New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 22-13-01-04-0000

Name: Lyme Central School District

Superintendent: Donnalee Dodson

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	16	12	30
First	24	18	13
Second	32	25	19
Third	26	35	27
Fourth	16	28	36
Fifth	31	17	32
Sixth	35	32	19
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	22	38	33
Eighth	29	24	39
Ninth	36	29	28
Tenth	32	37	26
Eleventh	38	28	32
Twelfth	31	36	27
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	368	359	361

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	001–02 2002-		2–03	2003	3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	7	1.9%	7	1.9%	7	1.9%
Hispanic	3	0.8%	2	0.6%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	358	97.3%	350	97.5%	353	97.8%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	16	12	15						
Common Branch	16	19	21						
English Grade 8	14	25	16						
Mathematics Grade 8	15	24	19						
Science Grade 8	14	0	0						
Social Studies Grade 8	15	13	0						
English Grade 10	17	35	0						
Mathematics Grade 10	0	12	0						
Science Grade 10	18	20	26						
Social Studies Grade 10	13	19	13						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

3 1	200	2001–02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	7	1.9%	5	1.4%	3	0.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	87	23.6%	78	21.7%	66	18.3%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.6%		94.4%		95.6%
Student Suspensions	23	6.2%	18	4.9%	6	1.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.1%	18.1%	20.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

S ************************************					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	34				
Total Other Professional Staff	5				
Total Paraprofessionals	4				
Teaching Out of Certification*	0				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	27	17	63%	33	15	45%	23	16	70%	
Students with Disabilities	4	1	25%	1	1	100%	3	2	67%	
All Students	31	18	58%	34	16	47%	26	18	69%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	4	13	2	3	4	0
Percent	15%	50%	8%	12%	15%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
3	2	0	3

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	1–02	200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		2		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		2		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	1		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.7%	2	1.5%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2	1.5%	2	1.5%	0	0.0%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	100%	100%	0%
2–3	100%	100%	100%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	39	34
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	6	10
4–3	Number of All Students	0	45	44
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	65%
	Number of General-Education Students	58	80	84
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	14	14	6
0-8	Number of All Students	72	94	90
	Percent of Enrollment	84%	100%	99%
	Number of General-Education Students	97	117	96
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	20	13	18
9–12	Number of All Students	117	130	114
	Percent of Enrollment	85%	100%	101%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Buoguam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	25	88%	23	78%	36	81%	

Students with Disabilities

T4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	5	60%	1	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

