New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:62-08-03-04-0002Name:Highland High SchoolPrincipal:David Evans

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	154	162	166
Tenth	168	160	147
Eleventh	146	165	151
Twelfth	145	148	140
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	613	635	604

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	14	2.3%	13	2.0%	13	2.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	39	6.4%	41	6.5%	28	4.6%
Hispanic	19	3.1%	23	3.6%	22	3.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	541	88.3%	558	87.9%	541	89.6%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	16	24	23
Mathematics Grade 10	28	19	22
Science Grade 10	27	21	22
Social Studies Grade 10	18	27	23

(Form - A)

Highland High School

62-08-03-04-0002 03/03/05

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	6	1.0%	8	1.3%	5	0.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	142	23.2%	67	10.6%	78	12.9%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.3%		91.4%		94.4%
Student Suspensions	50	8.3%	44	7.2%	34	5.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

\$	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.0%	6.3%	6.8%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	21-30%
Student Stability	98%	93%	94%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003–04
Total Teachers	43
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

Ingli School	Tigh School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas									
	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	140	140	100%	125	125	100%	114	91	80%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	9	9	100%	20	2	10%	
All Students	140	140	100%	134	134	100%	134	93	69%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	56	48	3	3	12	12
Percent	42%	36%	2%	2%	9%	9%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
20	2	3	23

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	11		20		10	2.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	4		7		11	2.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	15		27		21	4.1%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		3	3.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		4		3	3.0%
All	Dropped Out	11	1.8%	23	3.6%	13	2.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	4	0.7%	8	1.3%	11	1.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	15	2.4%	31	4.9%	24	4.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	476
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	99
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	575
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	95%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	29	86%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	11	100%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	5	80%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	6	83%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	14	100%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	8	100%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng		1		
Number Tested	125	116	137	6	6	19
Number Scoring 55–100	117	107	124	3	4	10
Number Scoring 65–100	110	104	117	1	1	5
Number Scoring 85–100	55	54	63	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	92%	91%	50%	67%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	90%	85%	17%	17%	26%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	47%	46%	0%	0%	5%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	82	38	137	5	7	10
Number Scoring 55–100	55	24	135	1	0	10
Number Scoring 65–100	45	14	133	1	0	9
Number Scoring 85–100	8	0	67	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	67%	63%	99%	20%	0%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	55%	37%	97%	20%	0%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	0%	49%	20%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	M	athematics B	•	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	46	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	46	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	46	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	40	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	87%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo		070	070	
Number Tested	164	124	142	10	14	25
Number Scoring 55–100	148	115	134	4	12	23
Number Scoring 65–100	139	108	127	2	10	17
Number Scoring 85–100	42	36	63	0	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	93%	94%	40%	86%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	87%	89%	20%	71%	68%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	29%	44%	0%	7%	12%
referringe of rested Scoring 85–100		ory and Gove		070	//0	12/0
Number Tested	93	71	164	15	8	24
Number Scoring 55–100	80	70	156	6	8	19
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	68	65	136	2	8	19
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	20	25	67	0	/ 1	12
				-	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	99%	95%	40%	100%	79%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	92%	88%	13%	88%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	35%	41%	0%	12%	4%

(Form - F)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	1	g Environme	1			· -
Number Tested	183	134	110	15	6	17
Number Scoring 55–100	162	124	98	9	6	14
Number Scoring 65–100	154	123	92	5	5	12
Number Scoring 85–100	62	49	24	1	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	93%	89%	60%	100%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	92%	84%	33%	83%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	37%	22%	7%	17%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science		-	-
Number Tested	147	163	143	14	7	7
Number Scoring 55–100	125	143	120	7	4	4
Number Scoring 65–100	116	126	109	5	3	2
Number Scoring 85–100	47	61	47	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	88%	84%	50%	57%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	77%	76%	36%	43%	29%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	37%	33%	0%	14%	14%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	47	87	47	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	47	86	47	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	42	77	47	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	18	24	23	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	89%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	28%	49%	0%	0%	#
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			34			0
Number Scoring 55–100			34			0
Number Scoring 65–100			33			0
Number Scoring 85–100			14			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			41%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				nta with Dias	hilitica
	2001–02	All Students	2003-04	2001–02	nts with Disa 2002–03	
				2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested		rehensive Fre		0	1	0
Number Tested	42 42	30 29	24 24	0	#	0
Number Scoring 55–100	37	29		0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100			24	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	11	10 97%	14	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97% 87%	100% 100%		#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%			0%	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	33%	58%	0%	#	0%
Manulan Tartal		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb		•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	101	0	62	1	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	101	0	62	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	101	0	62	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	71	0	33	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	0%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	0%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	70%	0%	53%	#	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
			•			(Form -

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered .	January 2004)	
Number Tested	70	59	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	68	59	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	68	58	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	49	51	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	98%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	70%	86%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	0	0%	10	100%
0	0%	0	0%	13	62%
		No. Tested % Passing 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 0 0% 0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 0 0% 10

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level	•					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	vel	•					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level	•					
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	120	120	120	20	20	20	140	140	140
Number Scoring 55–64	5	3	2	3	1	3	8	4	5
Number Scoring 65–84	80	25	60	3	7	10	83	32	70
Number Scoring 85–100	24	44	53	0	0	0	24	44	53
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

Ne	w York State Eng		cond Langua					
		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)					
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			3			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12					
Number Tested			3			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		

x7 arly Ctata E 1.1 C 1 т ۸ al.: 4 **T** . AWGEGI AT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)