New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 14-06-00-01-0035 Grade Range: 7-12

Name: Buffalo Alternative High School

Principal: Elzie Fisher

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	36	24	6
Eighth	28	40	17
Ninth	57	55	60
Tenth	36	37	23
Eleventh	34	30	31
Twelfth	46	76	93
Ungraded Secondary	50	0	19
Total K-12 Enrollment	287	262	249

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

reacht Racial Lumic Origin						
	2000-	-2001	-2001 2001-2		2002-	-2003
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	3	1.0%	4	1.5%	3	1.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	168	58.5%	177	67.6%	158	63.5%
Hispanic	85	29.6%	42	16.0%	81	32.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	31	10.8%	39	14.9%	7	2.8%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	3	6	4					
Mathematics Grade 8	4	5	4					
Science Grade 8	3	6	4					
Social Studies Grade 8	3	6	3					
English Grade 10	15	6	5					
Mathematics Grade 10	7	7	2					
Science Grade 10	8	1	7					
Social Studies Grade 10	15	4	9					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
	This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester,
2	Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs
	relative to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
41	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

8-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11				F	1		
	2000-2001		2001–2002		2002–2003		
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	40	13.9%	21	8.0%	25	10.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	74	25.8%	90	34.4%	91	36.5%	

Attendance and Suspension

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		68.9%		83.0%		72.5%
Student Suspensions	29	16.2%	33	11.5%	39	14.9%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

(2 02 00 02 22 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02	(= 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0						
	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003				
Reduced Lunch	1.1%	1.9%	1.6%				
Public Assistance	81-90%	81-90%	41-50%				
Student Stability	78%	20%	22%				

Staff Counts

Staff	2002–2003
Total Teachers	39
Total Other Professional Staff	10
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	NA
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	NA

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2000–2001				2001–2002			2002–2003		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	30	0	0%	38	3	8%	54	6	11%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	12	0	0%	10	0	0%	
All Students	31	0	0%	50	3	6%	64	6	9%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures during the 2001–2002 school year, diploma counts and percentage of graduates earning Regents diplomas are not necessarily comparable between years. Data for the 2000-2001 school year include January, June and August 2001 graduates; data for the 2001-2002 school year include January and June 2002 graduates; data for the 2002–2003 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates.

Distribution of 2002–2003 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	18	28	0	2	14	2
Percent	28%	44%	0%	3%	22%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2002–2003

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2002–2003 Completers (a+c)
10	0	1	11

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002	2002–2003	
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out			42		50	
Education	Entered GED Program*			39		34	
Students	Total Noncompleters			81		84	
Students	Dropped Out			16		8	
with	Entered GED Program*			8		3	
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters			24		11	
All	Dropped Out	22	10.5%	58	29.3%	58	25.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	61	29.1%	47	23.7%	37	16.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	83	39.6%	105	53.0%	95	42.4%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Toot	2000-	-2001	2001	-2002	2002–2003		
Test Mathematics Science Reading Writing Global Studies	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	5	100%	1	#	0	0%	
Science	42	31%	0	0%	2	#	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	57%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	2	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	2	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

Regents Examinations

	Acgents	Lxaiiii				
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
	Comp	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	37	22	27	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	28	17	17	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	15	11	10	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	1	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	76%	77%	63%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	41%	50%	37%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	0%	4%	0%	#	#
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	44	54	67	1	3	8
Number Scoring 55–100	11	11	25	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	1	15	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	25%	20%	37%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	11%	2%	22%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	#	#	0%
	hematics B (fi			1)		
Number Tested	0	1	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	ography			
Number Tested	27	23	34	2	3	9
Number Scoring 55–100	19	16	10	#	#	1
Number Scoring 65–100	16	11	8	#	#	1
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	0	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	70%	70%	29%	#	#	11%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	59%	48%	24%	#	#	11%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	7%	0%	0%	#	#	0%
	y and Govern	ment (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)		ı
Number Tested	24	25	23	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	20	22	22	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	13	13	19	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	2	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	83%	88%	96%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	54%	52%	83%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	4%	9%	0%	#	#

(Form – F)

Regents Examinations

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Living I	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested	27	34	21	0	4	5
Number Scoring 55–100	22	24	14	0	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	13	15	10	0	#	2
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	71%	67%	0%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	48%	44%	48%	0%	#	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	0%	0%	0%	#	0%
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sci	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested	11	32	28	0	1	9
Number Scoring 55–100	11	26	11	0	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	2	16	7	0	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	81%	39%	0%	#	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	18%	50%	25%	0%	#	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	#	0%
Physical Se	tting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested		0	1		0	0
Number Scoring 55–100		0	#		0	0
Number Scoring 65–100		0	#		0	0
Number Scoring 85–100		0	#		0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		0%	#		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	#		0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		0%	#		0%	0%
Physical S	etting/Physic	s (first admir	nistered June	2002)*		
Number Tested						
Number Scoring 55–100						
Number Scoring 65–100						
Number Scoring 85–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100						

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results are not included in the report card because the Department is issuing a new conversion chart for this assessment. Data currently available to the Department are based on the original conversion chart for the assessment.

(Form - G)

Regents Examinations

	Regent	s exam	шаиоп	<u>S</u>		
		All Student	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
	Comp	rehensive Fr				_
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita	_			_
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Hel			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	1	2	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	atin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	38	79%	37	92%	8	88%	
Students with Disabilities	2	#	1	#	4	#	

On school reports, 2000–2001 and 2001–2002 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2000–2001, 2001–2002, and 2002–2003 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2002	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	16	19%	75%	6%	0%
June 2003	Students with Disabilities	8	38%	63%	0%	0%
	All Students	24	25%	71%	4%	0%

(Form - J)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2002–2003

			Count of	Students					
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
	S	Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	I	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	10	10	10	2	2	2	12	12	12	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	0	2	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	3	2	2	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	1	0	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form - K)