The University of the State of New York The State Education Department



OVERVIEW OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS, MATHEMATICS, AND SCIENCE AND

ANALYSIS OF STUDENT SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE

for

Farmingdale Union Free School District

February 2004

THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Regents of The University

ROBERT M. BENNETT, Chancellor, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, Vice Chancellor, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
DIANE O'NEILL McGIVERN, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	Huntington
Anthony S. Bottar, B.A., J.D.	North Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	New York
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3rd, B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale
JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, JR., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D	Albany
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A.	Bronx
JUDITH O. RUBIN, A.B.	New York
JAMES R. TALLON, JR., B.A., M.A.	Binghamton
MILTON L. COFIELD, B.S., M.B.A., Ph.D.	Rochester

President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

${\bf Deputy\ Commissioner\ for\ Elementary,\ Middle,\ Secondary\ and\ Continuing\ Education}$

JAMES A. KADAMUS

Coordinator, School Operations and Management Services

CHARLES SZUBERLA

Coordinator, Information and Reporting Services

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made by contacting the Publications Sales Desk, Room 309, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information and Reporting Services Team New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA 89 Washington Avenue Albany, NY 12234

E-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on student performance and other measures of district performance. Knowledge gained from the district report card on a district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

The New York State District Report Card consists of three parts: the Overview of District Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science and Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance, the Comprehensive Information Report, and the District Accountability Report. The Overview and Analysis presents performance data on measures required by the federal No Child Left Behind Act: English, mathematics, science, and graduation rate. Performance data on other State assessments can be found in the Comprehensive Information Report. The District Accountability Report provides information as to whether a district is making adequate progress toward enabling all students to achieve proficiency in English and mathematics.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the foundation knowledge they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

In the *Overview*, performance on the elementary- and middle-level assessments in English language arts and mathematics and on the middle-level science test is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of students scoring at each of the four levels. These levels indicate performance on the standards from seriously deficient to advanced proficiency. Performance on the elementary-level science test is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of students making appropriate progress. Regents examination scores are reported in four score ranges. Scores of 65 to 100 are passing; scores of 55 to 64 earn credit toward a local diploma (with the approval of the local board of education). Though each elementary- and middle-level assessment is administered to students in a specific grade, secondary-level assessments are taken by students when they complete the coursework for the core curriculum. Therefore, the performance of students at the secondary level is measured for a student cohort rather than a group of students at a particular grade level. Students are grouped in cohorts according to the year in which they first entered grade 9.

The assessment data in the *Overview and Analysis* are for all tested students in the district, including general-education students and students with disabilities. In the *Overview*, each district's performance is compared with that of all public schools statewide. In the *Analysis*, performance is disaggregated by race/ethnicity, disability status, gender, LEP status, income level, and migrant status.

Explanations of terms referred to or symbols used in this part of the district report card may be found in the glossary on the last page. Further information on the district report card may be found in the guide, *Understanding Your School Report Card: February 2004*, available on the Information and Reporting Services Web site at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Overview of District Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science

District Profile

Superintendent: Dr. Roberta A. Gerold			(516)752-6510
Organization	Grade Range Student Enrollmen		Student Enrollment
2002–03	NA		6502

2001-02 District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$14,342
2001-02 NYS Public Schools Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$12,265

2002-03 Percentage of Core Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers*

Number of Core Classes	Percent Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
1,349	98%


^{*}For the 2002-03 school year, SED is reporting that teachers of core classes are highly qualified if they are certified to teach those classes. However, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) imposes requirements beyond certification for some teachers to be considered highly qualified. In future years, when New York State uses the NCLB criteria for reporting, certified teachers must fulfill all NCLB requirements to be counted as highly qualified.

2002-03 Percentage of Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate*

Number of Teachers	Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate
546	0%

^{*}This count includes teachers with temporary licenses who do not have a valid permanent, provisional, or transitional teaching certificate.

