## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:58-04-10-03-0000Name:Commack Union Free School DistrictSuperintendent:James H. Hunderfund

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	578	594	602
First	606	587	571
Second	558	606	598
Third	576	577	618
Fourth	589	583	581
Fifth	563	606	580
Sixth	532	583	610
Ungraded Elementary	43	35	93
Seventh	574	544	587
Eighth	511	583	543
Ninth	491	524	578
Tenth	523	500	520
Eleventh	447	551	487
Twelfth	443	442	521
Ungraded Secondary	11	6	22
Total K-12 Enrollment	7045	7321	7511

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	396	5.6%	427	5.8%	492	6.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	66	0.9%	65	0.9%	68	0.9%
Hispanic	142	2.0%	179	2.4%	183	2.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	6441	91.4%	6650	90.8%	6768	90.1%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	20	22	22
Common Branch	22	23	22
English Grade 8	24	23	23
Mathematics Grade 8	21	21	21
Science Grade 8	22	22	23
Social Studies Grade 8	21	23	24
English Grade 10	25	22	25
Mathematics Grade 10	20	22	21
Science Grade 10	27	25	24
Social Studies Grade 10	25	26	26

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	65	0.9%	61	0.8%	62	0.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	75	1.1%	115	1.6%	108	1.4%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		200	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.7%		96.1%		96.0%
Student Suspensions	96	1.4%	104	1.5%	80	1.1%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	584				
Total Other Professional Staff	90				
Total Paraprofessionals	123				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

0	2001–02			•	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	356	337	95%	377	365	97%	449	437	97%	
Students with Disabilities	52	25	48%	55	29	53%	53	36	68%	
All Students	408	362	89%	432	394	91%	502	473	94%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	383	74	17	0	12	16
Percent	76%	15%	3%	0%	2%	3%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
53	36	4	57

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	2001–02		2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	10		13		6	0.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		2		1	0.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	12		15		7	0.4%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		6	2.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	3		2		1	0.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	3		2		7	2.4%
All	Dropped Out	10	0.5%	13	0.6%	12	0.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	5	0.3%	4	0.2%	2	0.1%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	15	0.8%	17	0.8%	14	0.7%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	455	500
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	78	45	0
9-12	Number of All Students	78	500	500
	Percent of Enrollment	4%	25%	24%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	Statewide	
CTE Flogram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	48		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	48	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	47	98%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	48	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	48		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	48	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	67	50%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	6	23%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	200	1-02	200	2–03	2003–04		
1 est	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	55	98%	52	100%	32	100%	
German	11	100%	13	100%	26	100%	
Italian	122	98%	124	98%	149	99%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	270	99%	270	100%	282	98%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	1	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	
Italian	4	#	8	88%	9	67%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	19	79%	20	90%	12	92%	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	2	#	1	#
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	1	#	0	0%	1	#
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	25	64%	6	67%	4	#	
Science	11	55%	3	#	3	#	
Reading	16	75%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	18	89%	2	#	3	#	
Global Studies	20	50%	4	#	18	50%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	43%	3	#	12	25%	

(Form - E)

