# New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:11-02-00-01-0000Name:Cortland City School DistrictSuperintendent:John Lutz

### Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	240	234	255
First	214	224	206
Second	222	211	208
Third	211	212	216
Fourth	198	205	224
Fifth	208	196	209
Sixth	209	202	206
Ungraded Elementary	35	26	27
Seventh	252	246	239
Eighth	224	234	236
Ninth	243	262	249
Tenth	216	202	217
Eleventh	191	174	179
Twelfth	193	177	176
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2856	2805	2847

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	31	1.1%	26	0.9%	26	0.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	97	3.4%	113	4.0%	112	3.9%
Hispanic	38	1.3%	36	1.3%	49	1.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	2690	94.2%	2630	93.8%	2660	93.4%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	19	19	20
Common Branch	19	19	20
English Grade 8	17	21	19
Mathematics Grade 8	17	18	20
Science Grade 8	20	21	21
Social Studies Grade 8	20	21	22
English Grade 10	19	20	20
Mathematics Grade 10	25	19	19
Science Grade 10	19	16	18
Social Studies Grade 10	24	22	22

Cortland City School District

11-02-00-01-0000 03/03/05

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.
	in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	35	1.2%	21	0.8%	21	0.7%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	701	24.5%	718	25.6%	824	28.9%	

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.9%		92.9%		94.9%
Student Suspensions	184	6.4%	155	5.4%	178	6.4%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.3%	6.8%	8.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	246				
Total Other Professional Staff	35				
Total Paraprofessionals	79				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02			2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	166	105	63%	146	92	63%	150	91	61%
Students with Disabilities	14	0	0%	9	2	22%	8	1	12%
All Students	180	105	58%	155	94	61%	158	92	58%

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	77	62	0	0	15	4
Percent	49%	39%	0%	0%	9%	3%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
8	1	2	10

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	28		17		14	1.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		4		6	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	30		21		20	2.7%
Students	Dropped Out	7		10		8	7.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	7		10		8	7.3%
All	Dropped Out	35	4.2%	27	3.3%	22	2.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.2%	4	0.5%	6	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	37	4.4%	31	3.8%	28	3.3%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	376	386	373
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	100	94	102
0-0	Number of All Students	476	480	475
	Percent of Enrollment	69%	70%	69%
	Number of General-Education Students	696	680	698
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	147	135	126
9-12	Number of All Students	843	815	824
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	53	92%	69	96%	59	98%	
German	9	89%	15	67%	10	80%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	126	89%	120	93%	136	79%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	2	#	8	88%	
German	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	6	100%	11	64%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	4	#	5	60%	8	100%
Science	3	#	0	0%	6	83%
Reading	0	0%	4	#	1	#
Writing	0	0%	3	#	1	#
Global Studies	1	#	3	#	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	2	#	1	#

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	31	68%	21	52%	23	83%	
Science	19	58%	6	67%	16	69%	
Reading	2	#	3	#	4	#	
Writing	2	#	3	#	3	#	
Global Studies	7	57%	11	45%	8	38%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	3	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents	All Students		r	nts with Disa	hilities
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
		ehensive Eng		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	180	179	166	13	14	14
Number Scoring 55–100	166	170	161	9	10	10
Number Scoring 65–100	145	151	149	2	5	6
Number Scoring 85–100	67	58	69	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	95%	97%	69%	71%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	84%	90%	15%	36%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	32%	42%	0%	7%	0%
		athematics A	,.	070	,,,,	070
Number Tested	10	187	194	0	11	10
Number Scoring 55–100	4	158	189	0	6	9
Number Scoring 65–100	2	142	171	0	3	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	45	51	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	40%	84%	97%	0%	55%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	20%	76%	88%	0%	27%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	24%	26%	0%	9%	10%
0	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	ography			
Number Tested	173	198	219	11	21	22
Number Scoring 55–100	159	184	190	9	14	13
Number Scoring 65–100	138	162	172	4	12	6
Number Scoring 85–100	41	53	77	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	93%	87%	82%	67%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	82%	79%	36%	57%	27%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	27%	35%	0%	0%	5%
		ry and Gove				
Number Tested	172	174	159	12	11	11
Number Scoring 55–100	157	173	156	5	11	11
Number Scoring 65–100	137	166	152	3	9	10
Number Scoring 85–100	50	89	76	0	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	99%	98%	42%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	95%	96%	25%	82%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	51%	48%	0%	9%	18%

