New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 61-08-01-04-0002 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Lansing High School

Principal: Michelle Stone

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	119	107	124
Tenth	93	113	98
Eleventh	119	99	108
Twelfth	114	119	98
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	445	438	428

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	001-02 2002		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	13	2.9%	11	2.5%	14	3.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	6	1.3%	8	1.8%	7	1.6%
Hispanic	3	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	423	95.1%	419	95.7%	407	95.1%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	0	0	0					
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0					
Science Grade 8	0	0	0					
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0					
English Grade 10	17	21	18					
Mathematics Grade 10	17	19	21					
Science Grade 10	18	21	20					
Social Studies Grade 10	17	19	13					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
49	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	28	6.3%	21	4.8%	30	7.0%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		200	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.1%		96.6%		96.0%
Student Suspensions	20	4.5%	11	2.5%	8	1.8%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	2.9%	3.0%	2.6%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	95%	92%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	38
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	3

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	99	71	72%	103	90	87%	93	67	72%	
Students with Disabilities	11	1	9%	11	3	27%	1	1	100%	
All Students	110	72	65%	114	93	82%	94	68	72%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	53	31	0	2	5	3
Percent	56%	33%	0%	2%	5%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
1	1	3	4

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	-	2001–02		2002–03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		9		3	0.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		2		2	0.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		11		5	1.3%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		3	6.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	2.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		4	8.9%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.2%	9	2.1%	6	1.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.2%	2	0.5%	3	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2	0.4%	11	2.5%	9	2.0%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	333	386	398
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	55	52	44
9-1 4	Number of All Students	388	438	442
	Percent of Enrollment	87%	100%	103%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Dugguer	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	3	#	2	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	3	#	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	3	#	5	80%
Science	0	0%	1	#	1	#
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

 $\overline{(Form - E)}$

	regente					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	76	104	107	4	12	13
Number Scoring 55–100	75	103	105	#	12	12
Number Scoring 65–100	66	100	102	#	10	11
Number Scoring 85–100	43	49	69	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	98%	#	100%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	96%	95%	#	83%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	57%	47%	64%	#	0%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	86	112	99	2	12	10
Number Scoring 55–100	82	107	97	#	11	9
Number Scoring 65–100	72	102	92	#	10	7
Number Scoring 85–100	44	40	60	#	5	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	96%	98%	#	92%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	91%	93%	#	83%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	36%	61%	#	42%	20%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	55	60	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	47	57	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	39	56	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	10	23	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	85%	95%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	71%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	18%	38%	0%	#	#
		story and Geo			I.	
Number Tested	93	113	88	2	12	9
Number Scoring 55–100	91	112	84	#	11	5
Number Scoring 65–100	89	112	80	#	11	2
Number Scoring 85–100	47	65	56	#	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	95%	#	92%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	99%	91%	#	92%	22%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	58%	64%	#	25%	11%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			
Number Tested	106	100	108	3	11	13
Number Scoring 55–100	105	100	103	#	11	12
Number Scoring 65–100	105	99	100	#	11	10
Number Scoring 85–100	53	60	66	#	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	95%	#	100%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	99%	93%	#	100%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	60%	61%	#	9%	15%

(Form - F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	
		g Environme		2001 02	2002 00	2000 01	
Number Tested	113	101	87	2	13	8	
Number Scoring 55–100	112	101	87	#	13	8	
Number Scoring 65–100	112	99	82	#	11	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	64	52	52	#	3	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	#	100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	94%	#	85%	50%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	57%	51%	60%	#	23%	12%	
-	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	57	62	79	1	2	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	57	62	79	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	57	61	77	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	44	40	45	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	98%	97%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	77%	65%	57%	#	#	0%	
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry				
Number Tested	86	72	73	2	2	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	85	72	73	#	#	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	72	54	59	#	#	3	
Number Scoring 85–100	17	19	18	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	#	#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	75%	81%	#	#	60%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	26%	25%	#	#	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics				
Number Tested			31			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			31			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			29			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			18			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			94%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			58%			0%	

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
		rehensive Fre	1		1	
Number Tested	44	33	41	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	44	33	41	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	44	33	41	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	37	32	38	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	84%	97%	93%	0%	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital		_	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		•
Number Tested	1	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew		1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	40	34	31	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	40	34	31	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	40	34	31	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	38	28	22	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	95%	82%	71%	0%	0%	#
referringe of Tested Scoring 05 100		rehensive La		070	0 70	"
Number Tested	0	1	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	99	14	0	2	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	98	8	0	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	95	4	0	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	68	0	0	#	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	57%	0%	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	29%	0%	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	69%	0%	0%	#	0%	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	1	#	19	100%	23	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	11	82%	7	86%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	90	90	90	3	3	3	93	93	93
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	3	0	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	41	31	32
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	46	58	59
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	W TOTK State Eng	All Students	<i>5</i>	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8))						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)										
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)