All Students 2002–03 ehensive Eng 521 516 511 354 99% 98% 68% thematics A 633 584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	2003–04 lish 486 479 462 314 99% 95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	Stude           2001–02           54           50           45           10           93%           83%           19%           70           45           36           0           64%           51%           0%           0           0           0           0           0           0           0           0	nts with Disa 2002–03 59 55 52 10 93% 88% 17% 104 73 57 6 70% 55% 6% 15	61           58           46           7           95%           75%           11%           94           88           72           9           94%           77%           10%
ehensive Eng           521           516           511           354           99%           98%           68%           thematics A           633           584           540           161           92%           85%           25%           thematics B           384           352           301	lish 486 479 462 314 99% 95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	$ \begin{array}{r} 54\\ 50\\ 45\\ 10\\ 93\%\\ 83\%\\ 19\%\\ \hline 70\\ 45\\ 36\\ 0\\ 64\%\\ 51\%\\ 0\%\\ \hline 0\\ \end{array} $	59           55           52           10           93%           88%           17%           104           73           57           6           70%           55%           6%           15	61 58 46 7 95% 75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
521           516           511           354           99%           98%           68%           thematics A           633           584           540           161           92%           85%           25%           thematics B           384           352           301	486 479 462 314 99% 95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	$ \begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 45 \\ 10 \\ 93\% \\ 83\% \\ 19\% \\ \hline 70 \\ 45 \\ 36 \\ 0 \\ 64\% \\ 51\% \\ 0\% \\ \hline 0 \end{array} $	55         52         10         93%         88%         17%         104         73         57         6         70%         55%         6%         15	58 46 7 95% 75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
516         511         354         99%         98%         68%         thematics A         633         584         540         161         92%         85%         25%         thematics B         384         352         301	479 462 314 99% 95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	$ \begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 45 \\ 10 \\ 93\% \\ 83\% \\ 19\% \\ \hline 70 \\ 45 \\ 36 \\ 0 \\ 64\% \\ 51\% \\ 0\% \\ \hline 0 \end{array} $	55         52         10         93%         88%         17%         104         73         57         6         70%         55%         6%         15	58 46 7 95% 75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
511 354 99% 98% 68% thematics A 633 584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	462 314 99% 95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	$ \begin{array}{r}     45 \\     10 \\     93\% \\     83\% \\     19\% \\ \hline     70 \\     45 \\     36 \\     0 \\     64\% \\     51\% \\     0\% \\ \hline     0 \\ \end{array} $	52         10         93%         88%         17%         104         73         57         6         70%         55%         6%         15	46 7 95% 75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
354 99% 98% 68% thematics A 633 584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	314 99% 95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	$ \begin{array}{r} 10 \\ 93\% \\ 83\% \\ 19\% \\ \hline 70 \\ 45 \\ 36 \\ 0 \\ 64\% \\ 51\% \\ 0\% \\ \hline 0 \end{array} $	10           93%           88%           17%           104           73           57           6           70%           55%           6%           15	7 95% 75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
99% 98% 68% thematics A 633 584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	99%           95%           65%           647           637           612           287           98%           95%           44%           334           315	93% 83% 19% 70 45 36 0 64% 51% 0%	93% 88% 17% 104 73 57 6 70% 55% 6%	95% 75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
98% 68% thematics A 633 584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	95% 65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	83% 19% 70 45 36 0 64% 51% 0%	88%           17%           104           73           57           6           70%           55%           6%           15	75% 11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
68% thematics A 633 584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	65% 647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	19%           70           45           36           0           64%           51%           0%	17% 104 73 57 6 70% 55% 6% 15	11% 94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
thematics A           633           584           540           161           92%           85%           25%           thematics B           384           352           301	647 637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	70 45 36 0 64% 51% 0%	104 73 57 6 70% 55% 6%	94 88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
633           584           540           161           92%           85%           25%           thematics B           384           352           301	637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	45 36 0 64% 51% 0%	73 57 6 70% 55% 6%	88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
584 540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	637 612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	45 36 0 64% 51% 0%	73 57 6 70% 55% 6%	88 72 9 94% 77% 10%
540 161 92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	612 287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	36 0 64% 51% 0%	57 6 70% 55% 6%	72 9 94% 77% 10%
161           92%           85%           25%           thematics B           384           352           301	287 98% 95% 44% 334 315	0 64% 51% 0%	6 70% 55% 6%	9 94% 77% 10%
92% 85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	98% 95% 44% 334 315	64% 51% 0%	70% 55% 6%	94% 77% 10%
85% 25% thematics B 384 352 301	95% 44% 334 315	51% 0%	55% 6% 15	77% 10%
25% thematics B 384 352 301	44% 334 315	0%	6% 15	10%
thematics B           384           352           301	334 315	0	15	
384 352 301	315			12
352 301	315			12
301		0		
	• • •		11	11
	295	0	6	8
78	142	0	0	1
92%	94%	0%	73%	92%
78%	88%	0%	40%	67%
20%	43%	0%	0%	8%
tory and Geo	graphy			•
506	531	69	78	78
487	501	65	66	58
476	479	48	59	43
254	250	4	7	11
96%	94%	94%	85%	74%
94%	90%	70%	76%	55%
50%	47%	6%	9%	14%
rv and Gover	nment		1	
		60	61	60
519	449	56	54	49
				41
				9
		-		82%
2010				68%
				15%
-	254 96% 94% 50% <b>y and Gover</b> 528 519 513 312 98% 97%	254         250           96%         94%           94%         90%           50%         47% <b>y and Government</b> 528           519         449           513         433           312         253           98%         96%           97%         93%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

(Form - F)