Number Tested		regents	, L'Aaiiii	mations	,		
Number Tested 33 28 26 2 2 0						nts with Disa	bilities
Number Tested 33 28 26 2 2 0 Number Scoring 55-100 33 27 26 # # 0 Number Scoring 65-100 28 26 26 # # 0 Number Scoring 65-100 15 13 18 # # 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100 100% 96% 100% # # 09% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 85% 93% 100% # # 09% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 45% 46% 69% # # 09% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 45% 46% 69% # # 09% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 45% 46% 69% # # 09% Number Tested 11 28 29 0 6 4 Number Scoring 65-100 10 26 26 0 6 # Number Scoring 65-100 8 25 25 0 6 # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100 91% 93% 90% 00% 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 73% 89% 86% 09% 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 27% 46% 41% 00% 67% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100 27% 46% 41% 00% 67% # Number Tested 0 0 5 0 0 0 Number Scoring 55-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 Number Scoring 55-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Number Scoring 55-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Scoring 55-100		Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Scoring 65-100 28 26 26 # # 0 0 0	Number Tested	33	28	26	2	2	0
Number Scoring 85–100	Number Scoring 55–100	33	27	26	#		0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	Number Scoring 65–100	28	26	26	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	Number Scoring 85–100	15	13	18	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	96%	100%			0%
Number Tested		85%	93%	100%	#	#	0%
Number Tested 11 28 29 0 6 4	Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	46%	69%	#	#	0%
Number Scoring 55–100		M	athematics A				
Number Scoring 65-100	Number Tested	11	28	29	0	6	4
Number Scoring 65–100	Number Scoring 55–100	10	26	26	0	6	#
Number Scoring 85–100		8			0	6	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		3	13	12	0	4	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		91%	93%	90%	0%	100%	#
Number Tested Secring 85–100 Secring 85–100 Secring 85–100 Department Department Secring 85–100 Department Department Secring 85–100 Department Department Department Department Secring 85–100 Department Depart							#
Number Tested 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0							
Number Tested 0 0 5 0 0 0 Number Scoring 55–100 0 0 5 0 0 0 Number Scoring 65–100 0 0 4 0 0 0 Number Scoring 85–100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 0%						•	
Number Scoring 55–100 0 0 5 0 0 0 Number Scoring 65–100 0 0 4 0 0 0 Number Scoring 85–100 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 0% 0% 80% 0% 0% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 0%	Number Tested			5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100 0 0 4 0 0 0 Number Scoring 85–100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% Number Tested 25 35 23 2 6 2 Number Scoring 55–100 25 34 22 # 6 # Number Scoring 85–100 4 16 6 # 3 # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # Number Tested 32 28 29 # # # Number Sco		0	0		0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 0 0 0 0 0 0 Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 0% <t< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>		0	0	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 0%		0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 0% 0% 80% 0% 0% 0% Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 0% <		0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Number Tested Scoring 85–100 O% O% O% O% O% O% O%		0%	0%	80%	0%	0%	0%
Number Tested 25 35 23 2 6 2		0%	0%		0%		0%
Number Tested 25 35 23 2 6 2 Number Scoring 55–100 25 35 23 # 6 # Number Scoring 65–100 25 34 22 # 6 # Number Scoring 85–100 4 16 6 # 3 # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # # #		Global His	story and Geo	graphy	•		
Number Scoring 55–100 25 35 23 # 6 # Number Scoring 65–100 25 34 22 # 6 # Number Scoring 85–100 4 16 6 # 3 # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 97% 96% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # # # <td>Number Tested</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>6</td> <td>2</td>	Number Tested				2	6	2
Number Scoring 65–100 25 34 22 # 6 # Number Scoring 85–100 4 16 6 # 3 # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 97% 96% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		25				6	
Number Scoring 85–100 4 16 6 # 3 # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 97% 96% # 100% # U.S. History and Government Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		25	34	22		6	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 97% 96% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # U.S. History and Government Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		4	16	6		3	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 97% 96% # 100% # Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # U.S. History and Government Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		100%	100%	100%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 16% 46% 26% # 50% # U.S. History and Government			97%	96%		100%	
U.S. History and Government Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		16%		26%	#		
Number Tested 32 28 29 2 1 2 Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		U.S. Histo	orv and Gove	rnment		1	
Number Scoring 55–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #	Number Tested				2	1	2
Number Scoring 65–100 32 28 29 # # # Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #						#	
Number Scoring 85–100 13 12 17 # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #		32	28	29		#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 100% 100% 100% # # # # Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # # #							
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 100% 100% 100% # # #			100%	100%			#
1 Greeniage of residu Scoring 05-100 41/0 45/0 57/0 # # #	Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	43%	59%	#	#	#

 $\frac{\pi}{(\text{Form} - \text{F})}$

		All Students	}	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	37	32	29	4	4	5
Number Scoring 55–100	37	32	29	#	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	37	31	28	#	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	10	7	1	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	97%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	22%	3%	#	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	31	35	26	2	5	4
Number Scoring 55–100	29	33	26	#	5	#
Number Scoring 65–100	25	30	25	#	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	6	11	9	#	3	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	94%	100%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	86%	96%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	31%	35%	#	60%	#
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	26	9	29	1	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	22	7	24	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	13	1	18	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	1	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	78%	83%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	50%	11%	62%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	3%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			5			0
Number Scoring 55–100			5			0
Number Scoring 65–100			3			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			60%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students				bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	21	21	14	2	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	21	21	14	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	21	19	14	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	10	11	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	90%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	48%	79%	#	#	#
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	8	All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)	
Number Tested	17	30	0	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	15	22	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	19	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	3	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	73%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	63%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	10%	0%	0%	#	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	7	100%	11	100%	8	100%	
Students with Disabilities	4	#	1	#	1	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	26	4%	8%	42%	46%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	17%	67%	17%
	All Students	32	3%	9%	47%	41%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	37	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	4	#	#	#	#
	All Students	41	0%	39%	56%	5%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested Level 1 Level 2 Level 3							
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	28	28	28	3	3	3	31	31	31
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	24	16	22
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	3	10	8
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K–1)										
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	ł)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>)</u>						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)