New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:58-05-03-03-0000Name:East Islip Union Free School DistrictSuperintendent:Dennis P. Maloney

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	134	126	150
Kindergarten	396	369	335
First	408	399	391
Second	412	411	395
Third	397	418	414
Fourth	460	401	440
Fifth	424	455	402
Sixth	419	431	465
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	429	435	450
Eighth	428	421	431
Ninth	406	430	436
Tenth	358	369	405
Eleventh	348	365	364
Twelfth	342	308	354
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	5227	5212	5282

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	104	2.0%	109	2.1%	114	2.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	40	0.8%	39	0.7%	42	0.8%
Hispanic	168	3.2%	200	3.8%	219	4.1%
White (Not Hispanic)	4915	94.0%	4864	93.3%	4907	92.9%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	18	18	21
Common Branch	25	24	24
English Grade 8	22	22	22
Mathematics Grade 8	23	20	22
Science Grade 8	23	23	24
Social Studies Grade 8	23	22	22
English Grade 10	22	24	23
Mathematics Grade 10	23	26	23
Science Grade 10	22	25	23
Social Studies Grade 10	24	24	23

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	62	1.2%	61	1.1%	73	1.3%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	259	5.0%	187	3.6%	229	4.3%	

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		95.5%		95.9%
Student Suspensions	224	4.4%	300	5.7%	184	3.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	3.4%	3.9%	3.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	386				
Total Other Professional Staff	51				
Total Paraprofessionals	93				
Teaching Out of Certification*	2				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

0	2001–02			•	2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	279	237	85%	275	226	82%	299	271	91%	
Students with Disabilities	21	7	33%	23	6	26%	16	8	50%	
All Students	300	244	81%	298	232	78%	315	279	89%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	171	106	12	3	15	8
Percent	54%	34%	4%	1%	5%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
16	8	7	23

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	40		20		28	1.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	40		20		28	1.9%
Students	Dropped Out	3		0		5	3.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	3		0		5	3.6%
All	Dropped Out	43	3.0%	20	1.4%	33	2.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	43	3.0%	20	1.4%	33	2.1%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	27%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	700
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	50
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	750
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	56%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	32	1245
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	115	140
9–12	Number of All Students	0	147	1385
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	10%	89%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	50	96%	35	100%	37	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	105	99%	108	100%	126	96%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	237	91%	247	100%	243	96%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Science	1	#	1	#	1	#
Reading	3	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	2	#	1	#	0	0%
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	1	#	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	12	50%	22	73%	13	69%	
Science	5	60%	11	36%	3	#	
Reading	6	100%	0	0%	3	#	
Writing	6	100%	9	100%	2	#	
Global Studies	10	70%	7	71%	7	43%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	9	78%	10	80%	3	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng		ſ	1	1
Number Tested	372	355	417	27	25	29
Number Scoring 55–100	358	337	410	20	16	28
Number Scoring 65–100	334	318	394	16	14	22
Number Scoring 85–100	173	152	231	5	5	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	98%	74%	64%	97%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	90%	94%	59%	56%	76%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	43%	55%	19%	20%	14%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	356	326	392	14	13	28
Number Scoring 55–100	310	312	391	9	11	27
Number Scoring 65–100	277	291	381	8	7	23
Number Scoring 85–100	143	100	215	2	1	6
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	96%	100%	64%	85%	96%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	89%	97%	57%	54%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	31%	55%	14%	8%	21%
0		athematics B	•	•	•	
Number Tested	0	155	167	0	3	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	154	165	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	152	159	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	26	55	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	99%	99%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	98%	95%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	17%	33%	0%	#	#
		story and Geo		0,0		
Number Tested	361	390	412	22	32	35
Number Scoring 55–100	339	367	391	15	25	27
Number Scoring 65–100	315	348	377	10	20	23
Number Scoring 85–100	94	150	179	2	5	7
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	94%	95%	68%	78%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	89%	92%	45%	62%	66%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	38%	43%	9%	16%	20%
		ory and Gover		<i>,</i> ,,,	10/0	2070
Number Tested	345	388	376	23	22	31
Number Scoring 55–100	322	373	354	18	18	28
Number Scoring 65–100	290	341	337	18	16	25
Number Scoring 85–100	62	169	199	4	5	9
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	96%	94%	78%	82%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	88%	90%	78%	73%	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	44%	53%	17%	23%	29%
recentage of residu Scoring 03–100	10/0	4470	5570	1//0	2370	(Form

