# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 14-06-00-01-0107 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Lafayette High School Principal: Sharon Lanza

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	303	349	467
Tenth	161	191	209
Eleventh	134	135	173
Twelfth	127	145	132
Ungraded Secondary	110	63	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	835	883	981

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

Statem Hadai, Ethine Organ							
	2000-	<b>-2001 2001-2002 2002-200</b>		2001–2002		-2003	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	26	3.1%	29	3.3%	28	2.9%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	363	43.5%	488	55.3%	551	56.2%	
Hispanic	150	18.0%	147	16.6%	174	17.7%	
White (Not Hispanic)	296	35.4%	219	24.8%	228	23.2%	

**Average Class Size** 

iverage class size								
Grade Level	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	0	0	0					
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0					
Science Grade 8	0	0	0					
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0					
English Grade 10	21	27	30					
Mathematics Grade 10	26	24	28					
Science Grade 10	27	27	29					
Social Studies Grade 10	24	24	27					

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
2	This is one of the large city school districts; Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, or Yonkers. All these districts have high student needs relative to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
40	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2000-2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	14	1.7%	11	1.3%	21	2.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	314	37.6%	364	41.2%	159	16.2%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
<b>Annual Attendance Rate</b>		84.0%		88.4%		89.5%
Student Suspensions	295	36.6%	263	31.5%	249	28.2%

# **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

(2 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2								
	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003					
Reduced Lunch	7.1%	8.6%	10.8%					
Public Assistance	71-80%	81-90%	81-90%					
Student Stability	91%	94%	95%					

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2002–2003
Total Teachers	52
Total Other Professional Staff	8
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	NA
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	NA

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

**High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*** 

	2000–2001				2001–2002			2002–2003		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	74	23	31%	80	17	21%	117	25	21%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0	0%	5	0	0%	2	0	0%	
All Students	81	23	28%	85	17	20%	119	25	21%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures during the 2001–2002 school year, diploma counts and percentage of graduates earning Regents diplomas are not necessarily comparable between years. Data for the 2000-2001 school year include January, June and August 2001 graduates; data for the 2001-2002 school year include January and June 2002 graduates; data for the 2002–2003 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates.

Distribution of 2002–2003 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	43	47	1	3	22	3
Percent	36%	39%	1%	3%	18%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2002–2003

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2002–2003
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
2	0	2	4

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

8	Noncompletion Rates	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		2002-2003	
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out			11		38	
Education	Entered GED Program*			24		28	
Students	Total Noncompleters			35		66	
Students	Dropped Out			3		15	
with	Entered GED Program*			6		8	
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters			9		23	
All	Dropped Out	19	2.3%	14	1.6%	53	5.4%
	Entered GED Program*	22	2.6%	30	3.4%	36	3.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	41	4.9%	44	5.0%	89	9.1%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

### Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
K-1		0%	0%
2–3		0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2000-01	2001–02	2002-03
	Number of General-Education Students		0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	0
4–5	Number of All Students		0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	or of All Students  of Enrollment  or of General-Education Students  or of Students with Disabilities  or of All Students  or of All Students  of Enrollment  ow	0%	
	Number of General-Education Students		0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	0
0-8	Number of All Students		0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	Education Students  s with Disabilities  one of the contents  one of the	0%	
	Number of General-Education Students		92	47
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities		4	3
9–12	Number of All Students		96	50
	Percent of Enrollment		11%	5%

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	31	39%	21	5%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	128	41%	98	76%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	15	47%	

(Form-D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Tod	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Mathematics Science Reading Writing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	21	52%	2	#	
Science	19	63%	6	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	7	71%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	4	#	35	51%	
Science	3	#	0	0%	14	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	14	29%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	1	#	6	17%	

(Form - E)

**Regents Examinations** 

	regentis	Lixaiiii				
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	126	138	171	11	3	19
Number Scoring 55–100	114	115	140	8	#	9
Number Scoring 65–100	84	64	104	3	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	5	9	3	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	83%	82%	73%	#	47%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	67%	46%	61%	27%	#	16%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	7%	2%	0%	#	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	188	270	333	7	3	17
Number Scoring 55–100	97	118	163	1	#	4
Number Scoring 65–100	39	49	65	1	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	2	3	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	52%	44%	49%	14%	#	24%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	21%	18%	20%	14%	#	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1%	1%	0%	0%	#	0%
	hematics B (fi	rst administe	red June 200	01)		I
Number Tested	0	0	3	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy			
Number Tested	154	173	238	15	1	29
Number Scoring 55–100	147	144	188	12	#	17
Number Scoring 65–100	109	112	155	7	#	10
Number Scoring 85–100	6	7	10	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	83%	79%	80%	#	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	71%	65%	65%	47%	#	34%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	4%	4%	4%	0%	#	0%
	y and Govern			une 2001)		<u> </u>
Number Tested	126	167	156	6	3	9
Number Scoring 55–100	79	132	141	4	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	47	84	117	2	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	11	6	18	0	#	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	63%	79%	90%	67%	#	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	37%	50%	75%	33%	#	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	4%	12%	0%	#	11%

(Form - F)

**Regents Examinations** 

		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Living I	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested	140	128	244	12	0	25
Number Scoring 55–100	115	126	170	5	0	15
Number Scoring 65–100	84	110	117	3	0	9
Number Scoring 85–100	4	2	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	98%	70%	42%	0%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	60%	86%	48%	25%	0%	36%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sci	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested	198	230	199	23	2	24
Number Scoring 55–100	94	149	144	5	#	12
Number Scoring 65–100	63	94	94	4	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	6	4	4	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	47%	65%	72%	22%	#	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	32%	41%	47%	17%	#	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	2%	2%	0%	#	0%
	tting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested		56	88		0	1
Number Scoring 55–100		37	66		0	#
Number Scoring 65–100		10	20		0	#
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		66%	75%		0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		18%	23%		0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	#
	etting/Physic	s (first admir	nistered June	2002)*		
Number Tested						
Number Scoring 55–100						
Number Scoring 65–100						
Number Scoring 85–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	1 1 1 1					

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results are not included in the report card because the Department is issuing a new conversion chart for this assessment. Data currently available to the Department are based on the original conversion chart for the assessment.

(Form - G)

**Regents Examinations** 

	Regent	5 L'Aaiii	manon			
		All Student	s		nts with Disa	bilities
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
		rehensive Fr				
Number Tested	0	2	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	86%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ge	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive He	brew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish		•	
Number Tested	58	72	71	1	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	53	64	67	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	47	55	59	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	25	23	21	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	89%	94%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	76%	83%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	32%	30%	#	0%	#
		rehensive La				I
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	126	96%	108	90%	168	83%	
Students with Disabilities	20	65%	0	0%	11	45%	

On school reports, 2000–2001 and 2001–2002 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2000–2001, 2001–2002, and 2002–2003 school years.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2002	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4		
June 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%		
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%		
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%		

(Form - J)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2002–2003

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested Level 1 Lev		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	<b>General-Education Students</b>			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	99	99	99	16	16	16	115	115	115
Number Scoring 55–64	11	23	10	1	3	3	12	26	13
Number Scoring 65–84	72	53	69	3	1	1	75	54	70
Number Scoring 85–100	6	9	13	0	1	1	6	10	14
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - K)