English Language Arts



Counts of Students Tested							
Performance at This District	Level 1 455–602	Level 2 603–644	Level 3 645–691	Level 4 692–800	Total	Mean Score	
Jan-Feb 2001	20	121	286	90	517	664	
Jan-Feb 2002	13	130	261	149	553	671	
Feb 2003	4	105	239	174	522	673	

Elementa	Elementary-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards						
Level 4	Level 4 These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.						
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.						
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.						
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.						

Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement


Grade 4	Grade 4 Number Tested		Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
2003	9	2	2	0	5

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

Elementary Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002-03	0	0	0	0	0

28-05-22-03-0000 March 19, 2004 5

Mathematics




Counts of Students Tested							
Performance at This District	Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 448–601 602–636 637–677 678–81				Total	Mean Score	
May 2001	5	63	264	189	521	668	
May 2002	13	69	307	166	555	663	
May 2003	7	23	257	244	531	674	

Elementa	Elementary-Level Mathematics Levels —					
Knowledge	e, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards					
Level 4	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.					
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.					
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.					
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.					

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Elementary Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002-03	0	0	0	0	0

Science Multiple-Choice



All Students

	Number Tested	Number Above SDL	Mean Score
May 2001	525	462	36
May 2002	556	499	37
May 2003	535	483	37

Grade 4 Science — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards					
Multiple-Choice Test Component	Science Syllabus and referenced to the New York State Learning Standards for Mathematics. Science L				
State Designated Level (SDL)	Students who correctly answer fewer than 30 of the 45 questions of the multiple-choice test component must receive academic intervention services in the following term of instruction.				
Mean Scores	For the multiple-choice test component, the mean score is the average number of correct answers for students tested. If all tested students answered all questions correctly, this score would be 45.				

Elementary Level

Science Performance Test

The elementary-level science test is composed of two sections, the multiple-choice section (described above) and the performance test. The performance test is not used to determine the need for academic intervention services or for accountability purposes because not all students are administered the same three tasks.


All Students

	Number Tested	Mean Score
May 2001	525	44
May 2002	552	44
May 2003	531	45

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Elementary Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002-03	0	0	0	0	0

English Language Arts



Performance at This District	Level 1 527–661	Level 2 662–700	Level 3 701-738	Level 4 739–830	Total	Mean Score
May 2001	41	149	187	52	429	704
	Level 1 527–659	Level 2 660–698	Level 3 699-737	Level 3 738-830	Total	
March 2002	8	139	249	93	489	714
	Level 1 527–657	Level 2 658–696	Level 3 697–736	Level 4 737–830	Total	
January 2003	26	176	261	70	533	706

Middle-L	Middle-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards				
Level 4	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.				
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				


Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement

Grade 8	Number Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
2003	15	1	1	2	11

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

Grade 8	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002-03	0	0	0	0	0

Mathematics




		Counts of Students Tested						
Performance at This District	Level 1 517–680	Level 2 681–715	Level 3 716–759	Level 4 760–882	Total	Mean Score		
May 2001	38	156	186	55	435	721		
May 2002	18	132	282	64	496	730		
May 2003	24	102	322	93	541	733		

Middle-L	Middle-Level Mathematics Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards				
Level 4	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.				
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Middle Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002–03	0	0	0	0	0

Science



Performance at This District		Counts of Students Tested					Maan Saara
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total	Mean Score
June 2002	Middle-Level Science	8	25	195	132	360	79
June 2002	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0
January/	Middle-Level Science	6	29	171	167	373	81
June 2003	Regents Science	0	0	28	131	159	89

Middle-L	Middle-Level Science Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards*							
Level 4	These students exceed the standards on the middle-level science test and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 85–100 on a Regents science examination.							
Level 3	These students meet the standards on the middle-level science test and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 65–84 on a Regents science examination.							
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards for middle-level science and to pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 55–64 on a Regents science examination.							
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies as evidenced in the middle-level science test <u>or</u> score 0–54 on a Regents science examination.							


^{*}Students may demonstrate proficiency in middle-level science by scoring at Level 3 or above on the middle-level science test or by scoring 65 or above on a Regents examination in science.

