New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:47-20-01-04-0001Name:Richfield Springs Central SchoolPrincipal:Penny Harrington

Grade Range : K-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	46	36	44
First	55	48	39
Second	51	57	51
Third	46	46	56
Fourth	48	50	44
Fifth	57	48	46
Sixth	42	57	50
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	58	44	60
Eighth	50	60	43
Ninth	63	51	66
Tenth	59	60	49
Eleventh	65	58	61
Twelfth	70	66	58
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	710	681	667

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.6%	4	0.6%	12	1.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	7	1.0%	9	1.3%	10	1.5%
Hispanic	5	0.7%	3	0.4%	2	0.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	694	97.7%	665	97.7%	643	96.4%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	17	18	15
Common Branch	18	17	18
English Grade 8	10	20	20
Mathematics Grade 8	13	21	21
Science Grade 8	14	20	21
Social Studies Grade 8	15	20	19
English Grade 10	15	15	16
Mathematics Grade 10	20	8	15
Science Grade 10	0	40	25
Social Studies Grade 10	16	19	17

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable
	districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	227	32.0%	131	19.2%	170	25.5%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.7%		94.8%		95.4%
Student Suspensions	16	2.3%	27	3.8%	33	4.9%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	13.1%	9.5%	11.8%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	90%	97%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	63				
Total Other Professional Staff	7				
Total Paraprofessionals	NA				
Teaching Out of Certification*	3				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	52	35	67%	54	29	54%	45	27	60%	
Students with Disabilities	7	1	14%	5	1	20%	3	0	0%	
All Students	59	36	61%	59	30	51%	48	27	56%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	11	30	0	1	6	0
Percent	23%	62%	0%	2%	12%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	4	7

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	3		13		2	1.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		2		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3		15		2	1.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		2	7.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		3		2	7.4%
All	Dropped Out	3	1.2%	16	6.8%	4	1.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	3	1.2%	18	7.7%	4	1.7%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	99	143	149
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	18	16
0-0	Number of All Students	109	161	165
	Percent of Enrollment	73%	100%	108%
	Number of General-Education Students	41	48	43
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	29	35
9-12	Number of All Students	41	77	78
	Percent of Enrollment	16%	33%	33%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	Statewide	
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	34	94%	23	100%	28	89%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	18	100%	0	0%	19	84%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compr	ehensive Eng	lish		1	1
Number Tested	56	54	56	7	3	4
Number Scoring 55–100	47	48	55	2	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	39	48	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	9	19	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	84%	89%	98%	29%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	57%	72%	86%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	2%	17%	34%	0%	#	#
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	22	28	54	2	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	20	23	54	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	18	22	52	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	3	15	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	82%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	79%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	11%	28%	#	#	#
		athematics B			•	•
Number Tested	0	0	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	52	62	46	2	3	4
Number Scoring 55–100	49	59	34	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	45	55	24	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	25	7	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	95%	74%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	89%	52%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	40%	15%	#	#	#
refeelinge of rested Scoring 65–100		ry and Gove		П	П	π
Number Tested	62	52	56	8	1	4
Number Scoring 55–100	55	52	55	7	#	#
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	50	49	54	5	#	#
	15	21	29	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	89%			0 88%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		100%	98%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	94%	96%	62%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	40%	52%	0%	#	# (Earma

(Form – F)

	Regents					
		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme				
Number Tested	37	26	63	1	6	2
Number Scoring 55–100	37	26	62	#	6	#
Number Scoring 65–100	37	23	60	#	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	1	24	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	88%	95%	#	83%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	4%	38%	#	0%	#
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	40	27	9	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	38	27	8	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	38	24	7	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	16	9	1	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	100%	89%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	89%	78%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	33%	11%	0%	0%	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	22	17	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	22	17	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	15	17	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	2	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	88%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	88%	65%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	5%	12%	4%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			7			0
Number Scoring 55–100			7			0
Number Scoring 65–100			4			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			57%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			14%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre				-
Number Tested	14	14	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	14	14	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	14	14	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	8	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	14%	57%	62%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ital			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
× ×	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			•
Number Tested	15	3	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	15	#	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	#	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	8	#	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La		•		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	070	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	36	46	0	1	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	36	46	0	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	35	45	0	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	24	32	0	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	0%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	98%	0%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	70%	0%	#	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	19	100%	38	100%	40	98%
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	5	80%	3	#

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	40	8%	13%	48%	33%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	6	33%	17%	33%	17%
	All Students	46	11%	13%	46%	30%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	32	0%	53%	44%	3%
	Students with Disabilities	8	13%	88%	0%	0%
	All Students	40	3%	60%	35%	3%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2		Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	48	48	48	10	10	10	58	58	58
Number Scoring 55–64	4	1	1	1	0	0	5	1	1
Number Scoring 65–84	25	21	29	2	3	4	27	24	33
Number Scoring 85–100	14	21	13	1	1	0	15	22	13
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)