# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 28-02-14-03-0007 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: George W. Hewlett High School

Principal: Joyce Bisso

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	247	276	283
Tenth	281	255	278
Eleventh	282	279	248
Twelfth	281	267	275
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1091	1077	1084

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

9	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		2002-03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	84	7.7%	86	8.0%	74	6.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	12	1.1%	16	1.5%	23	2.1%
Hispanic	62	5.7%	64	5.9%	49	4.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	933	85.5%	911	84.6%	938	86.5%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	0	0	0					
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0					
Science Grade 8	0	0	0					
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0					
English Grade 10	18	19	20					
Mathematics Grade 10	18	18	18					
Science Grade 10	17	22	20					
Social Studies Grade 10	19	21	20					

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
52	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	30	2.8%	37	3.4%	21	1.9%
Eligible for Free Lunch	9	0.8%	8	0.7%	10	0.9%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.6%		94.7%		95.0%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	40	3.7%	41	3.8%	53	4.9%

## Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.1%	0.3%	0.6%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	None
Student Stability	89%	99%	100%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	121
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001-02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	237	202	85%	219	185	84%	217	196	90%	
Students with Disabilities	30	11	37%	40	18	45%	37	12	32%	
All Students	267	213	80%	259	203	78%	254	208	82%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	204	26	2	1	6	15
Percent	80%	10%	1%	0%	2%	6%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
37	12	0	37

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	6		9		1	0.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	9		9		1	0.1%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		1		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	8	0.7%	10	0.9%	1	0.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	11	1.0%	10	0.9%	1	0.1%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	277	230	712
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	22	38
9–12	Number of All Students	277	252	750
	Percent of Enrollment	25%	23%	69%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

T4	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	6	67%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	3	#	4	#
Science	0	0%	0	0%	2	#
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	16	100%	20	95%	16	88%
Science	8	75%	7	100%	2	#
Reading	2	#	1	#	1	#
Writing	2	#	0	0%	3	#
Global Studies	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	3	#	0	0%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	Negents	) L'Aaiiii	mations	,		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	275	282	242	50	39	18
Number Scoring 55–100	273	278	238	49	37	17
Number Scoring 65–100	265	271	234	47	35	15
Number Scoring 85–100	173	202	166	21	10	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	98%	98%	95%	94%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	96%	97%	94%	90%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	63%	72%	69%	42%	26%	22%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	0	267	280	0	26	40
Number Scoring 55–100	0	252	276	0	18	38
Number Scoring 65–100	0	239	269	0	13	32
Number Scoring 85–100	0	100	140	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	94%	99%	0%	69%	95%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	90%	96%	0%	50%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	37%	50%	0%	0%	5%
1 010011111 of 10001111 of 1000111 of 10001111 of 1000111 of		athematics B	20,0	0,0	0,70	270
Number Tested	0	0	177	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	169	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	156	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	75	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	95%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	42%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	286	252	265	40	24	37
Number Scoring 55–100	285	249	259	39	23	35
Number Scoring 65–100	279	240	251	37	19	31
Number Scoring 85–100	163	134	169	3	4	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	97%	96%	95%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	95%	95%	93%	79%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	57%	53%	64%	7%	17%	22%
		ory and Gover	rnment		•	•
Number Tested	274	276	232	50	40	15
Number Scoring 55–100	270	276	231	48	40	15
Number Scoring 65–100	258	272	228	42	37	15
Number Scoring 85–100	126	207	165	13	16	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	99%	98%	84%	93%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	75%	71%	26%	40%	53%
		1				

(Form – F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Livin	g Environme	nt				
Number Tested	245	251	292	38	25	42	
Number Scoring 55–100	245	246	286	38	22	39	
Number Scoring 65–100	244	244	276	37	21	32	
Number Scoring 85–100	103	113	135	4	2	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	98%	100%	88%	93%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	95%	97%	84%	76%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	45%	46%	11%	8%	7%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	131	169	172	11	31	17	
Number Scoring 55–100	131	168	168	11	30	16	
Number Scoring 65–100	130	161	157	10	29	14	
Number Scoring 85–100	64	81	44	5	6	4	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	97%	94%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	95%	91%	91%	94%	82%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	48%	26%	45%	19%	24%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	241	194	170	18	8	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	237	190	170	16	7	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	204	171	160	14	5	5	
Number Scoring 85–100	47	53	55	1	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	100%	89%	88%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	88%	94%	78%	62%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	27%	32%	6%	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			94			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			91			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			73			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			19			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			97%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			78%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			20%			#	

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	23	22	57	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	23	22	57	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	22	53	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	20	36	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	93%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	91%	63%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	7	4	0	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	#	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	#	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	#	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	100%	#	0%	#	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	_		
Number Tested	7	0	0	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	0	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	86%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	200	157	178	5	7	5
Number Scoring 55–100	200	157	174	5	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	199	157	172	5	7	4
Number Scoring 85–100	140	114	104	2	4	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	70%	73%	58%	40%	57%	40%
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La			•	
Number Tested	2	1	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	245	202	0	19	14	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	233	187	0	17	11	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	226	170	0	17	7	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	151	105	0	7	2	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	93%	0%	89%	79%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	84%	0%	89%	50%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	52%	0%	37%	14%	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	9	67%	0	0%	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

<u> </u>								
		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4		
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%		
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%		

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	241	241	241	36	36	36	277	277	277
Number Scoring 55–64	1	0	1	2	2	0	3	2	1
Number Scoring 65–84	74	46	77	29	18	25	103	64	102
Number Scoring 85–100	161	188	157	3	15	9	164	203	166
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8	)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			22			0
Beginning (0–18)			1			0
Intermediate (19–31)			6			0
Advanced (32–36)			5			0
Proficient (37–39)			10			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			22			0
Beginning (0–14)			2			0
Intermediate (15–24)			5			0
Advanced (25–32)			12			0
Proficient (33–35)			3			0

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)