# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Grade Range :

7-12

BEDS Code:57-27-02-04-0002Name:Jasper-Troupsburg Junior-Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Robert Cleeves

## Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	40	53	47
Eighth	43	44	46
Ninth	37	49	36
Tenth	36	45	44
Eleventh	25	35	43
Twelfth	38	27	37
Ungraded Secondary	22	2	32
Total K-12 Enrollment	241	255	285

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
Hispanic	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	240	99.6%	255	100.0%	284	99.6%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	11	12	36
Mathematics Grade 8	13	13	14
Science Grade 8	15	13	0
Social Studies Grade 8	16	15	14
English Grade 10	13	14	15
Mathematics Grade 10	10	17	11
Science Grade 10	13	13	16
Social Studies Grade 10	13	14	12

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
47	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

## Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	86	35.7%	60	23.5%	82	28.8%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.8%		95.6%		96.3%
Student Suspensions	3	1.2%	3	1.2%	1	0.4%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	14.1%	10.6%	9.1%
Public Assistance	41-50%	41-50%	51-60%
Student Stability	100%	100%	92%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	29
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

57-27-02-04-0002

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	39	25	64%	21	15	71%	31	24	77%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	3	1	33%	3	0	0%	
All Students	39	25	64%	24	16	67%	34	24	71%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	11	14	3	1	5	0
Percent	32%	41%	9%	3%	15%	0%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	2	5

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		5		1	0.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		1		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8		6		1	0.7%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		1	3.2%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		1	3.2%
All	Dropped Out	7	4.7%	6	3.8%	2	1.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.7%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8	5.3%	7	4.5%	2	1.1%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	30	0
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	5	0
9-12	Number of All Students	0	35	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	22%	0%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	16	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	23	100%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	8	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	9	56%	1	#	
Science	0	0%	6	83%	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	3	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	6	67%	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	3	#	

(Form - E)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			•	•
Number Tested	28	37	42	0	2	6
Number Scoring 55–100	27	36	40	0	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	25	33	37	0	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	14	14	21	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	95%	0%	#	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	89%	88%	0%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	38%	50%	0%	#	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	33	45	40	0	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	27	42	40	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	38	39	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	9	13	17	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	93%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	84%	97%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	29%	42%	0%	#	#
	Ma	athematics <b>B</b>				
Number Tested	0	19	26	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	14	22	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	10	17	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	3	7	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	74%	85%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	53%	65%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	16%	27%	0%	#	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy	•		
Number Tested	35	44	47	0	8	7
Number Scoring 55–100	32	40	45	0	4	5
Number Scoring 65–100	29	39	36	0	4	2
Number Scoring 85–100	4	14	13	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	91%	96%	0%	50%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	89%	77%	0%	50%	29%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	32%	28%	0%	0%	0%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gove	rnment			
Number Tested	26	35	42	0	3	8
Number Scoring 55–100	26	34	40	0	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	19	34	37	0	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	7	18	17	0	#	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	95%	0%	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	97%	88%	0%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	51%	40%	0%	#	25%

(Form - F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme		1	1	
Number Tested	39	38	43	0	4	7
Number Scoring 55–100	39	38	43	0	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	37	38	43	0	#	7
Number Scoring 85–100	7	16	19	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	42%	44%	0%	#	14%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	39	48	43	0	4	6
Number Scoring 55–100	39	47	42	0	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	36	46	40	0	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	11	21	14	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	98%	0%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	96%	93%	0%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	28%	44%	33%	0%	#	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	17	28	30	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	17	28	29	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	23	26	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	7	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	82%	87%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	6%	4%	23%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			5			0
Number Scoring 55–100			5			0
Number Scoring 65–100			5			0
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			20%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Negents					1. *1*4* -
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	9	10	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	9	10	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	10	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	6	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	60%	50%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man		•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			•
Number Tested	18	19	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	18	19	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	18	19	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	13	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	95%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	68%	53%	0%	0%	0%
		orehensive La		070	070	070
Number Tested		0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 05–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of reside Scotting 05-100	070	070	070	0 /0	070	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cour	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	20	6	0	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	20	5	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	19	4	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	8	1	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	83%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	67%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	17%	0%	0%	#	0%

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
18	89%	6	100%	3	#
0	0%	11	91%	0	0%
		18 89%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   18 89% 6	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   18 89% 6 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   18 89% 6 100% 3

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	41	0%	51%	41%	7%
	Students with Disabilities	12	8%	75%	17%	0%
	All Students	53	2%	57%	36%	6%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

# 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	30	30	30	6	6	6	36	36	36
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Number Scoring 65–84	26	14	18	2	2	4	28	16	22
Number Scoring 85–100	4	16	12	0	1	0	4	17	12
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)