(Form - F)

	regents							
		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04		
		g Environme	1					
Number Tested	393	377	464	24	35	33		
Number Scoring 55–100	377	357	448	22	25	31		
Number Scoring 65–100	365	334	419	15	19	27		
Number Scoring 85–100	132	96	117	2	2	3		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	97%	92%	71%	94%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	93%	89%	90%	62%	54%	82%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	25%	25%	8%	6%	9%		
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science					
Number Tested	386	445	401	15	35	26		
Number Scoring 55–100	321	423	377	9	28	21		
Number Scoring 65–100	295	390	347	6	21	12		
Number Scoring 85–100	138	156	130	2	2	2		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	95%	94%	60%	80%	81%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	88%	87%	40%	60%	46%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	35%	32%	13%	6%	8%		
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry					
Number Tested	196	231	179	2	3	2		
Number Scoring 55–100	185	225	178	#	#	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	152	196	165	#	#	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	13	43	28	#	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	97%	99%	#	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	78%	85%	92%	#	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	7%	19%	16%	#	#	#		
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics					
Number Tested			73			1		
Number Scoring 55–100			72			#		
Number Scoring 65–100			69			#		
Number Scoring 85–100			7			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			99%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			95%			#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			10%			#		

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				· / · · · · · · · · ·	1. 111.4
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Manula an Tanta I		rehensive Fre		1	0	0
Number Tested	37	30	44	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	37	30	43	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	37	30	43	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	20	14	22	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	98%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	47%	50%	#	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		-	-	
Number Tested	89	54	85	3	3	4
Number Scoring 55–100	83	53	83	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	78	51	80	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	21	15	42	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	98%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	94%	94%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	28%	49%	#	#	#
		ehensive Ger				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	•	•	•
Number Tested	174	203	208	5	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	172	203	208	4	6	5
Number Scoring 65–100	164	199	207	4	5	5
Number Scoring 85–100	81	144	137	1	4	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	80%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	98%	100%	80%	83%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	71%	66%	20%	67%	20%
		rehensive La		, .		, .
Number Tested			0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested beofing 05-100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)	
Number Tested	275	23	2	4	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	246	19	#	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	229	17	#	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	112	4	#	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	89%	83%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	83%	74%	#	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	41%	17%	#	#	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
64	100%	59	97%	42	100%
8	100%	6	100%	10	100%
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing 64 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 64 100% 59	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 64 100% 59 97%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 64 100% 59 97% 42

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	368	2%	3%	49%	45%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	25	8%	12%	72%	8%
	All Students	393	2%	4%	51%	43%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	393	1%	27%	62%	10%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	29	14%	66%	21%	0%
	All Students	422	1%	30%	59%	9%

(Form – I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	1	1	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	6	0	0	0	0	6			
Social Studies	6	0	0	0	0	6			
Mathematics	7	0	0	1	0	6			
Science	6	0	0	0	0	6			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	319	319	319	30	30	30	349	349	349
Number Scoring 55–64	4	10	4	1	2	3	5	12	7
Number Scoring 65–84	212	128	178	15	11	15	227	139	193
Number Scoring 85–100	92	161	130	3	5	2	95	166	132
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	nts with Disal	oilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			8			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0
Advanced (32–36)			3			0
Proficient (37–39)			3			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1))		
Number Tested			8			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0
Advanced (25–32)			3			0
Proficient (33–35)			2			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			24			2
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			2			#
Advanced (32–36)			8			#
Proficient (37–39)			14			#
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			24			2
Beginning (0–14)			1			#
Intermediate (15–24)			9			#
Advanced (25–32)			8			#
Proficient (33–35)			6			#
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			13			1
Beginning (0–18)			0			#
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#
Advanced (32–36)			2			#
Proficient (37–39)			10			#
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			13			1
Beginning (0–14)			0			#
Intermediate (15–24)			4			#
Advanced (25–32)			7			#
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 200		2	ta		#

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

	w York State Eng	All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)		I	
Number Tested			8			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			2			0	
Proficient (37–39)			6			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8))			
Number Tested			8			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0	
Advanced (25–32)			5			0	
Proficient (33–35)			2			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			10			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			6			0	
Advanced (32–36)			1			0	
Proficient (37–39)			3			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			10			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			7			0	
Advanced (25–32)			3			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	

37 1 04 4 5 1.1 C 1 т A 1 . 1 T

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)