# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:14-06-00-01-0104Name:Emerson Vocational High SchoolPrincipal:James Weimer

Grade Range : 9-12

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	133	52	94
Tenth	137	65	66
Eleventh	132	89	57
Twelfth	116	78	62
Ungraded Secondary	46	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	564	284	279

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	12	2.1%	7	2.5%	7	2.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	427	75.7%	209	73.6%	181	64.9%
Hispanic	20	3.5%	12	4.2%	18	6.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	105	18.6%	56	19.7%	73	26.2%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	17	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	25	27	27
Mathematics Grade 10	26	0	0
Science Grade 10	0	0	18
Social Studies Grade 10	20	22	0

(Form - A)

## **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
2	This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
41	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	3	0.5%	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
Eligible for Free Lunch	277	49.1%	132	46.5%	151	54.1%

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000-01		2001	L-02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		88.6%		86.0%		90.0%
Student Suspensions	42	7.1%	102	18.1%	73	25.7%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	13.3%	11.3%	13.6%
Public Assistance	61-70%	51-60%	51-60%
Student Stability	94%	100%	100%

## **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	32
Total Other Professional Staff	9
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	3

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	60	1	2%	42	4	10%	49	8	16%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	6	1	17%	
All Students	60	1	2%	43	4	9%	55	9	16%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	22	23	0	1	7	2
Percent	40%	42%	0%	2%	13%	4%

## Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
6	1	13	19

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

## **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2-03	2003	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	54		50		3	1.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		2		7	3.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	57		52		10	4.9%
Students	Dropped Out	3		5		1	1.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		2		1	1.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	5		7		2	2.6%
All	Dropped Out	57	10.1%	55	19.4%	4	1.4%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	5	0.9%	4	1.4%	8	2.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	62	11.0%	59	20.8%	12	4.3%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

# Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

# **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	330	215	201
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	117	69	78
9-12	Number of All Students	447	284	279
	Percent of Enrollment	79%	100%	100%

# **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	Statewide	
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

# **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	63	71%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	8	25%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	2	#	1	#
Science	4	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	8	100%	24	42%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	5	40%	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng	glish	ſ	1	1
Number Tested	95	74	61	2	6	10
Number Scoring 55–100	54	60	52	#	2	6
Number Scoring 65–100	30	35	33	#	1	3
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	1	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	57%	81%	85%	#	33%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	32%	47%	54%	#	17%	30%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	2%	0%	2%	#	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	225	100	77	2	8	12
Number Scoring 55–100	92	52	66	#	0	6
Number Scoring 65–100	36	25	43	#	0	2
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	41%	52%	86%	#	0%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	16%	25%	56%	#	0%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	1%	#	0%	0%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>	•	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo	graphy	0,0	0,0	0,0
Number Tested	129	70	<u>5</u> 71	3	8	14
Number Scoring 55–100	83	53	49	#	3	5
Number Scoring 65–100	31	41	35	#	1	3
Number Scoring 85–100	1	2	1	#	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	64%	76%	69%	#	38%	36%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	24%	59%	49%	#	12%	21%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1%	3%	1%	#	12%	7%
8		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	120	60	57	5	4	8
Number Scoring 55–100	96	51	46	3	#	3
Number Scoring 65–100	49	36	31	1	#	1
Number Scoring 85–100	7	0	5	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	85%	81%	60%	#	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	41%	60%	54%	20%	#	12%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	0%	9%	0%	#	12%

(Form – F)

	Regents			1	4 14 D	
	2001 62	All Students	-	Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme				10
Number Tested	137	46	99	4	11	19
Number Scoring 55–100	112	38	83	#	7	10
Number Scoring 65–100	89	37	66	#	6	5
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	5	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	83%	84%	#	64%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	80%	67%	#	55%	26%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1%	2%	5%	#	0%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	50	10	65	3	2	13
Number Scoring 55–100	35	8	49	#	#	11
Number Scoring 65–100	24	8	26	#	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	4	0	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	70%	80%	75%	#	#	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	48%	80%	40%	#	#	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	40%	0%	#	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	95	61	19	0	6	3
Number Scoring 55–100	43	24	13	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	1	13	1	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	45%	39%	68%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	1%	21%	5%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Kegents					
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	prew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	-		
Number Tested	0	1	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Com	rehensive La	tin	-	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		1				(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	125	89%	36	81%	4	#
Students with Disabilities	15	67%	8	88%	1	#

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2		Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

# 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	52	52	52	16	16	16	68	68	68
Number Scoring 55–64	8	6	11	1	0	2	9	6	13
Number Scoring 65–84	39	41	38	2	3	1	41	44	39
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)