## New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:58-05-09-03-0000Name:West Islip Union Free School DistrictSuperintendent:Beth V. Blau

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	472	406	383
First	451	488	406
Second	465	461	488
Third	435	479	472
Fourth	492	447	477
Fifth	482	494	451
Sixth	483	499	504
Ungraded Elementary	53	71	64
Seventh	467	477	495
Eighth	461	466	466
Ninth	419	440	452
Tenth	398	413	444
Eleventh	364	374	410
Twelfth	368	366	381
Ungraded Secondary	0	12	12
Total K-12 Enrollment	5810	5893	5905

### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	53	0.9%	65	1.1%	58	1.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	12	0.2%	31	0.5%	30	0.5%
Hispanic	92	1.6%	95	1.6%	105	1.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	5653	97.3%	5702	96.8%	5712	96.7%

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	20	19	20
Common Branch	21	21	22
English Grade 8	20	20	19
Mathematics Grade 8	18	18	20
Science Grade 8	20	20	20
Social Studies Grade 8	20	20	20
English Grade 10	24	25	25
Mathematics Grade 10	22	25	24
Science Grade 10	21	22	22
Social Studies Grade 10	23	25	25

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### **Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	19	0.3%	17	0.3%	15	0.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	109	1.9%	130	2.2%	109	1.9%

#### **Attendance and Suspension**

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.1%		95.8%		95.8%
Student Suspensions	175	3.1%	147	2.5%	130	2.2%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.6%	1.5%	1.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	437				
Total Other Professional Staff	57				
Total Paraprofessionals	127				
Teaching Out of Certification*	1				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

Then School Of addates Earning Regents Diplomas										
		2001–02			2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	269	201	75%	318	246	77%	332	272	82%	
Students with Disabilities	33	5	15%	23	2	9%	46	9	20%	
All Students	302	206	68%	341	248	73%	378	281	74%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	228	123	7	6	7	7
Percent	60%	33%	2%	2%	2%	2%

### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
46	9	7	53

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	5		10		14	0.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	5		10		14	0.9%
Students	Dropped Out	2		6		1	0.4%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		6		1	0.4%
All	Dropped Out	7	0.5%	16	1.0%	15	0.8%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	7	0.5%	16	1.0%	15	0.8%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	50%	45%	69%
2–3	0%	0%	20%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	1151	1159	849
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	184	196	139
0-0	Number of All Students	1335	1355	988
	Percent of Enrollment	94%	93%	67%
	Number of General-Education Students	224	218	651
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	195	146	146
9–12	Number of All Students	419	364	797
	Percent of Enrollment	27%	23%	47%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Flogram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	63		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	59	94%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	61	97%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	63	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	59		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	57	97%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	0		30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	1	6%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	2	#	29	100%	11	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	68	94%	52	98%	98	95%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	276	96%	241	100%	232	95%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	1	#	2	#	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	18	94%	4	#
Science	0	0%	7	29%	3	#
Reading	0	0%	4	#	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	3	#	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	8	63%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	4	#	0	0%

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	36	89%	46	89%	26	92%	
Science	32	78%	23	61%	27	81%	
Reading	11	100%	19	89%	16	63%	
Writing	11	91%	17	76%	15	93%	
Global Studies	22	32%	36	61%	24	75%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	13	69%	9	67%	16	81%	

(Form - E)

