# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:	46-13-00-01-0008
Name:	Oswego High School
Principal:	Fran Murphy

Grade Range : 9-12

## **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	462	425	430
Tenth	410	462	410
Eleventh	436	399	432
Twelfth	332	437	422
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1640	1723	1694

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	32	2.0%	27	1.6%	29	1.7%
Black (Not Hispanic)	15	0.9%	17	1.0%	18	1.1%
Hispanic	38	2.3%	37	2.1%	52	3.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	1555	94.8%	1642	95.3%	1595	94.2%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	8	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	23	22
English Grade 10	23	25	24
Mathematics Grade 10	21	22	20
Science Grade 10	23	22	22
Social Studies Grade 10	26	25	24

(Form - A)

Oswego High School

46-13-00-01-0008

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

50 All schools in this group are secondary level districts with average student needs in relation capacity. The schools in this group are in the student needs for secondary level schools in the schools in	on to district resource middle range of

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

## Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	4	0.2%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	235	14.3%	140	8.1%	196	11.6%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.4%		93.0%		90.9%
Student Suspensions	113	7.2%	235	14.3%	432	25.1%

#### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	5.1%	2.3%	2.8%
Public Assistance	21-30%	21-30%	21-30%
Student Stability	98%	85%	97%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	108
Total Other Professional Staff	14
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	4

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	243	167	69%	322	229	71%	315	216	69%
Students with Disabilities	10	4	40%	14	5	36%	3	0	0%
All Students	253	171	68%	336	234	70%	318	216	68%

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	186	80	6	5	13	28
Percent	58%	25%	2%	2%	4%	9%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	9	12

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	44		42		58	3.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	39		49		12	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	83		91		70	4.5%
Students	Dropped Out	3		7		9	5.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		7		1	0.6%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		14		10	6.4%
All	Dropped Out	47	2.9%	49	2.8%	67	3.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	40	2.4%	56	3.3%	13	0.8%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	87	5.3%	105	6.1%	80	4.7%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	38	1530	1497
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	173	193	197
9–12	Number of All Students	211	1723	1694
	Percent of Enrollment	13%	100%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	Statewide	
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	6	67%	4	#
Science	1	#	1	#	2	#
Reading	0	0%	2	#	6	83%
Writing	0	0%	1	#	7	86%
Global Studies	0	0%	4	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	1	#	0	0%

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
1051	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	40	68%	36	61%	
Science	0	0%	19	58%	19	63%	
Reading	0	0%	27	93%	11	100%	
Writing	0	0%	23	74%	10	90%	
Global Studies	0	0%	12	58%	6	33%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	13	46%	7	57%	

(Form – E)

	Negenis			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Eng				
Number Tested	360	359	363	17	34	23
Number Scoring 55–100	332	324	350	9	13	15
Number Scoring 65–100	287	300	326	6	9	10
Number Scoring 85–100	135	125	150	2	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	90%	96%	53%	38%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	84%	90%	35%	26%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	35%	41%	12%	3%	0%
	Μ	athematics A				
Number Tested	277	401	507	7	37	26
Number Scoring 55–100	248	365	503	2	22	25
Number Scoring 65–100	223	333	478	1	16	17
Number Scoring 85–100	105	59	165	1	0	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	91%	99%	29%	59%	96%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	83%	94%	14%	43%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	15%	33%	14%	0%	12%
	Μ	athematics <b>B</b>	•	-	•	•
Number Tested	0	188	187	0	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	0	185	177	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	165	173	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	36	50	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	95%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	88%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	19%	27%	0%	#	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	364	423	332	29	35	25
Number Scoring 55–100	344	394	315	21	26	23
Number Scoring 65–100	308	369	288	14	22	17
Number Scoring 85–100	103	154	134	0	6	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	93%	95%	72%	74%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	87%	87%	48%	63%	68%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	36%	40%	0%	17%	8%
	U.S. Histo	ory and Gove	rnment		•	•
Number Tested	377	359	362	17	29	21
Number Scoring 55–100	350	334	346	13	17	13
Number Scoring 65–100	317	314	326	8	13	10
Number Scoring 85–100	112	149	185	1	3	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	93%	96%	76%	59%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	87%	90%	47%	45%	48%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	42%	51%	6%	10%	19%

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		1	r	r
Number Tested	280	348	284	14	25	14
Number Scoring 55–100	280	342	281	14	21	13
Number Scoring 65–100	273	325	265	12	17	10
Number Scoring 85–100	74	134	87	0	4	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	99%	100%	84%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	93%	93%	86%	68%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	39%	31%	0%	16%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	359	322	336	17	14	18
Number Scoring 55–100	340	298	301	11	9	14
Number Scoring 65–100	313	269	263	9	9	10
Number Scoring 85–100	136	112	79	2	0	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	93%	90%	65%	64%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	84%	78%	53%	64%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	35%	24%	12%	0%	17%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	129	116	156	1	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	120	107	151	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	97	82	119	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	13	31	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	92%	97%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	71%	76%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	8%	11%	20%	#	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			2			0
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			#			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre	1			-
Number Tested	132	131	85	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	131	131	85	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	125	131	82	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	49	84	50	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	96%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	37%	64%	59%	#	#	0%
		rehensive Ital			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	144	145	132	1	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	143	144	128	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	140	142	127	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	75	101	84	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	98%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	70%	64%	#	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La		-		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		1				(Form –

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	228	14	0	2	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	214	8	0	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	201	5	0	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	109	0	0	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	57%	0%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	36%	0%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%				

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	5	100%	37	95%	36	92%
Students with Disabilities	3	#	13	77%	6	83%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## **2000** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	362	362	362	16	16	16	378	378	378	
Number Scoring 55–64	9	10	7	3	0	1	12	10	8	
Number Scoring 65–84	204	146	165	7	1	5	211	147	170	
Number Scoring 85–100	102	146	141	0	3	1	102	149	142	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form – J)