### New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

Grade Range : 9-12

2001-2002

2000-2001

BEDS Code :	14-11-01-06-0001				
Name :	Griffith Institute High School				
Principal:	Ms. Karen Lesinski				
Fall Enrollment					
Grade		1999–2000			
Pre-K		0			
Kindergarten	0				
First	0				

Second Third Fourth Fifth Sixth Ungraded Elementary Seventh Eighth Ninth Tenth Eleventh Twelfth Ungraded Secondary Total K-12 Enrollment 

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	1999-	-2000	2000-	2000–2001 2001–2002		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	1.2%	5	0.7%	9	1.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	5	0.7%	4	0.5%	2	0.3%
Hispanic	4	0.5%	4	0.5%	3	0.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	750	97.7%	751	98.3%	753	98.2%

#### Limited English Proficient Students (also known as English language learners)

1999–2	2000	2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students % of Enroll.		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	

### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	1999–2000	2000–2001	2001–2002
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	20	19	19
Mathematics Grade 10	18	19	24
Science Grade 10	18	20	19
Social Studies Grade 10	23	21	23

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### **Similar School Group and Description**

Similar School Group	Description
	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school
49	districts with average student needs in relation to district resource
47	capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student
	needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Attendance and Suspension

	1998–1999		1999-	-2000	2000–2001	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.7%		95.4%		95.0%
Student Suspensions	41	5.4%	47	6.1%	65	8.5%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	1999–2000	2000-2001	2001–2002
Free Lunch	13.7%	9.6%	4.8%
Reduced Lunch	6.0%	6.1%	3.4%
Public Assistance	1-10%	11-20%	1-10%
Student Stability	96%	100%	93%

### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2001–2002
Total Teachers	53
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching out of Certification*	2
Teachers with Temporary Licenses	1

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis. Teachers with temporary licenses are also counted as teaching out of certification.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	1999–2000				2000-2001	1	2001–2002		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	147	90	61%	138	88	64%	155	106	68%
Students with Disabilities	7	3	43%	10	1	10%	1	0	0%
All Students	154	93	60%	148	89	60%	156	106	68%

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Data reported for the 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 school years include August, January, and June graduates. Data reported for the 2001–2002 school year include only January and June graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2001–2002 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	81	39	10	2	23	1
Percent	52%	25%	6%	1%	15%	1%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2001–2002

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2001–2002 Completers (a+c)
1	0	4	5

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001-	-2002
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out					22	2.9%
Education	Entered GED Program*					8	1.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters					30	3.9%
Students	Dropped Out					0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*					6	0.8%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters					6	0.8%
All	Dropped Out	18	2.3%	20	2.6%	22	2.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	6	0.8%	14	1.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	18	2.3%	26	3.4%	36	4.7%

\*The number and percentage of students who left K-12, diploma-bound systems and entered an alternative program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	24	96%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	87	95%	7	86%	9	100%	

### Students with Disabilities

Test	1999-	1999–2000		-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	

(Form – D)

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	1999–2000		2000-	-2001	2001–2002		
1 030	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	2	#	1	#	
Science	6	83%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	3	#	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	20	85%	9	89%	2	#	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	1999–2000		2000	-2001	2001–2002		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	5	100%	1	#	21	67%	
Science	5	100%	2	#	10	40%	
Reading	13	100%	5	100%	4	#	
Writing	14	100%	5	100%	3	#	
Global Studies	1	0%	1	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	100%	2	#	1	#	

(Form - E)

