New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:54-08-01-04-0000Name:Gilboa-Conesville Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Matthew Murray

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	30	32	31
First	38	32	31
Second	18	34	33
Third	24	23	33
Fourth	28	24	21
Fifth	26	32	24
Sixth	25	24	31
Ungraded Elementary	3	6	5
Seventh	31	37	27
Eighth	25	35	37
Ninth	39	28	35
Tenth	25	28	27
Eleventh	28	23	29
Twelfth	23	31	22
Ungraded Secondary	0	4	10
Total K-12 Enrollment	363	393	396

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	0.6%	2	0.5%	1	0.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	8	2.2%	6	1.5%	7	1.8%
Hispanic	3	0.8%	10	2.5%	3	0.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	350	96.4%	375	95.4%	385	97.2%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	15	16	16
Common Branch	15	15	16
English Grade 8	12	15	18
Mathematics Grade 8	14	14	18
Science Grade 8	13	18	35
Social Studies Grade 8	13	15	19
English Grade 10	12	14	12
Mathematics Grade 10	9	16	16
Science Grade 10	15	13	14
Social Studies Grade 10	12	14	13

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	95	26.2%	83	21.1%	102	25.8%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.7%		94.7%		94.5%
Student Suspensions	4	1.0%	11	3.0%	25	6.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	16.3%	14.8%	13.1%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts				
Staff	2003–04			
Total Teachers	43			
Total Other Professional Staff	4			
Total Paraprofessionals	10			
Teaching Out of Certification*	5			

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	21	12	57%	22	10	45%	21	12	57%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	5	0	0%	1	0	0%	
All Students	21	12	57%	27	10	37%	22	12	55%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	7	9	3	1	2	0
Percent	32%	41%	14%	5%	9%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
1	0	0	1

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	2001–02		2002–03		3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		0		1	1.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		0		1	1.1%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	2	1.7%	0	0.0%	1	0.9%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	16	69%	22	91%	32	88%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	3	#	3	#	3	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
1051	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	1	#	9	67%	
Science	4	#	3	#	5	60%	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	3	#	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			n	/ ·/I	1 •1• /•
	0001.00	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	23	26	31	3	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	21	23	31	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	20	19	29	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	8	5	18	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	88%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	73%	94%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	19%	58%	#	#	#
		athematics A			•	
Number Tested	4	34	27	1	0	4
Number Scoring 55–100	#	31	26	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	#	25	20	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	#	8	5	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	91%	96%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	74%	74%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	24%	19%	#	0%	#
0	M	athematics B	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	78%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	56%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	22%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	24	30	25	1	0	4
Number Scoring 55–100	23	27	22	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	21	27	20	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	9	20	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	90%	88%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	90%	80%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	30%	8%	#	0%	#
		ory and Gove		n	070	11
Number Tested	23	28	27	4	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	23	26	27	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	16	20	27	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	4	6	12	#	#	0
	96%	93%	12	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100				#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	82%	93%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	21%	44%	Ħ	Ŧ	<u> </u>

(Form - F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme			1	
Number Tested	26	21	33	0	5	13
Number Scoring 55–100	26	21	27	0	5	7
Number Scoring 65–100	26	17	20	0	3	4
Number Scoring 85–100	5	1	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	82%	0%	100%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	81%	61%	0%	60%	31%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	5%	18%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-	-	-
Number Tested	6	12	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	6	12	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	6	12	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	3	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	25%	44%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	16	26	18	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	16	23	18	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	13	17	10	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	3	0	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	88%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	65%	56%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	12%	0%	0%	0%	#
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				/ •/I TS•	1 •1•4•
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Normh en Teste 1		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		0		<u> </u>
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
· · · ·	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			•
Number Tested	10	10	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	10	10	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	10	10	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	8	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	80%	71%	0%	0%	0%
		orehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55 100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentinge of rested Scotting 05 100	070	0/0	0/0	070	070	(Form –

		All Students	-	Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	8	10	2	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	5	9	#	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	5	8	#	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	1	4	#	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	62%	90%	#	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	62%	80%	#	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	12%	40%	#	0%	0%	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
2	#	12	100%	6	100%
0	0%	0	0%	1	#
		No. Tested% Passing2#	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested2#12	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 2 # 12 100%	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% PassingNo. Tested2#12100%6

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	22	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	2	#	#	#	#
	All Students	24	4%	8%	63%	25%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	29	0%	38%	45%	17%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	5	0%	60%	40%	0%
	All Students	34	0%	41%	44%	15%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students											
Test	Tested	Not Tested Level 1		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4						
Elementary Level												
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#						
		Middle Le	evel									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
		Secondary I	Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0						

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	22	22	22	1	1	1	23	23	23
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	1	2
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	18	15	16
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	4	6	5
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form – J)