New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:49-02-02-04-0000Name:Brunswick Central School District (Brittonkill)Superintendent:Teresa Thayer Snyder

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	91	73	87
First	98	95	81
Second	101	98	103
Third	102	107	103
Fourth	120	102	106
Fifth	127	125	106
Sixth	104	129	126
Ungraded Elementary	0	6	0
Seventh	108	102	138
Eighth	103	118	110
Ninth	136	114	134
Tenth	107	120	101
Eleventh	90	104	116
Twelfth	103	94	104
Ungraded Secondary	0	16	1
Total K-12 Enrollment	1390	1403	1416

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	20	1.4%	20	1.4%	18	1.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	20	1.4%	23	1.6%	23	1.6%
Hispanic	5	0.4%	9	0.6%	11	0.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	1345	96.8%	1351	96.3%	1364	96.3%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	20	17	22
Common Branch	22	21	23
English Grade 8	17	21	19
Mathematics Grade 8	19	23	20
Science Grade 8	21	23	21
Social Studies Grade 8	20	23	22
English Grade 10	17	19	20
Mathematics Grade 10	16	15	19
Science Grade 10	25	16	20
Social Studies Grade 10	16	13	19

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	6	0.4%	6	0.4%	5	0.4%
Eligible for Free Lunch	208	15.9%	134	10.1%	139	9.8%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		200	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		95.3%		96.0%
Student Suspensions	41	2.9%	31	2.2%	24	1.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.9%	4.6%	4.8%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts						
Staff 2003–04						
Total Teachers	108					
Total Other Professional Staff	18					
Total Paraprofessionals	39					
Teaching Out of Certification*	0					

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02				2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	81	63	78%	79	56	71%	85	68	80%	
Students with Disabilities	8	2	25%	5	1	20%	9	2	22%	
All Students	89	65	73%	84	57	68%	94	70	74%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	40	37	5	4	8	0
Percent	43%	39%	5%	4%	9%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
9	2	5	14

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		4		3	0.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	7		4		3	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	14		8		6	1.6%
Students	Dropped Out	1		2		2	2.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		2		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	3		4		2	2.5%
All	Dropped Out	8	1.8%	6	1.4%	5	1.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	9	2.1%	6	1.4%	3	0.7%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	17	3.9%	12	2.7%	8	1.8%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	16	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	16	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	6%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	277	317
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	66	52
0-0	Number of All Students	0	343	369
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	96%	99%
	Number of General-Education Students	369	354	376
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	67	67	69
9–12	Number of All Students	436	421	445
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	95%	98%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	15		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	15	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	15	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	15	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	15		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	15	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	44	95%	32	100%	41	98%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	44	95%	39	97%	39	97%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	7	71%	4	#	3	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	4	#	4	#	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	2	#	1	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng		ſ	1	1
Number Tested	95	99	124	6	9	20
Number Scoring 55–100	93	97	110	6	8	14
Number Scoring 65–100	86	91	105	3	5	11
Number Scoring 85–100	47	51	67	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	89%	100%	89%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	92%	85%	50%	56%	55%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	52%	54%	0%	11%	5%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	26	113	143	2	6	27
Number Scoring 55–100	22	100	132	#	4	24
Number Scoring 65–100	21	85	117	#	2	20
Number Scoring 85–100	17	21	44	#	0	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	88%	92%	#	67%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	75%	82%	#	33%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	65%	19%	31%	#	0%	15%
	M	athematics B	•		•	
Number Tested	0	19	74	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	18	66	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	18	56	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	13	22	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	95%	89%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	95%	76%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	68%	30%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy	•	•	
Number Tested	106	132	108	11	22	20
Number Scoring 55–100	101	124	86	8	19	11
Number Scoring 65–100	90	119	80	6	16	9
Number Scoring 85–100	30	45	39	1	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	80%	73%	86%	55%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	90%	74%	55%	73%	45%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	34%	36%	9%	9%	10%
U	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	ment	•	•	
Number Tested	100	97	121	6	6	17
Number Scoring 55–100	93	95	115	5	6	17
Number Scoring 65–100	83	92	110	2	6	16
Number Scoring 85–100	30	43	68	0	1	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	98%	95%	83%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	95%	91%	33%	100%	94%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	44%	56%	0%	17%	24%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme			ſ	Γ
Number Tested	121	132	102	12	23	13
Number Scoring 55–100	120	127	82	12	23	9
Number Scoring 65–100	116	116	76	9	16	8
Number Scoring 85–100	47	39	12	2	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	96%	80%	100%	100%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	88%	75%	75%	70%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	30%	12%	17%	13%	8%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	80	96	114	8	9	11
Number Scoring 55–100	74	92	108	8	8	11
Number Scoring 65–100	68	87	100	5	7	8
Number Scoring 85–100	32	45	57	2	3	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	96%	95%	100%	89%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	85%	91%	88%	62%	78%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	40%	47%	50%	25%	33%	18%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	74	80	71	1	2	3
Number Scoring 55–100	72	76	71	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	49	55	57	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	7	10	9	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	69%	80%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	9%	12%	13%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			26			1
Number Scoring 55–100			26			#
Number Scoring 65–100			25			#
Number Scoring 85–100			5			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			96%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			19%			#

