New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 47-08-01-04-0001 Grade Range: K-12

Name: Laurens Central School Principal: Karl L. Brown

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	36	28	25
First	31	28	29
Second	32	33	32
Third	34	31	34
Fourth	45	39	34
Fifth	36	42	35
Sixth	30	40	44
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	29	33	47
Eighth	37	30	29
Ninth	34	37	29
Tenth	34	38	30
Eleventh	37	34	36
Twelfth	30	35	33
Ungraded Secondary	12	0	13
Total K-12 Enrollment	457	448	450

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

Statent Racial Lemme Offin						
	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	4	0.9%	3	0.7%	4	0.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	3	0.7%
Hispanic	9	2.0%	6	1.3%	4	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	443	96.9%	438	97.8%	439	97.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003					
Kindergarten	18	14	13					
Common Branch	17	17	17					
English Grade 8	20	14	15					
Mathematics Grade 8	12	9	14					
Science Grade 8	20	13	15					
Social Studies Grade 8	18	14	19					
English Grade 10	18	18	29					
Mathematics Grade 10	15	13	12					
Science Grade 10	26	17	24					
Social Studies Grade 10	0	17	29					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to
4	district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarten through Grade 12. Assessment results and accountability measures for schools in this group are compared with similar schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2000-	2000-2001		-2002	2002–2003	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	117	25.6%	122	27.2%	117	26.0%

Attendance and Suspension

Tittellatillee tilla Suspension						
	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002	
	No. of	No. of % of		No. of % of		% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.9%		96.8%		96.3%
Student Suspensions	11	2.2%	20	4.4%	19	4.2%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2000–2001	2001–2002	2002–2003
Reduced Lunch	17.7%	14.7%	14.9%
Public Assistance	41-50%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	100%	111%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2002–2003
Total Teachers	44
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	3
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	0

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2000–2001				2001–2002			2002–2003		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	26	23	88%	30	16	53%	23	20	87%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	2	0	0%	3	1	33%	
All Students	27	23	85%	32	16	50%	26	21	81%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures during the 2001–2002 school year, diploma counts and percentage of graduates earning Regents diplomas are not necessarily comparable between years. Data for the 2000-2001 school year include January, June and August 2001 graduates; data for the 2001-2002 school year include January and June 2002 graduates; data for the 2002–2003 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates.

Distribution of 2002–2003 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	14	8	1	1	2	0
Percent	54%	31%	4%	4%	8%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2002–2003

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2002–2003 Completers (a+c)
3	1	6	9

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	_	2000-	-2001	2001-	-2002	2002-	-2003
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out			4		4	
Education	Entered GED Program*			5		5	
Students	Total Noncompleters			9		9	
Students	Dropped Out			1		1	
with	Entered GED Program*			1		1	
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters			2		2	
All	Dropped Out	4	2.8%	5	3.5%	5	3.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	7	4.9%	6	4.2%	6	4.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	11	7.7%	11	7.6%	11	8.1%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2000-01	2001-02	2002-03
K-1		0%	0%
2–3		0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

Grades		2000-01	2001–02	2002-03
	Number of General-Education Students		0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	0
4–3	Number of All Students		0	0
	Percent of Enrollment		0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students		0	0
<i>(</i> 9	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	0
6–8	umber of General-Education Students umber of Students with Disabilities umber of All Students ercent of Enrollment umber of General-Education Students umber of All Students ercent of Enrollment umber of All Students ercent of Enrollment umber of General-Education Students ercent of Enrollment umber of General-Education Students umber of Students with Disabilities umber of All Students	0	0	
	Percent of Enrollment		0 0 0 0 0% 0	0%
	Number of General-Education Students		0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities		0	0
9–12	Number of All Students		0	0
	Percent of Enrollment		0%	0%

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing No. Tested 67% 6 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0	% Passing		
French	14	100%	6	67%	6	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	15	93%	18	78%	15	93%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

(Form-D)

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Toot	2000–2001		2001	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	2002 No. Tested 0 0 0 0 0 1	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2000–2001		2001	-2002	2002–2003		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	6	100%	3	#	2	#	
Science	2	#	1	#	1	#	
Reading	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	1	#	1	#	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

