521701-04-0000

# The New York State School District Report Card for Schuylerville Central School District

An Overview of Academic Performance



February 2000



The University of the State of New York The State Education Department

March 6, 2000

## THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK **Regents of The University**

CARL T. HAYDEN, <i>Chancellor</i> , A.B., J.D DIANE O'NEILL MCGIVERN, <i>Vice Chancellor</i> , B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D	Staten Island
J. EDWARD MEYER, B.A., LL.B.	
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, B.A., M.A., P.D.	
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
ROBERT M. BENNETT, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ROBERT M. JOHNSON, B.S., J.D.	•
ANTHONY S. BOTTAR, B.A., J.D.	Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	New York
HAROLD O. LEVY, B.S., M.A. (Oxon.), J.D.	New York
ENA L. FARLEY, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	Brockport
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
RICARDO E. OQUENDO, B.A., J.D.	Bronx
ELEANOR P. BARTLETT, B.A., M.A.	Albany
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B	Buffalo

## President of The University and Commissioner of Education

**RICHARD P. MILLS** 

**Chief Operating Officer RICHARD H. CATE** 

Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education JAMES A. KADAMUS

**Coordinator, Facilities, Management and Information Services** CHARLES SZUBERLA

### **Coordinator, Information, Reporting and Technology Services** MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including Braille, large print or audiotape, Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the upon request. Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 152, Education Building, Albany, N.Y.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

e-mail: **<u>RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov</u>** 

School Report Card Coordinator Information, Reporting, and Technology Services Team Room 863 Education Building Annex New York State Education Department Albany, NY 12234

#### English Language Arts Grade 4 English Language Arts Performance in January 1999 (All Students: General Education and Special Education) 60% 49% 50% 43% 41% 40% 40% This 30% District 20% 11% NY State 9% 5% Public 10% 2% 0% Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Counts of Students** Performance Mean Tested Not Tested Score in This District IEP<sup>1</sup> ELL<sup>2</sup> Absent<sup>3</sup> Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Total General Education 47 90 657 0 0 31 11 Jan 17 30 Special Education 0 0 12 0 1 0 640 1999 0 2 48 59 120 All Students 0 0 11 653 Grade 4 English Language Arts Levels – Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents Level 4 examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, and proficient intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show thorough understanding of written and oral (692-800) text. Students' writing is well organized, with minor errors that do not interfere with readability. These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. They show knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, and show some Level 3 intermediate-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show understanding of written and oral text, (645-691) Students' writing is generally focused and organized, with minor errors that do not interfere with readability. These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some knowledge and skill for each standard for elementary students, but none of the intermediate-level skills in listening, Level 2 reading and writing. Students show partial understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus (603-644)and basic organization and uses simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with readability. These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of any proficiency in one or more of the elementary standards and incomplete proficiency in all three of the standards. Students show minimal Level 1 understanding of written and oral text. Students' writing is brief, general, or uses repetitive statements, and reveals (455-602) difficulty in organizing thoughts. Errors interfere with readability.

Grade 4

### Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

English language learners (ELL), formerly referred to as limited English proficient (LEP), are students for whom English is a second language. Schools assist these students in learning English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 4 ELA test.<sup>2</sup> Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 4	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress		
January 1999	0	0		

1 These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


2 These students were not required to take the grade 4 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment. Other grade 4 ELL students must take this test.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

# To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

# Grade 4

## Mathematics



<sup>1</sup> These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).

<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take this test because they were English language learners (ELL) who performed below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.