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Middle-Level	Number Tested	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4
2002–03	0	0	0	0	0

High School English Achievement after Four Years of Instruction

The graph and table below present performance of the 1997, 1998, and 1999 cohort members, four years after entering grade 9, in meeting the graduation assessment requirement in English. A score of 65 or above on the Regents comprehensive examination in English is considered passing. Only the highest score of each student is counted, regardless of how many times the student took the examination. In the graph, students passing approved alternatives to this examination are counted as scoring in the 65 to 84 range. RCT results are not included in the graph. In the first table, the numbers of students who met the graduation requirement by passing an approved alternative are listed separately. The second table shows the competency test performance of students with disabilities eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or above on a Regents examination or approved alternative. Students who score 55 to 64 on the Regents examination in comprehensive English may be given credit towards a local high school diploma if allowed by the district board of education. The data in these tables and chart show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30th of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. Data for the 1999 cohort include all students in cohorts in the district, and students placed outside the district but who are the reporting responsibility of the district. Data for the 1998 cohort include all students in cohorts in the district's schools.



	English Graduation Requirement Achievement after Four Years of High School*												
	Cohort Members Highest Score Highest Score Highest Score Between 0 and 54 Between 55 and 64 Between 65 and 84 Between 85 and 100 Alternative												
1997 Cohort	380	16	44	213	98	0							
1998 Cohort	353	2	28	222	91	0							
1999 Cohort	415	1	13	145	242	0							

^{*}Assessments used to determine counts in this table include the Regents examination in comprehensive English, the component retest in English, and approved alternatives.


Competency 7	Performance of Students Who Took the Regents Competency Tests in Reading and Writing to Meet the Graduation Requirement*									
	Passed the RCTs Failed RCT in Reading and/or Writing									
1997 Cohort	7	0								
1998 Cohort	1	2								
1999 Cohort	1	2								

^{*}Includes only students eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or higher on the Regents examination or an approved alternative.

28-05-22-03-0000 March 19, 2004 11

High School Mathematics Achievement after Four Years of Instruction

The graph and table below present performance of the 1997, 1998, and 1999 cohort members, four years after entering grade 9, in meeting the graduation assessment requirement in mathematics. A score of 65 or above on a Regents examination in mathematics is considered passing. Only the highest score of each student is counted, regardless of how many times the student took the examination. In the graph, students passing approved alternatives to these examinations are counted as scoring in the 65 to 84 range. RCT results are not included in the graph. In the first table, the numbers of students who met the graduation requirement by passing an approved alternative are listed separately. The second table shows the competency test performance of students with disabilities eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or above on a Regents examination or approved alternative. Students who score 55 to 64 on a Regents examination in mathematics may be given credit towards a local high school diploma if allowed by the district board of education. The data in these tables and chart show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30th of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. Data for the 1999 cohort include all students in cohorts in the district's schools, students continuously enrolled in the district who transferred between schools within the district, and students in cohorts in the district but who are the reporting responsibility of the district. Data for the 1998 cohort include all students in cohorts in the district's schools.



	Mathematics Graduation Requirement Achievement after Four Years of High School*											
	Cohort Members Highest Score Highest Score Highest Score Approved											
	All Students	Between 0 and 54	Between 55 and 64	Between 65 and 84	Between 85 and 100	Alternative Credit						
1997 Cohort	380	4	9	160	198	0						
1998 Cohort	353	0	8	145	186	0						
1999 Cohort	415	4	5	128	264	0						

^{*}Assessments used to determine counts in this table include a Regents examination in mathematics, the component retest in mathematics, and approved alternatives.

Performance of Students Who Took the Regents Competency Test in Mathematics to Meet the Graduation Requirement*									
Passed the RCT Failed at Least One RCT									
1997 Cohort	5	0							
1998 Cohort	8	1							
1999 Cohort	8	1							


^{*}Includes only students eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or higher on the Regents examination or an approved alternative.

