New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:13-18-01-04-0001Name:Rhinebeck Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Anthony Celenza

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	109	90	110
Tenth	118	112	88
Eleventh	101	111	111
Twelfth	86	94	105
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	414	407	414

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	2.2%	9	2.2%	8	1.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	0.7%	6	1.5%	8	1.9%
Hispanic	13	3.1%	9	2.2%	15	3.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	389	94.0%	383	94.1%	383	92.5%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	17	18	18
Mathematics Grade 10	16	14	0
Science Grade 10	26	22	17
Social Studies Grade 10	23	20	24

(Form - A)

Rhinebeck Senior High School

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
53	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	4	1.0%	2	0.5%	2	0.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	14	3.4%	11	2.7%	7	1.7%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.3%		94.5%		88.3%
Student Suspensions	31	7.5%	38	9.2%	33	8.1%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	4.6%	3.4%	3.4%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	99%	97%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	26
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	77	46	60%	83	50	60%	99	68	69%	
Students with Disabilities	3	0	0%	8	2	25%	6	3	50%	
All Students	80	46	57%	91	52	57%	105	71	68%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	67	27	0	1	10	0
Percent	64%	26%	0%	1%	10%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
6	3	0	6

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	12		8		3	0.8%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		4		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	15		12		4	1.1%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		1	2.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		1		1	2.5%
All	Dropped Out	12	2.9%	9	2.2%	4	1.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	5	1.2%	4	1.0%	1	0.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	17	4.1%	13	3.2%	5	1.2%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	380	372	377
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	34	35	37
9-12	Number of All Students	414	407	414
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	2	#	8	100%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	2	#	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
Science	1	#	1	#	2	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	6	100%	4	#	
Science	0	0%	6	67%	4	#	
Reading	0	0%	3	#	7	86%	
Writing	7	100%	4	#	6	100%	
Global Studies	3	#	6	50%	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	1	#	4	#	

(Form - E)

	Kegenis			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng	Í	I	1	
Number Tested	93	71	107	6	4	3
Number Scoring 55–100	91	68	102	6	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	81	67	95	4	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	38	19	39	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	95%	100%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	94%	89%	67%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	27%	36%	0%	#	#
		athematics A	-	-	-	
Number Tested	122	136	89	4	12	11
Number Scoring 55–100	99	125	85	#	10	8
Number Scoring 65–100	85	107	83	#	7	8
Number Scoring 85–100	37	44	40	#	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	92%	96%	#	83%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	79%	93%	#	58%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	32%	45%	#	17%	27%
<u> </u>	M	athematics B		•		•
Number Tested	0	1	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	
Number Tested	109	1	98	4	0	8
Number Scoring 55–100	107	#	91	#	0	6
Number Scoring 65–100	100	#	86	#	0	6
Number Scoring 85–100	32	#	48	#	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	#	93%	#	0%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	#	88%	#	0%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	#	49%	#	0%	25%
8		ory and Gove	rnment	1		
Number Tested	100	114	97	6	5	5
Number Scoring 55–100	97	112	91	6	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	90	111	88	6	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	34	45	48	1	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	94%	100%	100%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	97%	91%	100%	100%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	39%	49%	17%	20%	20%

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme	1	0	1	1
Number Tested	101	102	91	5	9	7
Number Scoring 55–100	101	100	91	5	7	7
Number Scoring 65–100	97	96	91	5	7	7
Number Scoring 85–100	20	29	41	0	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	100%	100%	78%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	94%	100%	100%	78%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	28%	45%	0%	22%	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	91	78	99	3	4	8
Number Scoring 55–100	89	77	96	#	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	86	73	91	#	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	34	33	43	#	#	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	97%	#	#	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	94%	92%	#	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	37%	42%	43%	#	#	62%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	52	43	49	3	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	52	42	49	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	48	38	47	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	12	20	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	92%	88%	96%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	29%	28%	41%	#	0%	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			23			2
Number Scoring 55–100			23			#
Number Scoring 65–100			22			#
Number Scoring 85–100			14			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			96%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			61%			#

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Compi	ehensive Fre	nch	•	•	
Number Tested	23	18	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	23	18	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	23	18	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	20	18	13	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	87%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian	•	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	32	36	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	32	36	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	32	36	31	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	28	36	30	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	88%	100%	97%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	8	10	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	8	10	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	8	10	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	6	10	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	75%	100%	#	0%	0%	0%

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	74	63	5	2	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	60	49	4	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	59	44	3	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	28	19	1	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	78%	80%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	70%	60%	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	30%	20%	#	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	4	#	1	#	5	100%
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	1	#	2	#

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Level 3	Level 4							
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	97	97	97	7	7	7	104	104	104
Number Scoring 55–64	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0
Number Scoring 65–84	63	54	51	3	4	3	66	58	54
Number Scoring 85–100	29	41	46	3	3	3	32	44	49
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

i i c		All Students		ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)* Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	<u>B)</u>			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 7–8)	I			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NVSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003-04.

(Form - L)