New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:19-05-01-04-0004Name:Coxsackie-Athens Middle SchoolPrincipal:Joseph Posillico

Grade Range : 5-8

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	126	120	128
Sixth	124	141	125
Ungraded Elementary	4	1	1
Seventh	122	124	136
Eighth	125	120	122
Ninth	0	0	0
Tenth	0	0	0
Eleventh	0	0	0
Twelfth	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	10	6	9
Total K-12 Enrollment	511	512	521

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	1	0.2%	2	0.4%	2	0.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	1.0%	8	1.6%	5	1.0%
Hispanic	7	1.4%	6	1.2%	7	1.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	498	97.5%	496	96.9%	507	97.3%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	21	20	22
English Grade 8	18	20	21
Mathematics Grade 8	17	20	21
Science Grade 8	21	19	21
Social Studies Grade 8	18	20	21
English Grade 10	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 10	0	0	0
Science Grade 10	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 10	0	0	0

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
32	All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	2	0.4%
Eligible for Free Lunch	60	11.7%	94	18.4%	81	15.6%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001–02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.9%		95.2%		94.4%
Student Suspensions	45	9.0%	39	7.6%	30	5.9%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.8%	6.1%	9.6%
Public Assistance	11-20%	21-30%	21-30%
Student Stability	98%	93%	101%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	40
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	
All Students	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

			1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		0		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	222	233
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	15	6
0-0	Number of All Students	0	237	239
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	61%	61%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	20	75%	28	86%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	47	98%	75	88%	86	57%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	2	#	1	#	2	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	10	10%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	6	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Сотри	ehensive Eng	glish		1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	23	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	22	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	21	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	2	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	96%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	91%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	9%	50%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested Scoring 05 100	. , .	ory and Gove		070	070	070
Number Tested	0.5. 1150		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	0%	#		0%		0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	Ŧ	0%	0%	0%	<u> </u>

(Form - F)

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. 11. 4	
	2001 02	All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme		0	6	0	
Number Tested	0	28	0	0	6	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	27	0	0	5	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	26	0	0	5	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	14	0	0	1	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	96%	0%	0%	83%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	93%	0%	0%	83%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	50%	0%	0%	17%	0%	
		etting/Earth		•	1		
Number Tested	21	82	20	0	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	20	74	20	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	17	62	20	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	15	7	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	90%	100%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	76%	100%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	18%	35%	0%	#	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			0			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%	

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Fre	nch			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	0	2	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004)	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	1	#	0	0%
0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
		No. Tested % Passing 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 1	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 0 0% 1 #	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 1 # 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	119	3%	9%	68%	19%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	7	57%	14%	29%	0%
	All Students	126	6%	10%	66%	18%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	118	0%	36%	56%	8%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	10	60%	30%	10%	0%
	All Students	128	5%	35%	52%	8%

(Form – I)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1))		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 200		#	4		0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)