<b>▲</b>	<u>ugents</u>	Exami		~ ·		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
		rehensive Eng				
Number Tested	153	168	177	11	6	7
Number Scoring 55–100	152	167	173	11	5	5
Number Scoring 65–100	134	161	159	4	5	3
Number Scoring 85–100	33	45	58	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	99%	99%	98%	100%	83%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	88%	96%	90%	36%	83%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	22%	27%	33%	0%	17%	0%
Sequential Mat	hematics, Co	urse I (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)		
Number Tested	178	87	26	13	8	3
Number Scoring 55–100	136	68	19	8	6	#
Number Scoring 65–100	122	56	16	8	4	#
Number Scoring 85–100	49	10	3	4	3	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	76%	78%	73%	62%	75%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	64%	62%	62%	50%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	11%	12%	31%	38%	#
		athematics A	•			•
Number Tested	0	0	165	0	0	8
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	138	0	0	3
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	121	0	0	1
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	73	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	84%	0%	0%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	73%	0%	0%	12%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	44%	0%	0%	0%
	l Studies (las	t administere	d Januarv 20	00)		•
Number Tested	0		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0			0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0			0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%			0%		
		aphy (first ac	Iministered J			
Number Tested	207	176	201	50	9	14
Number Scoring 55–100	164	176	199	9	9	14
Number Scoring 65–100	161	172	195	9	9	13
Number Scoring 85–100	59	84	57	0	2	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	100%	99%	18%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	98%	97%	18%	100%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	48%	28%	0%	22%	7%

(Form – F)

		All Students	5	Studer	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
U.S. History a		ent (last adm	inistered Jan				
Number Tested	156	9		13	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	142	6		10	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	133	5		9	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	58	0		2	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	91%	67%		77%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	85%	56%		69%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	0%		15%	0%		
U.S. History	and Govern	ment (first ad	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		163	176		6	8	
Number Scoring 55–100		154	171		5	7	
Number Scoring 65–100		147	159		4	7	
Number Scoring 85–100		76	66		1	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		94%	97%		83%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		90%	90%		67%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		47%	38%		17%	0%	
	<u>Environment</u>	(first admini	stered June 2	2001)			
Number Tested		163	207		2	9	
Number Scoring 55–100		162	190		#	7	
Number Scoring 65–100		162	189		#	7	
Number Scoring 85–100		46	64		#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		99%	92%		#	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		99%	91%		#	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		28%	31%		#	0%	
	ting/Earth Sc	ience (first ac	lministered J	une 2001)			
Number Tested		206	172		10	21	
Number Scoring 55–100		199	163		9	19	
Number Scoring 65–100		183	150		7	11	
Number Scoring 85–100		87	61		2	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		97%	95%		90%	90%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100		89%	87%		70%	52%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		42%	35%		20%	5%	

(Form – G)

# **Average Grade Enrollment**

### All Students

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	209	182	158	172	180
2001	223	187	167	149	182
2002	191	203	169	166	182

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Year	Grade 9 Enrollment (June)	Grade 10 Enrollment (June)	Grade 11 Enrollment (June)	Grade 12 Enrollment (June)	AGE*
2000	20	16	20	17	18
2001	12	14	12	13	13
2002	17	19	9	5	13

\*In schools with no grade 9–12 enrollment, AGE is the grade 8 enrollment as reported through LEAP.

(Form - H)

-				Stud a	nta with Dia-	hilitica
	2000	All Students			nts with Disa	
Among as Crus de Ennellin ant (ACE)	<b>2000</b> 180	<b>2001</b> 182	<b>2002</b> 182	<b>2000</b> 18	<b>2001</b> 13	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)		rehensive Fre		18	13	13
Number Tested	24	36	39	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	24	36	39	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	24	36	39	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	15	26	16	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	13%	20%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	13%	20%	21%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	13%	20%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	8%	14%	<u>20%</u> 9%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	100%	14%	9% 95%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100				0%	0%	0%0
Nouse an Tooto d		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0 0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0				0
Number Scoring 65–100	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0			0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nouse an Tooto d		ehensive Ger	man 0	0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0 0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0		0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100				0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100						
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nouslass Tests I		ehensive Heb		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – I)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	180	182	182	18	13	13	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	77	88	130	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	77	88	130	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	77	88	128	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	51	78	86	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	43%	48%	71%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	43%	48%	71%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	43%	48%	70%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	28%	43%	47%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	0%	0%	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin	-			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form - J)