	Regents			0			
		All Students	r		1	with Disabilities	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	1	g Environme			1		
Number Tested	504	468	573	63	67	82	
Number Scoring 55–100	504	463	563	63	62	73	
Number Scoring 65–100	500	455	549	60	55	64	
Number Scoring 85–100	240	203	224	5	5	10	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	93%	89%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	97%	96%	95%	82%	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	43%	39%	8%	7%	12%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	447	513	537	39	49	44	
Number Scoring 55–100	435	508	527	35	47	41	
Number Scoring 65–100	423	502	511	32	46	35	
Number Scoring 85–100	194	255	168	2	9	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	99%	98%	90%	96%	93%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	98%	95%	82%	94%	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	50%	31%	5%	18%	2%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	385	420	393	10	14	19	
Number Scoring 55–100	376	396	382	7	10	14	
Number Scoring 65–100	316	315	305	4	7	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	51	78	75	2	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	97%	70%	71%	74%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	75%	78%	40%	50%	21%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	19%	19%	20%	0%	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			210			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			210			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			203			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			73			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			35%			#	

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				/ •/I TS•	1 •1• / •	
		All Students			nts with Disa		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0	
Number Tested	66	68	50	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	66	68	50	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	63	68	50	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	35	47	26	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	69%	52%	0%	0%	0%	
		rehensive Ita		<u> </u>			
Number Tested	89	112	122	0	4	7	
Number Scoring 55–100	89	111	122	0	#	7	
Number Scoring 65–100	89	111	122	0	#	7	
Number Scoring 85–100	41	69	94	0	#	2	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	0%	#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	99%	100%	0%	#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	62%	77%	0%	#	29%	
		ehensive Ger		1	1	1	
Number Tested	20	30	12	1	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	20	30	12	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	19	30	12	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	6	18	8	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	100%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	60%	67%	#	#	0%	
		ehensive Heb	rew		1	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Spa	<u>nish</u>		•	•	
Number Tested	249	331	304	8	12	28	
Number Scoring 55–100	248	330	302	8	12	27	
Number Scoring 65–100	246	329	300	7	12	26	
Number Scoring 85–100	160	212	176	2	7	5	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	96%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	99%	99%	88%	100%	93%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	64%	64%	58%	25%	58%	18%	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	7	3	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	7	#	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	7	#	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	6	#	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	86%	#	0%	0%	0%	
						(Form –	

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	195	13	0	27	4	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	187	10	0	24	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	182	8	0	24	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	79	0	0	6	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	77%	0%	89%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	62%	0%	89%	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	41%	0%	0%	22%	#	0%				

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
72	100%	61	97%	10	100%
22	77%	28	86%	2	#
	<b>No. Tested</b> 72 22	No. Tested         % Passing           72         100%	No. Tested         % Passing         No. Tested           72         100%         61	No. Tested         % Passing         No. Tested         % Passing           72         100%         61         97%	No. Tested         % Passing         No. Tested         % Passing         No. Tested           72         100%         61         97%         10

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	529	0%	1%	35%	64%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	60	5%	7%	70%	18%
	All Students	589	1%	1%	39%	59%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	485	0%	13%	60%	28%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	48	4%	63%	31%	2%
	All Students	533	0%	17%	57%	26%

(Form – I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	7	0	0	0	0	7			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	6	0	0	1	2	3			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	447	447	447	66	66	66	513	513	513
Number Scoring 55–64	2	1	0	11	5	2	13	6	2
Number Scoring 65–84	235	142	186	43	42	48	278	184	234
Number Scoring 85–100	208	297	259	3	5	6	211	302	265
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

## New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			15	,		0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			3			0
Advanced (32–36)			4			0
Proficient (37–39)			8			0
X	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1	)		•
Number Tested			15			0
Beginning (0–14)			3			0
Intermediate (15–24)			6			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			6			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		•
Number Tested			14			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0
Advanced (32–36)			2			0
Proficient (37–39)			10			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			14			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0
Advanced (25–32)			6			0
Proficient (33–35)			5			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–0	6)		
Number Tested			7			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			2			0
Proficient (37–39)			5			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)	)		
Number Tested			7			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			4			0
Proficient (33–35)		02.02.5.1.5.1.5	3	ta data famali a		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

Ne	w YORK State Eng	All Students	cond Languag	ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT) Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	1 3)			
Number Tested		•	10			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			4			0	
Proficient (37–39)			6			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			10			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0	
Advanced (25–32)			7			0	
Proficient (33–35)			1			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			13			1	
Beginning (0–18)			0			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#	
Advanced (32–36)			2			#	
Proficient (37–39)			10			#	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)			
Number Tested			13			1	
Beginning (0–14)			1			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			5			#	
Advanced (25–32)			7			#	
Proficient (33–35)			0			#	

### New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)