<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

#### English Language Arts Grade 8 English Language Arts Performance in June 1999 (All Students: General Education and Special Education) 60% 54% 50% 43% 39% 36% 40% This 30% District 20% 9% 9% NY State 6% 10% 4% Public 0% Level 2 Level 3 Level 1 Level 4 **Counts of Students** Performance Mean Tested Not Tested in This District Score ELL<sup>2</sup> IEP<sup>1</sup> Absent<sup>3</sup> Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Total General Education 48 96 704 0 41 0 0 June Special Education 1 0 1 5 17 2 0 24 675 1999 All Students 1 0 1 5 65 43 7 120 698 Grade 8 English Language Arts Levels – Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination. They show superior knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students, and proficient Level 4 commencement-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show thorough understanding of intermediate (739-830)written and oral text. Students' writing is consistently well organized, insightful, and thoroughly developed, with sophisticated and effective language and few or no errors in spelling, grammar, or punctuation. These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination. They show knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students, and some Level 3 commencement-level skills in listening, reading and writing. Students show general understanding of intermediate (701-738) level written and oral text. Students' writing is generally organized and developed, with minor errors that do not interfere with readability. These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination. They show some Level 2 knowledge and skill for each standard for intermediate students. Students show partial understanding of (662-700)intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing has some focus and basic organization and uses simple sentences and vocabulary. Errors sometimes interfere with comprehension. These students have serious academic deficiencies. They show no evidence of any proficiency in one or more of the standards for intermediate students and incomplete proficiency in all three of the standards. Students show Level 1 minimal understanding of intermediate-level written and oral text. Students' writing is brief, general, or uses (527-661) repetitive statements, and reveals difficulty in organizing thoughts. Errors interfere with both readability and comprehension.

Grade 8

### Performance of English Language Learners (ELL)

English language learners (ELL), formerly referred to as limited English proficient (LEP), are students for whom English is a second language. Schools assist these students in learning English so they can participate effectively in the academic program. ELL students without sufficient proficiency in English are not required to take the grade 4 ELA test.<sup>2</sup> Their progress in learning English is measured, using standardized tests, and reported.

Grade 8	English Proficiency Below Effective Participation Level	Making Appropriate Progress		
June 1999	0	0		

1 These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


2 These students were not required to take the grade 4 ELA test because they were English Language Learners (ELL) who performed below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on another appropriate English reading assessment. Other grade 4 ELL students must take this test.

3 These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the ELA assessment.

# To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

## Grade 8

## Mathematics



<sup>1</sup> These students with disabilities were exempt from this test because of their disability. This exemption is stated in their Individualized Educational Program (IEP).


<sup>2</sup> These students were not required to take this test because they are English language learners (ELL) who perform below the 30<sup>th</sup> percentile on an appropriate English reading assessment and there was no test form available in their native language. Other ELL students must take this test, but may take an alternative language form if such is available.


<sup>3</sup> These students were enrolled at the time of testing, but were not present to complete some part of the mathematics assessment.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. If fewer than five were tested in one subgroup, then counts appear only in the "All Students" category.

## **Cohort Performance**

This cohort includes all students enrolled in this district in June 1999 who first entered grade 9 in September 1996. General education students in this cohort must pass the Regents English examination to earn a high school diploma.<sup>1</sup> Special education students who do not pass the Regents English examination may meet this graduation requirement by passing the Regents Competency Tests in both reading and writing. Students in this cohort are not required to pass a Regents mathematics examination to earn a local diploma.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Students who score 55 to 64 may earn a local diploma with the approval of the local school board. Only the highest score of each student is counted, regardless of how many times or in which years the student may have taken the examination.

<sup>#</sup> To protect student confidentiality, the pound character (#) appears when there are fewer than five students in a group. If fewer than five special-education students were reported, then counts appear only in the "General-Education" category.

# **School District Profile**

Superinten Organizat 1998-99		J. Reed Phone: (518)695-3255 School Staff (both full- and part-time)			
Grade Range	Student Enrollment	Count of Teachers	Count of Other Professionals	Count of Paraprofessionals	
K-12	1,649	116	7	29	

Public Total Expenditure per Pupil	1997-98
This School District	\$10,070
New York State	\$9,810

District Student Enrollment					
Grade Levels	October 1998	Grade Levels	October 1998		
Pre-Kindergarten	0	Grade 7	127		
Kindergarten	123	Grade 8	122		
Grade 1	139	Grade 9	138		
Grade 2	139	Grade 10	124		
Grade 3	127	Grade 11	109		
Grade 4	114	Grade 12	97		
Grade 5	145	Ungraded Elementary with Disabilities	0		
Grade 6	145	Ungraded Secondary with Disabilities	0		

Student Demographics	1996-97 1997-98		1998-9	1998-99			
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	NY Statewide Public Percent
English Language Learners	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7.5%
Eligible For Free Lunch	297	18.4%	283	17.4%	271	16.4%	38.5%

Need / Resource Capacity Group

The Education Department groups school districts for policy analysis based on certain demographic factors. This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