_	tegents			G, I	4 44 D1	
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
		ehensive Eng		T	1	Г
Number Tested	36	29	32	5	5	2
Number Scoring 55–100	36	28	30	5	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	36	26	26	5	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	19	14	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	94%	100%	80%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	90%	81%	100%	60%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	66%	44%	0%	0%	#
	Ma	thematics A				
Number Tested	0	25	35	0	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	19	28	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	17	22	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	2	8	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	76%	80%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	68%	63%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	8%	23%	0%	#	#
			red June 200		I.	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Toroning of Testee Seeing of Too		tory and Geo		070	0,0	070
Number Tested	38	35	31	3	4	4
Number Scoring 55–100	38	35	30	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	37	32	28	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	9	14	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	91%	90%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	26%	45%	#	#	#
			ministered Ju			<u>'</u>
Number Tested	34	31	33	4	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	32	31	31	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	30	29	31	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65 100	17	13	16	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	100%	94%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	94%	94%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	42%	48%	#	#	#
1 creditage of Tested Scotting 63-100	JU%	4 ∠%0	40%	#	#	#

(Form - F)

		All Students	;	Stude	Students with Disabilitie	
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
Living I	Environment	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)		
Number Tested	32	34	25	4	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	32	34	25	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	32	25	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	18	11	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	94%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	53%	44%	#	#	#
Physical Sett	ing/Earth Sc	ience (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)		
Number Tested	7	21	23	2	2	5
Number Scoring 55–100	7	21	23	#	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	7	18	23	#	#	5
Number Scoring 85–100	0	7	6	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	86%	100%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	33%	26%	#	#	0%
Physical Se	tting/Chemis	try (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested		22	26		2	1
Number Scoring 55–100		21	23		#	#
Number Scoring 65–100		20	18		#	#
Number Scoring 85–100		1	4		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		95%	88%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		91%	69%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		5%	15%		#	#
*	etting/Physic	s (first admir	istered June	2002)*		
Number Tested						
Number Scoring 55–100						
Number Scoring 65–100						
Number Scoring 85–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100						
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100						

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results are not included in the report card because the Department is issuing a new conversion chart for this assessment. Data currently available to the Department are based on the original conversion chart for the assessment.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Student			nts with Disa	1
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003
		rehensive Fro		•	T	
Number Tested	15	8	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	15	8	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	15	8	8	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	2	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	25%	88%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		•	T	_
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		•	T	_
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Hel			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	5	16	13	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	5	15	13	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	4	14	13	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	7	7	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	94%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	88%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	44%	54%	0%	#	#
		rehensive La			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003			
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course II (last administered January 2003)								
Number Tested	45	24	5	4	2	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	32	22	3	#	#	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	23	18	3	#	#	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	7	6	2	#	#	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	71%	92%	60%	#	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	51%	75%	60%	#	#	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	25%	40%	#	#	0%			
	Sequential M	athematics, (Course III						
Number Tested	19	13	20	0	0	1			
Number Scoring 55–100	19	12	18	0	0	#			
Number Scoring 65–100	17	12	15	0	0	#			
Number Scoring 85–100	10	5	7	0	0	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	92%	90%	0%	0%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	92%	75%	0%	0%	#			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	38%	35%	0%	0%	#			

(Form – I)

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2000–2001		2001-	-2002	2002–2003		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	34	97%	30	100%	22	68%	
Students with Disabilities	4	#	2	#	2	#	

On school reports, 2000–2001 and 2001–2002 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2000–2001, 2001–2002, and 2002–2003 school years.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2002	General-Education Students	31	#	#	#	#
	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	34	3%	15%	74%	9%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2003	General-Education Students	26	0%	19%	65%	15%
	Students with Disabilities	6	0%	67%	33%	0%
	All Students	32	0%	28%	59%	13%

(Form - J)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2002–2003

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies 0 0 0 0 0										
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	tudents with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	22	22	22	4	4	4	26	26	26	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	1	1	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	14	10	9	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	9	12	14	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form - K)