(Form - F)

	Regents			1			
		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme					
Number Tested	138	142	187	3	5	18	
Number Scoring 55–100	138	141	183	#	5	17	
Number Scoring 65–100	138	141	173	#	5	12	
Number Scoring 85–100	49	43	54	#	0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	#	100%	94%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	99%	93%	#	100%	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	30%	29%	#	0%	6%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	213	233	221	32	30	31	
Number Scoring 55–100	195	190	194	23	14	21	
Number Scoring 65–100	173	174	167	15	10	16	
Number Scoring 85–100	59	61	56	0	0	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	82%	88%	72%	47%	68%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	75%	76%	47%	33%	52%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	26%	25%	0%	0%	10%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	97	109	89	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	89	106	88	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	64	78	73	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	8	15	18	#	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	97%	99%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	72%	82%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	14%	20%	#	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics				
Number Tested			48			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			46			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			45			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			18			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			96%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			94%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			38%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

Г	Regents				/ •/I TS •	
	0001 00	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
N. 1. (7) . 1		ehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	24	22	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	22	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	21	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	13	11	26	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	96%	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	50%	87%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		I	T	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	3	4	6	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	6	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	6	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	3	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	50%	0%	0%	#
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	-		•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
6 6	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish		1	
Number Tested	78	67	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	76	67	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	74	66	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	42	41	36	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	99%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	61%	58%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	6	8	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	8	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	6	8	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	7	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	88%	70%	0%	0%	0%
resca beoring 05-100	0770	0070	/0/0	070	070	(Form – )

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	100	97	13	1	1	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	87	81	7	#	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	84	79	3	#	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	39	31	1	#	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	84%	54%	#	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	81%	23%	#	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	32%	8%	#	#	0%			

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
53	98%	57	93%	60	92%
15	93%	19	37%	17	71%
		No. Tested % Passing   53 98%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   53 98% 57	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   53 98% 57 93%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   53 98% 57 93% 60

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	166	4%	5%	60%	31%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	46	26%	15%	57%	2%
	All Students	212	8%	7%	59%	25%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	191	2%	35%	53%	11%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	46	11%	74%	13%	2%
	All Students	237	3%	42%	45%	9%

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	0	1	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	1	1	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	0	1	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	3	1	#	#	#	#			
Science	3	1	#	#	#	#			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	156	156	156	12	12	12	168	168	168
Number Scoring 55–64	7	3	5	4	1	1	11	4	6
Number Scoring 65–84	101	61	77	2	6	6	103	67	83
Number Scoring 85–100	40	80	71	0	0	0	40	80	71
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Stude	ents with Disab	oilities	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			9			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0
Advanced (32–36)			6			0
Proficient (37–39)			1			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)	)		•
Number Tested			9			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0
Advanced (25–32)			1			0
Proficient (33–35)			3			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	)		
Number Tested			11			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0
Advanced (32–36)			4			0
Proficient (37–39)			5			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			11			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			4			0
Advanced (25–32)			5			0
Proficient (33–35)			2			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			4			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 20		#	4		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)	I		
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			4			1	
Beginning (0–18)			#			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#	
Advanced (32–36)			#			#	
Proficient (37–39)			#			#	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)			
Number Tested			4			1	
Beginning (0–14)			#			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#	
Advanced (25–32)			#			#	
Proficient (33–35)			#			#	

37 arly Ctata E 1.1 C. лı ۸ al.: 4 **T** AT ANVOLOL AT \*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)