# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:20-04-01-04-0001Name:Indian Lake Central SchoolPrincipal:Scott Poreda

Grade Range : K-12

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	8	14	7
First	20	9	16
Second	7	19	8
Third	21	8	19
Fourth	17	21	10
Fifth	22	17	26
Sixth	18	22	18
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	15	20	22
Eighth	17	14	19
Ninth	11	16	19
Tenth	16	11	16
Eleventh	20	16	10
Twelfth	14	14	16
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	206	201	206

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	1.0%	3	1.5%	1	0.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	2.4%	5	2.5%	3	1.5%
Hispanic	1	0.5%	1	0.5%	1	0.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	198	96.1%	192	95.5%	201	97.6%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Kindergarten	8	14	7
Common Branch	15	19	15
English Grade 8	17	13	19
Mathematics Grade 8	13	8	11
Science Grade 8	17	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	15	14	19
English Grade 10	17	11	15
Mathematics Grade 10	12	5	0
Science Grade 10	12	10	0
Social Studies Grade 10	15	11	15

(Form - A)

Indian Lake Central School

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to
5	district resource capacity.

## **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level
	schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	30	14.6%	21	10.5%	27	13.1%	

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.2%		94.8%		95.7%
Student Suspensions	1	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	1.0%

# Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

## (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	4.4%	4.5%	7.8%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	100%	100%	100%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	28
Total Other Professional Staff	4
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001-02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	10	8	80%	12	7	58%	16	15	94%	
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	
All Students	12	8	67%	12	7	58%	17	16	94%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	7	9	0	0	1	0
Percent	41%	53%	0%	0%	6%	0%

## Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
1	1	0	1

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

## **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	4		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4		0		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	1		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	5	8.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5	8.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	100%	0%	0%
2–3	100%	0%	0%

## **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	46	0	9
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	4	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	50	0	9
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	0%	15%
	Number of General-Education Students	51	0	9
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	0	0
9-12	Number of All Students	61	0	9
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	0%	15%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	16	100%	13	100%	17	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	2	#	1	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	1	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	16	16	10	1	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	13	16	10	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	13	15	10	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	4	4	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	94%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	25%	40%	#	#	#
	Ma	athematics A		-		
Number Tested	17	12	16	1	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16	10	15	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	10	14	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	0	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	83%	94%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	83%	88%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	8%	0%	#	#	0%
<u> </u>	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	2	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	16	11	13	1	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16	11	12	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	11	12	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	4	5	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	92%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	100%	92%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	36%	38%	#	#	0%
6		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	14	17	10	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	14	17	10	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	12	16	10	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	8	6	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	94%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	47%	60%	0%	#	#

(Form - F)

	Regents				4 14 D	
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme			2	2
Number Tested	14	20	12	2	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	13	18	12	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	13	16	9	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	1	0	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	93%	90%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	80%	75%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	5%	0%	#	#	#
		etting/Earth	Science	•	1	1
Number Tested	12	9	13	2	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	12	9	12	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	12	9	11	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	2	5	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	92%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	85%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	22%	38%	#	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	13	2	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	11	#	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	#	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	#	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	54%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	#	8%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			7			0
Number Scoring 55–100			5			0
Number Scoring 65–100			4			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			71%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			57%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					1. 21 2.4 .
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Marchard Tracks I		ehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	13	3	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	#	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	#	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	#	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	#	46%	0%	0%	0%
N. 1. (7) . 1		rehensive Ital		0		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		ſ	ſ	Γ
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	•					(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cour	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	15	18	4	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	12	#	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	10	10	#	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	2	#	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	67%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	56%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	11%	#	#	#	0%

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
12	100%	1	#	0	0%
3	#	0	0%	1	#
		0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   12 100% 1 #	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   12 100% 1 # 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	24	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	2	#	#	#	#
	All Students	26	15%	0%	54%	31%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	17	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	2	#	#	#	#
	All Students	19	0%	5%	79%	16%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Not Tested	1 Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

# 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	13	13	13	2	2	2	15	15	15
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	10	8	9
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	5	7	6
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form – J)