			nations	r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng				
Number Tested	335	374	414	15	39	52
Number Scoring 55–100	330	353	401	14	28	41
Number Scoring 65–100	319	336	381	9	19	27
Number Scoring 85–100	197	207	194	3	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	94%	97%	93%	72%	79%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	90%	92%	60%	49%	52%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	59%	55%	47%	20%	5%	4%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	385	606	589	35	69	94
Number Scoring 55–100	312	477	565	12	23	79
Number Scoring 65–100	263	411	507	7	15	53
Number Scoring 85–100	70	136	178	0	1	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	79%	96%	34%	33%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	68%	86%	20%	22%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	22%	30%	0%	1%	5%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	54	284	0	0	5
Number Scoring 55–100	0	53	238	0	0	2
Number Scoring 65–100	0	51	208	0	0	2
Number Scoring 85–100	0	18	88	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	84%	0%	0%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	94%	73%	0%	0%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	33%	31%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		•	•	
Number Tested	373	442	471	36	58	59
Number Scoring 55–100	357	402	450	26	38	44
Number Scoring 65–100	339	380	426	19	29	33
Number Scoring 85–100	132	197	236	2	4	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	91%	96%	72%	66%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	86%	90%	53%	50%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	45%	50%	6%	7%	14%
6 6	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	rnment		ı	
Number Tested	351	390	417	20	37	56
Number Scoring 55–100	333	378	395	14	32	42
Number Scoring 65–100	314	366	368	8	29	29
Number Scoring 85–100	146	205	219	0	3	8
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	97%	95%	70%	86%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	94%	88%	40%	78%	52%
	42%	53%	53%	0%	8%	14%

(Form - F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme			ſ	Γ
Number Tested	327	418	418	17	46	46
Number Scoring 55–100	321	414	415	17	42	44
Number Scoring 65–100	315	394	402	15	33	35
Number Scoring 85–100	117	157	160	1	2	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	99%	100%	91%	96%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	94%	96%	88%	72%	76%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	36%	38%	38%	6%	4%	9%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	467	464	481	57	40	68
Number Scoring 55–100	449	439	449	48	27	53
Number Scoring 65–100	416	408	399	35	20	37
Number Scoring 85–100	162	198	170	2	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	93%	84%	68%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	88%	83%	61%	50%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	43%	35%	4%	3%	4%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	280	308	325	1	6	8
Number Scoring 55–100	266	288	307	#	4	6
Number Scoring 65–100	206	220	253	#	2	4
Number Scoring 85–100	29	35	60	#	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	94%	#	67%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	71%	78%	#	33%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	11%	18%	#	0%	12%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			96			0
Number Scoring 55–100			90			0
Number Scoring 65–100			83			0
Number Scoring 85–100			30			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			94%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			86%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			31%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Compi	rehensive Fre	nch	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	51	66	62	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	51	66	61	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	49	62	60	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	21	27	45	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	94%	97%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	41%	73%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	250	243	290	3	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	245	238	287	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	240	233	280	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	178	146	193	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	96%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	71%	60%	67%	#	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin	-		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	302	120	51	3	9	6
Number Scoring 55–100	268	60	17	#	4	2
Number Scoring 65–100	245	35	10	#	2	1
Number Scoring 85–100	117	4	1	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	89%	50%	33%	#	44%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	81%	29%	20%	#	22%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	39%	3%	2%	#	0%	0%

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02 No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003-04	
		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
27	100%	18	100%	0	0%
7	100%	5	100%	0	0%
		No. Tested % Passing   27 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   27 100% 18	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing   27 100% 18 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   27 100% 18 100% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	409	1%	2%	44%	52%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	48	10%	17%	65%	8%
	All Students	457	2%	4%	46%	48%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	411	0%	30%	49%	21%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	55	5%	73%	18%	4%
	All Students	466	1%	35%	45%	19%

(Form - I)

## New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested Leve		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
		Middle Le	vel						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	6	0	0	1	1	4			
Social Studies	6	0	0	1	0	5			
Mathematics	6	0	0	0	2	4			
Science	6	0	0	1	1	4			

### 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	330	330	330	52	52	52	382	382	382
Number Scoring 55–64	2	3	1	6	5	7	8	8	8
Number Scoring 65–84	195	118	148	25	28	22	220	146	170
Number Scoring 85–100	127	202	177	1	3	4	128	205	181
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

### New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Stude	ents with Disab	oilities	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		1
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			2			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			4			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K-1)			
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			5			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			6			0
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0
Advanced (25–32)			3			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<b>6</b> )		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)	lucinistens din the 200		0	4		0

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I		
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)