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Negents	All Students			nts with Disa	hilitian
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	
				2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	37	rehensive Fre 40	27	2	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	37	39	27	 #	0	#
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	36	39	27	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	20	14	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	14	#	0%	#
	97%	97%	96%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	<u> </u>	52%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		rehensive Ital		#	0%	#
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100				0%	0%	0%
Number Tested	0	ehensive Ger	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		ehensive Heb		070	0%	0%
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Fercentage of Tested Scotting 85–100		ehensive Spa		070	070	070
Number Tested	34	36	28	3	3	1
Number Scoring 55–100	33	32	27	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	33	30	27	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	18	19	19	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	89%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	83%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	53%	68%	#	#	#
referrage of rested Scoring 85–100		orehensive La		π	π	π
Number Tested		0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of reside Scotting 03-100	0/0	070	0/0	0/0	070	(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004)	
Number Tested	71	58	2	3	4	0
Number Scoring 55–100	66	47	#	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	62	43	#	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	23	14	#	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	81%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	87%	74%	#	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	32%	24%	#	#	#	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
35	100%	17	100%	6	100%
16	100%	9	89%	2	#
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing 35 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 35 100% 17	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 35 100% 17 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 35 100% 17 100% 6

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	95	2%	3%	54%	41%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	6	17%	0%	67%	17%
	All Students	101	3%	3%	54%	40%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	87	3%	47%	44%	6%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	13	54%	31%	15%	0%
	All Students	100	10%	45%	40%	5%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level	•					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	vel	•					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level	•					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	88	88	88	13	13	13	101	101	101	
Number Scoring 55–64	5	3	1	1	0	4	6	3	5	
Number Scoring 65–84	48	41	36	5	7	4	53	48	40	
Number Scoring 85–100	31	41	48	1	1	1	32	42	49	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade K–	1)		I
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			2			1
Beginning (0–18)			#			#
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#
Advanced (32–36)			#			#
Proficient (37–39)			#			#
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			2			1
Beginning (0–14)			#			#
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#
Advanced (25–32)			#			#
Proficient (33–35)			#			#
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)	1		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)	lucinistens din the 200		#	ta data Camalia		0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

110		All Students			ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT) [*] Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)				
Number Tested			3			1		
Beginning (0–18)			#			#		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			#		
Advanced (32–36)			#			#		
Proficient (37–39)			#			#		
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8))				
Number Tested			3			1		
Beginning (0–14)			#			#		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			#		
Advanced (25–32)			#			#		
Proficient (33–35)			#			#		
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			2			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)				
Number Tested			2			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)