## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:49-12-00-01-0000Name:Rensselaer City School DistrictSuperintendent:Gordon F. Reynolds

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	27	50	51
Kindergarten	57	71	65
First	82	72	80
Second	92	92	63
Third	80	90	81
Fourth	77	84	88
Fifth	95	88	92
Sixth	85	102	80
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	89	88	112
Eighth	84	82	92
Ninth	91	93	93
Tenth	86	72	82
Eleventh	59	57	64
Twelfth	64	64	54
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1041	1055	1046

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	16	1.5%	24	2.3%	27	2.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	146	14.0%	149	14.1%	136	13.0%
Hispanic	28	2.7%	26	2.5%	27	2.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	851	81.7%	856	81.1%	856	81.8%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	13	15	14
Common Branch	18	18	18
English Grade 8	15	13	16
Mathematics Grade 8	13	12	14
Science Grade 8	20	16	0
Social Studies Grade 8	17	13	14
English Grade 10	0	17	19
Mathematics Grade 10	19	18	18
Science Grade 10	14	0	0
Social Studies Grade 10	14	20	19

Rensselaer City School District

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.
	in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	12	1.1%	7	0.6%	10	0.9%
Eligible for Free Lunch	405	38.9%	494	46.8%	483	46.2%

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.7%		92.9%		92.8%
Student Suspensions	141	13.5%	150	14.4%	125	11.9%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	17.0%	18.4%	16.2%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	113				
Total Other Professional Staff	15				
Total Paraprofessionals	36				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

		2001–02			2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	46	28	61%	51	21	41%	38	24	63%	
Students with Disabilities	8	0	0%	4	0	0%	10	1	10%	
All Students	54	28	52%	55	21	38%	48	25	52%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	13	32	0	0	3	0
Percent	27%	67%	0%	0%	6%	0%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
10	1	4	14

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	5		4		1	0.4%
Education	Entered GED Program*	7		1		7	3.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	12		5		8	3.6%
Students	Dropped Out	3		4		4	6.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		2		1	1.6%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	4		6		5	7.9%
All	Dropped Out	8	2.7%	8	2.8%	5	1.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	8	2.7%	3	1.0%	8	2.8%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	16	5.3%	11	3.8%	13	4.5%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	40	203	233
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	17	69	51
0-0	Number of All Students	57	272	284
	Percent of Enrollment	22%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	222	293
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	64	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	286	293
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	63	60%	59	73%	69	52%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	2	#	0	0%	1	#

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	0	0%	1	#
Science	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	6	83%	4	#	1	#	
Science	8	63%	1	#	1	#	
Reading	2	#	6	100%	1	#	
Writing	4	#	2	#	4	#	
Global Studies	3	#	3	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	3	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents			n		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng			1	T
Number Tested	63	55	58	5	10	6
Number Scoring 55–100	58	43	56	3	3	6
Number Scoring 65–100	42	40	53	1	3	4
Number Scoring 85–100	4	15	19	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	78%	97%	60%	30%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	73%	91%	20%	30%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	27%	33%	0%	0%	17%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	23	61	58	0	8	9
Number Scoring 55–100	16	47	50	0	2	6
Number Scoring 65–100	14	36	38	0	1	5
Number Scoring 85–100	5	5	1	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	70%	77%	86%	0%	25%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	61%	59%	66%	0%	12%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	8%	2%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	
Number Tested	0	13	35	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	10	27	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	7	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	77%	77%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	54%	63%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		•,•	•,•	.,.
Number Tested	67	62	67	13	6	9
Number Scoring 55–100	59	57	64	11	5	6
Number Scoring 65–100	49	56	58	8	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	16	21	19	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	92%	96%	85%	83%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	90%	87%	62%	83%	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	34%	28%	0%	0%	11%
		ory and Gover		0,0	0,0	11/0
Number Tested	72	49	59	9	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	65	44	53	5	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	62	41	44	4	6	2
Number Scoring 85–100	13	9	22	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	90%	90%	56%	78%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	84%	75%	44%	67%	29%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	18%	37%	0%	0%	14%
recentage of reside Scotting 03-100	10/0	10/0	5770	070	070	(Earra

(Form - F)

	Regents			1			
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme			-		
Number Tested	53	68	71	6	8	8	
Number Scoring 55–100	53	63	66	6	8	6	
Number Scoring 65–100	51	49	52	6	3	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	17	5	7	0	0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	93%	93%	100%	100%	75%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	72%	73%	100%	38%	50%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	7%	10%	0%	0%	12%	
		etting/Earth					
Number Tested	15	49	65	1	3	7	
Number Scoring 55–100	14	47	57	#	#	6	
Number Scoring 65–100	14	44	43	#	#	3	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	9	7	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	96%	88%	#	#	86%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	90%	66%	#	#	43%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	18%	11%	#	#	0%	
		Setting/Cher	N N	•			
Number Tested	49	19	24	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	48	18	24	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	43	12	19	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	63%	79%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			18			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			16			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			13			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			89%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			72%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			6%			0%	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents	All Students				L 11:41 a
	2001 02				nts with Disa	
	2001–02		2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Nough an Tasta I		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	34	33	36	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	34	32	34	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	31	31	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	16	17	10	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	94%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	94%	86%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	52%	28%	0%	0%	#
8		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested Scotting 05 100	0/0	070	070	070	070	(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	26	20	7	1	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	25	13	2	#	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	23	9	1	#	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	8	1	0	#	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	65%	29%	#	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	45%	14%	#	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	31%	5%	0%	#	0%	0%		

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
6	100%	0	0%	12	100%
12	92%	0	0%	5	40%
		No. Tested % Passing   6 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   6 100% 0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   6 100% 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   6 100% 0 0% 12

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	70	4%	4%	81%	10%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	20	30%	10%	60%	0%
	All Students	90	10%	6%	77%	8%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	74	3%	64%	26%	8%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	17	29%	41%	29%	0%
	All Students	91	8%	59%	26%	7%

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	<b>General-Education Students</b>			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	37	37	37	14	14	14	51	51	51
Number Scoring 55–64	0	2	2	2	1	4	2	3	6
Number Scoring 65–84	21	23	27	7	6	6	28	29	33
Number Scoring 85–100	13	9	6	0	0	0	13	9	6
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	3)	I			
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)					
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			7			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0		
Advanced (32–36)			2			0		
Proficient (37–39)			4			0		
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)				
Number Tested			7			0		
Beginning (0–14)			1			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0		
Advanced (25–32)			5			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		

37 1 04 4 5 1.1 C лı A 1 · 4 **T** AT ANVOLOL AT \*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)