New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 53-06-00-01-0000

Name: Schenectady City School District

Superintendent: John Falco

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	105	78	117
Kindergarten	621	577	708
First	697	628	638
Second	726	626	658
Third	714	692	662
Fourth	641	677	725
Fifth	712	642	683
Sixth	719	792	723
Ungraded Elementary	34	86	91
Seventh	641	666	767
Eighth	601	648	686
Ninth	608	781	879
Tenth	504	588	674
Eleventh	460	476	519
Twelfth	355	457	505
Ungraded Secondary	305	112	55
Total K-12 Enrollment	8338	8448	8973

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

9	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	355	4.3%	491	5.8%	732	8.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2581	31.0%	2703	32.0%	2810	31.3%
Hispanic	814	9.8%	955	11.3%	1137	12.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	4588	55.0%	4299	50.9%	4294	47.9%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	23	22	21
Common Branch	23	22	22
English Grade 8	26	25	24
Mathematics Grade 8	25	26	23
Science Grade 8	25	25	23
Social Studies Grade 8	25	25	23
English Grade 10	24	25	26
Mathematics Grade 10	23	31	31
Science Grade 10	28	27	21
Social Studies Grade 10	24	25	25

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
3	This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

3 1	200	2001-02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	211	2.5%	233	2.7%	271	3.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	3602	45.2%	3971	48.3%	4271	47.6%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate	Students	92.0%	Students	91.6%	Students	91.5%
Student Suspensions	1308	15.4%	1163	14.0%	1722	20.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.6%	10.4%	8.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	641
Total Other Professional Staff	75
Total Paraprofessionals	353
Teaching Out of Certification*	43

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	339	112	33%	303	123	41%	297	159	54%	
Students with Disabilities	19	3	16%	34	1	3%	31	4	13%	
All Students	358	115	32%	337	124	37%	328	163	50%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	139	140	0	15	30	4
Percent	42%	43%	0%	5%	9%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
31	4	22	53

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	323		125		119	5.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	41		71		80	3.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	364		196		199	9.6%
Students	Dropped Out	56		12		26	6.2%
with	Entered GED Program*	10		7		13	3.1%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	66		19		39	9.3%
All	Dropped Out	379	18.6%	137	6.0%	145	5.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	51	2.5%	78	3.4%	93	3.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	430	21.1%	215	9.3%	238	9.5%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	4%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	1444	1437	1290
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	261	225	99
6–8	Number of All Students	1705	1662	1389
	Percent of Enrollment	82%	77%	63%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	1913	2191
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	389	386
9–12	Number of All Students	0	2302	2577
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	97%	99%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	145		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	145	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	145	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	145	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	133		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	133	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	5	8%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	8	32%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	11	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	89	100%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

T4	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	16	63%
Science	20	65%	0	0%	15	67%
Reading	5	80%	0	0%	10	40%
Writing	5	60%	0	0%	14	79%
Global Studies	16	50%	0	0%	10	60%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	21	76%	0	0%	3	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	101	63%
Science	67	48%	0	0%	105	47%
Reading	23	83%	0	0%	54	56%
Writing	22	77%	0	0%	54	93%
Global Studies	38	26%	0	0%	44	30%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	21	38%	0	0%	24	54%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	Negents	LAaiiii	mations	,		
		All Students	1	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	465	467	526	52	38	56
Number Scoring 55–100	339	359	458	16	20	31
Number Scoring 65–100	224	278	347	5	13	15
Number Scoring 85–100	74	100	104	1	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	73%	77%	87%	31%	53%	55%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	48%	60%	66%	10%	34%	27%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	21%	20%	2%	5%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	398	519	608	40	25	38
Number Scoring 55–100	229	350	546	10	9	23
Number Scoring 65–100	156	280	447	3	5	15
Number Scoring 85–100	40	45	42	1	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	58%	67%	90%	25%	36%	61%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	39%	54%	74%	7%	20%	39%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	9%	7%	3%	4%	5%
1 orderings of 1 october 5 oct 100		athematics B	,,,	270	.,,	2,0
Number Tested	0	0	154	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	118	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	87	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	11	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	77%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	56%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	7%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	462	520	679	15	11	60
Number Scoring 55–100	393	355	497	10	6	25
Number Scoring 65–100	281	291	366	4	3	13
Number Scoring 85–100	70	77	96	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	68%	73%	67%	55%	42%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	61%	56%	54%	27%	27%	22%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	15%	14%	0%	0%	2%
		ry and Gover			7 1 7	
Number Tested	484	0	482	50	0	53
Number Scoring 55–100	391	0	364	23	0	31
Number Scoring 65–100	263	0	260	13	0	15
Number Scoring 85–100	50	0	87	1	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	0%	76%	46%	0%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	54%	0%	54%	26%	0%	28%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	0%	18%	2%	0%	4%
	1070	0,0	10,0	_ · · ·	0,0	