12

28-05-22-03-0000 March 19, 2004

Cohort Graduation Rates

Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31st of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the 1998 school accountability cohort for English and mathematics.



	Cohort Graduation Rates										
	Cohort Members* (a)	Transfers to GED (b)	Graduation Rate Cohort Members (a+b)	Number Graduated							
1998 Cohort	357	6	363	350							

^{*}Count as of August 31st of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance

Historically, on State assessments the average performance of Black, Hispanic, and Native American students has been lower than that of White and Asian students. Similarly, students from low-income families have not performed as well as those from higher income families. A high priority of the Board of Regents is to eliminate these gaps in student performance. In addition, Title I of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act includes explicit requirements "to ensure that students served by Title I are given the same opportunity to achieve to high standards and are held to the same high expectations as all students in each State."

This section of the district report card provides performance data for two years by racial/ethnic group, disability status, gender, English proficiency status, income level, and migrant status. The purpose of the student subgroup analyses is to determine if students who perform below the standards in any school tend to fall into particular groups, such as minority students, limited English proficient students, or economically disadvantaged students. If these analyses provide evidence that students in one of the groups achieve at a lower level than other students, the district should examine the reasons for this lower performance and make necessary changes in curriculum, instruction, and student support services to remedy these performance gaps. If your district did not report data for the 2002-03 school year for a subject and grade, a table showing data for subgroups in that subject and grade will not be included in the *Analysis*.

English Language Arts

			1–02	<i></i>		2002	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Percentages of Tested Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	S	S	2	S	S	S
Black	32	94%	59%	9%	32	100%	63%	16%
Hispanic	48	98%	50%	15%	36	97%	69%	22%
Asian or Pacific Islander	13	S	S	S	15	S	S	S
White	459	98%	77%	29%	437	99%	81%	36%
Total	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Small Group Totals (s)	14	100%	86%	36%	17	100%	88%	29%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	472	100%	83%	31%	468	100%	84%	37%
Students with disabilities	81	85%	21%	1%	54	94%	33%	0%
Total	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Results by Gender								
Female	255	99%	81%	35%	235	99%	83%	42%
Male	298	97%	68%	20%	287	99%	76%	26%
Total	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	79	94%	48%	13%	68	99%	65%	21%
Not disadvantaged	474	98%	78%	29%	454	99%	81%	35%
Total	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%
Total	553	98%	74%	27%	522	99%	79%	33%

Mathematics

		200	1–02			200	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Tested	Tested Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	S	S	2	S	S	S
Black	29	93%	66%	3%	33	94%	85%	21%
Hispanic	54	96%	59%	13%	39	95%	79%	18%
Asian or Pacific Islander	13	S	S	S	15	S	S	S
White	458	98%	89%	33%	442	99%	96%	50%
Total	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%
Small Group Totals (s)	14	100%	100%	57%	17	100%	100%	41%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	474	100%	91%	34%	477	100%	98%	49%
Students with disabilities	81	84%	51%	7%	54	89%	65%	17%
Total	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%
Results by Gender								
Female	256	98%	86%	29%	236	98%	94%	45%
Male	299	98%	84%	30%	295	99%	95%	46%
Total	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	549	98%	85%	30%	524	99%	95%	46%
Limited English proficient	6	100%	83%	0%	7	86%	71%	14%
Total	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	81	94%	62%	12%	72	94%	82%	26%
Not disadvantaged	474	98%	89%	33%	459	99%	96%	49%
Total	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%
Total	555	98%	85%	30%	531	99%	94%	46%