		All Students		Stude	Students with Disabilities		
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002	
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	180	182	182	18	13	13	
•	Sequential M	Iathematics,	Course II				
Number Tested	145	178	55	3	3	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	135	153	37	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	124	141	23	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	54	73	3	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	81%	98%	30%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	75%	84%	20%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	69%	77%	13%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	30%	40%	2%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	79%	42%	#	#	#	
	Sequential M	lathematics, (	Course III				
Number Tested	94	108	110	2	2	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	92	100	104	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	88	90	96	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	50	50	59	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Tested	52%	59%	60%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	51%	55%	57%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	49%	49%	53%	#	#	#	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	28%	27%	32%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	83%	87%	#	#	#	
Mat	hematics <b>B</b> (fi	rst administe	red June 200	1)			
Number Tested		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100		0	0		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100		0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		0%	0%		0%	0%	

(Form – K)

	All Students			Studer	nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	180	182	182	18	13	13
Earth	Science (last	administered	d January 20	01)		
Number Tested	0	8		0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	8		0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	7		0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0		0	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	0%	4%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	0%	4%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	0%	4%		0%	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	0%	0%		0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	88%		0%	0%	
Bio	ology (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2001)			
Number Tested	156	6		3	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	151	6		#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	136	6		#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	44	0		#	0	
Percentage of AGE Tested	87%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	84%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	76%	3%		#	0%	
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	24%	0%		#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	100%		#	0%	
Che	mistry (last a	dministered .	January 2002	2)		
Number Tested	117	117	19	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	104	106	14	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	81	80	8	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	30	21	1	0	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	65%	64%	10%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	58%	58%	8%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	45%	44%	4%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	17%	12%	1%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	68%	42%	0%	#	0%

(Form - L)

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2000	2001	2002	2000	2001	2002
Average Grade Enrollment (AGE)	180	182	182	18	13	13
Р	hysics (last ad	ministered Ja	anuary 2002)			
Number Tested	42	51	0	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	41	49	0	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	39	45	0	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	21	22	0	#	#	0
Percentage of AGE Tested	23%	28%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100	23%	27%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100	22%	25%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100	12%	12%	0%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	88%	0%	#	#	0%
Physical S	etting/Chemis	stry (first adn	ninistered Ju	ne 2002)		
Number Tested			107			2
Number Scoring 55–100			90			#
Number Scoring 65–100			65			#
Number Scoring 85–100			11			#
Percentage of AGE Tested			59%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			49%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			36%			#
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			6%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			61%			#
	Setting/Physi	cs (first admi	nistered June	2002)		•
Number Tested			44			0
Number Scoring 55–100			36			0
Number Scoring 65–100			31			0
Number Scoring 85–100			11			0
Percentage of AGE Tested			24%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 55–100			20%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 65–100			17%			0%
Percentage of AGE Scoring 85–100			6%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			70%			0%

(Form - M)

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	1999–2000No. Tested% Passing		2000-2001		2001–2002	
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	36	97%	22	100%	52	100%
Students with Disabilities	2	#	1	#	3	#

On school reports, 1999–2000 and 2000–2001 data are for all students with disabilities enrolled in the school. District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 1999–2000, 2000–2001, and 2001–2002 school years.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2001	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2002	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - N)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2001–2002

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Level	ļ						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary Lev	el						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics, Science, & Technology	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Health, Phys, Ed., & Fam. & Cons. Sci.	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Career Dev. & Occ. Studies (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			
The Arts (optional)	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### **1998** Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Educ	ation Students	Students wit	h Disabilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	Global History & Geography	U.S. History & Gov't	
Cohort Enrollment	155	155	6	6	161	161	
Number Scoring 55–64	1	3	0	1	1	4	
Number Scoring 65–84	79	76	4	1	83	77	
Number Scoring 85–100	72	73	0	1	72	74	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### 1999 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations in Science after Three Years

	General- Education Students	Students with Disabilities	All Students
Cohort Enrollment	169	9	178
Number Scoring 55–64	5	1	6
Number Scoring 65–84	80	4	84
Number Scoring 85–100	82	1	83
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0

(Form - O)