590	645

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.7%	2	0.3%	2	0.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	11	1.8%	11	1.9%	12	1.9%
Hispanic	12	2.0%	6	1.0%	5	0.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	584	95.6%	571	96.8%	626	97.1%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	19	0	28
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	21	18	20
Mathematics Grade 10	21	22	20
Science Grade 10	24	14	19
Social Studies Grade 10	20	20	22

(Form - A)

Beekmantown High School

### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
46	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	100	16.4%	122	20.7%	109	16.9%

### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.2%		93.2%		92.5%
Student Suspensions	27	4.8%	32	5.2%	23	3.9%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	9.0%	14.4%	11.0%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	98%	98%	93%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	45
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

8	2001–02			<b>#</b>	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	106	71	67%	100	61	61%	107	73	68%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	5	0	0%	6	0	0%	
All Students	113	71	63%	105	61	58%	113	73	65%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	46	45	0	3	18	1
Percent	41%	40%	0%	3%	16%	1%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
6	0	7	13

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	34		23		23	3.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	35		23		23	3.9%
Students	Dropped Out	0		4		7	10.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		4		7	10.0%
All	Dropped Out	34	5.6%	27	4.6%	30	4.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	35	5.7%	27	4.6%	30	4.6%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	645
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	82	76	0
9–12	Number of All Students	82	76	645
	Percent of Enrollment	13%	13%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	55	84%	75	85%	77	94%	
German	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	45	76%	58	78%	56	61%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	4	#	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	3	#	0	0%
Science	6	67%	1	#	4	#
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Global Studies	7	43%	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	1	#	1	#

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	10	90%	7	100%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	6	100%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	6	67%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	6	33%	7	43%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	10	70%	5	60%	

(Form - E)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	98	137	144	3	13	10
Number Scoring 55–100	95	131	141	#	9	9
Number Scoring 65–100	86	124	133	#	7	5
Number Scoring 85–100	39	53	67	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	98%	#	69%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	91%	92%	#	54%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	39%	47%	#	0%	10%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	3	159	185	0	11	12
Number Scoring 55–100	#	117	173	0	2	7
Number Scoring 65–100	#	90	144	0	1	3
Number Scoring 85–100	#	12	29	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	74%	94%	0%	18%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	57%	78%	0%	9%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	8%	16%	0%	0%	0%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>	•	•	•	•
Number Tested	8	2	102	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	#	38	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	2	#	23	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	1	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	62%	#	37%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	25%	#	23%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	1%	#	#	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	131	179	142	1	15	13
Number Scoring 55–100	117	167	128	#	12	6
Number Scoring 65–100	102	147	112	#	7	3
Number Scoring 85–100	33	63	43	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	93%	90%	#	80%	46%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	82%	79%	#	47%	23%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	35%	30%	#	0%	0%
· · ·	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment	•	•	•
Number Tested	111	139	152	2	15	10
Number Scoring 55–100	103	127	142	#	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	87	117	132	#	4	4
Number Scoring 85–100	39	60	53	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	91%	93%	#	47%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	84%	87%	#	27%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	43%	35%	#	0%	10%

(Form - F)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme	1		1	
Number Tested	118	161	130	0	14	11
Number Scoring 55–100	118	159	130	0	14	11
Number Scoring 65–100	116	153	128	0	10	11
Number Scoring 85–100	47	72	63	0	2	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	95%	98%	0%	71%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	45%	48%	0%	14%	9%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science		-	
Number Tested	147	138	172	0	9	8
Number Scoring 55–100	144	130	161	0	9	7
Number Scoring 65–100	138	121	135	0	8	5
Number Scoring 85–100	49	54	60	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	94%	0%	100%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	88%	78%	0%	89%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	33%	39%	35%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	86	87	101	0	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	84	84	100	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	67	73	88	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	19	11	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	99%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	84%	87%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	22%	11%	0%	#	#
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			41			0
Number Scoring 55–100			28			0
Number Scoring 65–100			23			0
Number Scoring 85–100			4			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			68%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			56%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			10%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				nta with Dias	hilition
	2001-02	All Students	2003-04	2001–02	nts with Disa 2002–03	
				2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Number Tested	0	rehensive Fre 55	40	0	0	0
Number Tested	-	55		0	0	-
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	51	38		0	0
2	0	30	35 14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0%	<u> </u>	95%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	93% 88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	<u>93%</u> 55%			0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			35%	0%	0%	0%
Number Tested		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		0	0	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa			1	1
Number Tested	0	38	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	38	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	37	25	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	27	14	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	97%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	71%	54%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La				1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
- <b>- -</b>						(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	70	88	8	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	69	72	5	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	61	58	3	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	31	21	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	82%	62%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	87%	66%	38%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	44%	24%	0%	0%	#	0%

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
24	100%	22	100%	15	93%
3	#	4	#	1	#
	No. Tested	8	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	Not Tested Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	<b>General-Education Students</b>			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	119	119	119	19	19	19	138	138	138
Number Scoring 55–64	9	5	3	4	0	3	13	5	6
Number Scoring 65–84	71	51	52	6	4	11	77	55	63
Number Scoring 85–100	36	54	62	0	0	1	36	54	63
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)