Science Multiple-Choice

		01-02	20	002-03
Student Subgroup	Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring above the SDL	Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring above the SDL
Results by Race/Ethnicity		L		
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	2	S
Black	29	83%	33	85%
Hispanic	54	70%	41	63%
Asian or Pacific Islander	14	s	16	S
White	458	92%	443	93%
Total	556	90%	535	90%
Small Group Totals (s)	15	93%	18	89%
Results by Disability Status				
General-education students	475	95%	479	93%
Students with disabilities	81	58%	56	64%
Total	556	90%	535	90%
Results by Gender				
Female	256	91%	237	91%
Male	300	89%	298	90%
Total	556	90%	535	90%
Results by English Proficiency S	Status			
English proficient	549	90%	527	91%
Limited English proficient	7	57%	8	25%
Total	556	90%	535	90%
Results by Income Level				
Economically disadvantaged	81	72%	75	73%
Not disadvantaged	475	93%	460	93%
Total	556	90%	535	90%
Results by Migrant Status				
Migrant family	0	0%	0	0%
Not migrant family	556	90%	535	90%
Total	556	90%	535	90%

English Language Arts

		200	1–02			200	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Percentages of Tested Tested Students Scoring at Levels			Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity			•	•				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	S	S	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	34	94%	44%	6%	37	92%	32%	3%
Hispanic	39	100%	36%	8%	38	89%	34%	5%
Asian or Pacific Islander	18	S	S	S	13	100%	69%	8%
White	397	98%	74%	20%	445	96%	67%	15%
Total	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Small Group Totals (s)	19	100%	95%	37%	0	0%	0%	0%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	430	100%	77%	22%	462	99%	70%	15%
Students with disabilities	59	88%	19%	0%	71	70%	13%	0%
Total	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Results by Gender								
Female	236	99%	77%	24%	254	96%	65%	16%
Male	253	98%	64%	14%	279	94%	60%	11%
Total	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	59	95%	49%	3%	62	85%	35%	2%
Not disadvantaged	430	99%	73%	21%	471	96%	66%	15%
Total	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%
Total	489	98%	70%	19%	533	95%	62%	13%

Mathematics

			1–02			2002	2–03	
Student Subgroup	Tested		ntages of T s Scoring a		Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	S	S	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	34	85%	35%	3%	33	82%	48%	3%
Hispanic	45	93%	40%	0%	49	92%	57%	4%
Asian or Pacific Islander	18	S	S	s	16	100%	94%	25%
White	398	97%	75%	15%	443	97%	80%	19%
Total	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%
Small Group Totals (s)	19	100%	89%	21%	0	0%	0%	0%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	438	99%	76%	15%	472	99%	83%	20%
Students with disabilities	58	79%	24%	0%	69	70%	30%	0%
Total	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%
Results by Gender								
Female	243	95%	69%	14%	258	95%	76%	17%
Male	253	97%	71%	11%	283	96%	78%	17%
Total	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	489	97%	71%	13%	526	96%	77%	18%
Limited English proficient	7	71%	14%	0%	15	87%	53%	0%
Total	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	62	89%	40%	2%	72	86%	50%	4%
Not disadvantaged	434	97%	74%	15%	469	97%	81%	19%
Total	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%
Total	496	96%	70%	13%	541	96%	77%	17%

Science

			1–02		2002–03				
Student Subgroup	Tested		ntages of T s Scoring a		Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			
		2–4	3–4	4		2–4	3–4	4	
Results by Race/Ethnicity									
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Black	32	91%	75%	25%	29	93%	76%	21%	
Hispanic	39	95%	77%	23%	42	98%	79%	29%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	9	100%	100%	44%	7	100%	86%	29%	
White	280	99%	94%	40%	295	99%	94%	50%	
Total	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Results by Disability Status									
General-education students	305	99%	95%	41%	305	100%	94%	52%	
Students with disabilities	55	93%	65%	15%	68	91%	75%	13%	
Total	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	
Results by Gender			•	•			•	•	
Female	173	97%	91%	28%	172	98%	88%	37%	
Male	187	98%	91%	45%	201	99%	93%	51%	
Total	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	
Results by English Proficiency State	us		•	•			•	•	
English proficient	354	98%	92%	37%	362	98%	92%	46%	
Limited English proficient	6	67%	33%	0%	11	100%	45%	0%	
Total	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	
Results by Income Level				•			•	•	
Economically disadvantaged	55	93%	78%	22%	60	93%	77%	20%	
Not disadvantaged	305	99%	93%	39%	313	99%	93%	50%	
Total	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	
Results by Migrant Status									
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Not migrant family	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	
Total	360	98%	91%	37%	373	98%	91%	45%	