(Form – F)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04				
Living Environment										
Number Tested	509	0	592	49	0	59				
Number Scoring 55–100	482	0	498	43	0	38				
Number Scoring 65–100	421	0	400	32	0	21				
Number Scoring 85–100	48	0	59	0	0	1				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	0%	84%	88%	0%	64%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	0%	68%	65%	0%	36%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	0%	10%	0%	0%	2%				
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science							
Number Tested	182	161	239	12	8	8				
Number Scoring 55–100	166	134	191	10	6	7				
Number Scoring 65–100	146	111	139	9	5	3				
Number Scoring 85–100	28	15	19	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	83%	80%	83%	75%	88%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	69%	58%	75%	62%	38%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	15%	9%	8%	0%	0%	0%				
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry							
Number Tested	217	202	234	5	2	4				
Number Scoring 55–100	172	163	185	3	#	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	93	92	122	2	#	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	13	9	14	0	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	81%	79%	60%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	43%	46%	52%	40%	#	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	4%	6%	0%	#	#				
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics							
Number Tested			49			1				
Number Scoring 55–100			39			#				
Number Scoring 65–100			32			#				
Number Scoring 85–100			5			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			80%			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			65%			#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			10%			#				

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	33	0	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	32	0	27	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	27	0	26	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	0	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	0%	93%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	0%	90%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	0%	34%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	ian	_	•	
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	19	0	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	19	0	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	18	0	5	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	0	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	0%	83%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	_		
Number Tested	97	0	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	97	0	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	95	0	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	56	0	45	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	0%	73%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	190	47	5	1	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	152	26	3	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	146	15	2	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	56	3	0	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	55%	60%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	32%	40%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	6%	0%	#	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	209	96%	0	0%	136	71%	
Students with Disabilities	36	83%	0	0%	31	68%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	576	18%	14%	55%	13%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	97	51%	14%	35%	0%
	All Students	673	23%	14%	52%	11%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	604	6%	60%	31%	2%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	79	16%	75%	9%	0%
	All Students	683	7%	62%	28%	2%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	4	2	#	#	#	#				
		Middle Le	evel							
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary 1	Level							
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#				
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#				
Science	2	0	#	#	#	#				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	346	346	346	60	60	60	406	406	406	
Number Scoring 55–64	50	39	33	8	9	12	58	48	45	
Number Scoring 65–84	185	157	217	15	11	18	200	168	235	
Number Scoring 85–100	65	98	56	0	2	1	65	100	57	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested		Ŭ I	84			5				
Beginning (0–18)			2			1				
Intermediate (19–31)			22			3				
Advanced (32–36)			36			1				
Proficient (37–39)			24			0				
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)							
Number Tested			84			5				
Beginning (0–14)			30			4				
Intermediate (15–24)			15			1				
Advanced (25–32)			24			0				
Proficient (33–35)			15			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			93			16				
Beginning (0–18)			4			3				
Intermediate (19–31)			23			4				
Advanced (32–36)			36			7				
Proficient (37–39)			30			2				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			94			16				
Beginning (0–14)			36			11				
Intermediate (15–24)			42			5				
Advanced (25–32)			11			0				
Proficient (33–35)			5			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u> </u>						
Number Tested			37			4				
Beginning (0–18)			2			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			3			#				
Advanced (32–36)			14			#				
Proficient (37–39)			18			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			38			4				
Beginning (0–14)			8			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			21			#				
Advanced (25–32)			9			#				
Proficient (33–35)			0			#				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	,, Tolk State En	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	
Number Tested			24			0
Beginning (0–18)			2			0
Intermediate (19–31)			3			0
Advanced (32–36)			5			0
Proficient (37–39)			14			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			24			0
Beginning (0–14)			2			0
Intermediate (15–24)			8			0
Advanced (25–32)			12			0
Proficient (33–35)			2			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			43			1
Beginning (0–18)			4			#
Intermediate (19–31)			8			#
Advanced (32–36)			19			#
Proficient (37–39)			12			#
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)	<u> </u>	
Number Tested			43			1
Beginning (0–14)			7			#
Intermediate (15–24)			14			#
Advanced (25–32)			20			#
Proficient (33–35)			2			#

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)