New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 26-14-01-06-0010 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Pittsford-Mendon High School

Principal: Karl Thielking

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	238	238	250
Tenth	242	233	246
Eleventh	254	245	237
Twelfth	228	248	245
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	962	964	978

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003-		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	75	7.8%	69	7.2%	57	5.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	38	4.0%	33	3.4%	26	2.7%
Hispanic	11	1.1%	11	1.1%	10	1.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	838	87.1%	851	88.3%	885	90.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	0	0	0						
Common Branch	0	0	0						
English Grade 8	0	0	0						
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0						
Science Grade 8	0	0	0						
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0						
English Grade 10	22	19	22						
Mathematics Grade 10	20	15	22						
Science Grade 10	22	18	14						
Social Studies Grade 10	20	20	18						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
52	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	5	0.5%	3	0.3%	5	0.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	20	2.1%	16	1.7%	13	1.3%

Attendance and Suspension

•	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		97.0%		96.8%		97.3%
Student Suspensions	24	2.7%	13	1.4%	10	1.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.2%	0.7%	0.6%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	96%	99%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	79
Total Other Professional Staff	8
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	203	186	92%	218	206	94%	224	218	97%	
Students with Disabilities	16	11	69%	26	18	69%	10	5	50%	
All Students	219	197	90%	244	224	92%	234	223	95%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	197	24	3	1	0	9
Percent	84%	10%	1%	0%	0%	4%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
10	5	0	10

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	19		1		1	0.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		1		5	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	19		2		6	0.7%
Students	Dropped Out	6		1		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	6		1		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	25	2.6%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	5	0.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	25	2.6%	3	0.3%	6	0.6%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
(0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	48	104	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	36	0
9–12	Number of All Students	58	140	0
	Percent of Enrollment	6%	15%	0%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	38	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	16	94%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	3	#	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

otation with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#			
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%			
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

(Form - E)

	Negents	LAAIIII	mations	<u>, </u>		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	256	242	236	24	28	15
Number Scoring 55–100	256	240	235	24	27	15
Number Scoring 65–100	254	238	234	23	27	14
Number Scoring 85–100	191	172	187	5	12	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	100%	96%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	99%	96%	96%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	75%	71%	79%	21%	43%	20%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	207	250	246	17	29	21
Number Scoring 55–100	202	244	244	16	29	20
Number Scoring 65–100	185	230	240	14	24	18
Number Scoring 85–100	114	87	166	5	3	7
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	99%	94%	100%	95%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	92%	98%	82%	83%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	35%	67%	29%	10%	33%
1 orderings of 1 october 5 oct 100		athematics B	0,,0		10,0	2270
Number Tested	0	0	192	0	0	4
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	178	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	163	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	91	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	93%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	47%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	244	240	246	23	28	27
Number Scoring 55–100	242	239	245	23	27	27
Number Scoring 65–100	240	233	243	22	26	27
Number Scoring 85–100	152	150	157	5	7	7
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	100%	96%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	97%	99%	96%	93%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	62%	64%	22%	25%	26%
		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	259	247	232	25	30	13
Number Scoring 55–100	256	246	231	25	29	13
Number Scoring 65–100	248	243	231	20	27	13
Number Scoring 85–100	155	192	194	3	16	6
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	98%	100%	80%	90%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	78%	84%	12%	53%	46%
	3070	, 570		/-	2370	1070

(Form – F)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	253	213	227	21	26	20			
Number Scoring 55–100	253	213	227	21	26	20			
Number Scoring 65–100	253	213	227	21	26	20			
Number Scoring 85–100	204	165	157	15	15	6			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	81%	77%	69%	71%	58%	30%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	151	166	174	23	25	28			
Number Scoring 55–100	151	166	174	23	25	28			
Number Scoring 65–100	148	165	171	22	24	27			
Number Scoring 85–100	93	115	99	11	9	7			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	99%	98%	96%	96%	96%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	69%	57%	48%	36%	25%			
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	212	202	190	7	7	4			
Number Scoring 55–100	212	202	189	7	7	#			
Number Scoring 65–100	199	200	182	6	7	#			
Number Scoring 85–100	72	72	63	0	0	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	99%	96%	86%	100%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	36%	33%	0%	0%	#			
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			130			2			
Number Scoring 55–100			127			#			
Number Scoring 65–100			124			#			
Number Scoring 85–100			69			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			98%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			95%			#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			53%			#			

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	38	62	57	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	38	62	57	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	38	62	57	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	37	51	55	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	97%	82%	96%	0%	#	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	90	100	148	1	5	7
Number Scoring 55–100	90	100	148	#	5	7
Number Scoring 65–100	90	98	146	#	4	7
Number Scoring 85–100	56	66	87	#	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	98%	99%	#	80%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	66%	59%	#	0%	29%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	35	17	22	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	35	17	22	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	34	17	22	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	28	12	14	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	80%	71%	64%	#	#	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	234	131	17	14	19	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	231	116	15	13	15	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	225	101	15	13	12	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	164	30	1	3	3	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	89%	88%	93%	79%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	77%	88%	93%	63%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	70%	23%	6%	21%	16%	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing		% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	48	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Students with Disabilities	10	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	218	218	218	7	7	7	225	225	225
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–84	67	32	32	3	3	3	70	35	35
Number Scoring 85–100	148	184	186	3	4	4	151	188	190
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	,, Tolk State En	All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)