1998 and 1999 High School Cohorts

General-education students who first entered ninth grade in 1998 or 1999 must score 55 or higher on Regents English and mathematics examinations to graduate. During the phase-in of the Regents examination graduation requirements, all students (with district board of education approval) may qualify for a local diploma by earning a score of 55–64 on the required Regents examinations; a score of 65 or higher is required for a Regents diploma. Students with disabilities and certain students with a Section 504 Accomodation Plan may qualify for a local diploma by passing Regents competency tests. The data in these tables show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30th of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

Performance on the English Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

		hort		1999 Cohort							
		Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting	Students -	Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting	
Student Subgroup	Students in Cohort	Regents		Pass-	Gradu-	in	Regents		Pass-	Gradua-	
		55– 64	65– 100	ed RCTs	ation Require- ment	Cohort	55– 64	65– 100	ed RCTs	tion Require- ment	
Results by Race/Ethnicity											
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	
Black	19	4	13	1	95%	26	2	23	0	96%	
Hispanic	27	4	20	0	89%	24	2	19	0	88%	
Asian or Pacific Islander	17	1	16	0	100%	22	0	21	0	95%	
White	290	19	264	0	98%	343	9	324	1	97%	
Total	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	
Results by Disability Status	Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	316	15	297	0	99%	373	7	363	0	99%	
Students with disabilities	37	13	16	1	81%	42	6	24	1	74%	
Total	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	
Results by Gender											
Female	170	8	158	0	98%	205	5	194	1	98%	
Male	183	20	155	1	96%	210	8	193	0	96%	
Total	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	
Results by English Proficiency	/ Status										
English proficient	348	27	312	1	98%	405	12	380	1	97%	
Limited English proficient	5	1	1	0	40%	10	1	7	0	80%	
Total	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	
Results by Income Level											
Economically disadvantaged	23	5	15	1	91%	26	1	25	0	100%	
Not disadvantaged	330	23	298	0	97%	389	12	362	1	96%	
Total	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	
Results by Migrant Status											
Migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%	
Not migrant family	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	
Total	353	28	313	1	97%	415	13	387	1	97%	

March 19, 2004

Performance on the Mathematics Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

		hort		1999 Cohort						
	Count of Students			Percent		Count of Students			Percent	
Student Subgroup	Students	by Score			Meeting	Students	by Score		Meeting	
	in Cohort	Regents		Pass-	Gradu-	in	Regents		Pass-	Gradua-
		55– 64	65– 100	ed RCTs	ation Require- ment	Cohort	55– 64	65– 100	ed RCTs	tion Require- ment
Results by Race/Ethnicity										
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Black	19	2	16	0	95%	26	2	22	1	96%
Hispanic	27	0	22	1	85%	24	0	19	2	88%
Asian or Pacific Islander	17	0	17	0	100%	22	0	21	0	95%
White	290	6	276	7	100%	343	3	330	5	99%
Total	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	316	6	307	0	99%	373	4	365	1	99%
Students with disabilities	37	2	24	8	92%	42	1	27	7	83%
Total	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%
Results by Gender			•							
Female	170	1	167	0	99%	205	3	193	3	97%
Male	183	7	164	8	98%	210	2	199	5	98%
Total	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%
Results by English Proficiency	Status						l .			
English proficient	348	8	330	8	99%	405	4	385	8	98%
Limited English proficient	5	0	1	0	20%	10	1	7	0	80%
Total	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%
Results by Income Level			•		1					
Economically disadvantaged	23	1	19	1	91%	26	0	24	1	96%
Not disadvantaged	330	7	312	7	99%	389	5	368	7	98%
Total	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%
Results by Migrant Status			•		•			•		
Migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not migrant family	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%
Total	353	8	331	8	98%	415	5	392	8	98%

Cohort Graduation Rates

Students were counted as graduates in the first two columns of this table if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by June 30th of the fourth year after first entering grade 9 and in the second two columns if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31st of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the 1998 district accountability cohort for English and mathematics.

		nort as of	1998 Cohort as of August 31, 2002			
Student Subgroup	June 3 Graduation Rate Cohort	Graduation Rate	Graduation Rate Cohort	Graduation Rate		
Results by Race/Ethnicity						
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	0	0%		
Black	20	90%	20	90%		
Hispanic	28	82%	28	86%		
Asian or Pacific Islander	17	100%	18	94%		
White	292	98%	297	98%		
Total	357	96%	363	96%		
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0%	0	0%		
Results by Disability Status						
General-education students	319	97%	320	98%		
Students with disabilities	38	87%	43	84%		
Total	357	96%	363	96%		
Results by Gender	•					
Female	172	97%	174	98%		
Male	185	96%	189	95%		
Total	357	96%	363	96%		
Results by English Proficiency St	atus					
English proficient	352	97%	359	S		
Limited English proficient	5	20%	4	S		
Total	357	96%	363	96%		
Results by Income Level						
Economically disadvantaged	24	88%	24	88%		
Not disadvantaged	333	97%	339	97%		
Total	357	96%	363	96%		
Results by Migrant Status						
Migrant family	0	0%	0	0%		
Not migrant family	357	96%	363	96%		
Total	357	96%	363	96%		

Glossary

Accountability Cohort: An accountability cohort is all students, regardless of grade status, who were enrolled in school on BEDS day two years after the year in which they entered grade 9, or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, the year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. (For example, the 1998 accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 in the fall of 1998 who were enrolled on October 4, 2000). Certain students with severe disabilities, new immigrants, and students who transfer to programs leading to a high school diploma or high school equivalency diploma are not included in the school accountability cohort. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Component Retests: Component retests were offered in Regents English and Mathematics A to graduating seniors who were at risk of not meeting the State learning standards. Component retesting is the process by which a student who has failed a Regents examination in English or Mathematics A twice is retested only on the areas of the learning standards in which the student has been proven deficient. Component retesting eliminates the need for the student to retake the full Regents examination multiple times. Students who earn credit through component retesting are counted as if they scored in the 55–64 range or in the 65–84 range, as determined by the results of the component retest.

Counts of Students Tested: "Counts of Students Tested" includes only students who completed sufficient test questions to receive a score.

Graduation-Rate Cohort: Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a general education development (GED) program.

Limited English Proficient (LEP) Students: Schools provide special English instruction to students for whom English is a second language so they can participate effectively in the academic program. In 2002–03 and in previous years, students were considered LEP if, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry, they spoke a language other than English and (1) either understood and spoke little or no English or (2) scored at or below the 40th percentile on an English language assessment instrument. The United States Department of Education has approved the use of the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the required measure of language arts proficiency for LEP students in grades 4 and 8 who have attended school in the United States (not including Puerto Rico) for fewer than three consecutive years and for LEP students who have attended for four or five years and have received an exemption from the general assessment requirement.

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): The district Committee on Special Education designates students with severe disabilities who meet criteria established in Commissioner's Regulations to take the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA).

Student Confidentiality/Suppressed Data (# and s): To ensure student confidentiality, the Department does <u>not</u> publish data for groups with fewer than five students or data that would allow readers to easily determine the performance of a group with fewer than five students. In the *Overview*, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. In the *Analysis*, when fewer than five students in a group (e.g., Hispanic) were tested, percentages of tested students scoring at various levels are suppressed for that group and the next smallest group. Suppressed data are indicated with an **(s)**. However, the performance of tested students in these groups is aggregated and shown in the Small Group Total row.

Validity and Reliability of Small Group Data: It is important that programmatic decisions are based on valid and reliable data. Data for fewer than 30 students in a group may be neither valid nor reliable. If a school does not have 30 students in a grade or a subgroup in a given year, the school should evaluate results for students in this group over a period of years to make programmatic decisions.